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#### Abstract

We have simultaneously observed ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO},{ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ and $\mathrm{C}^{18} \mathrm{O}(J=1-0)$ rotational transitions in the centers of a sample of 58 nearby spiral galaxies using the 13.7 -m millimeter-wave telescope administered by Purple Mountain Observatory. Forty-two galaxies were detected in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ emission, but there was a null detection for $\mathrm{C}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ emission with a $\sigma$ upper limit of 2 mK . The central beam ratios, $\mathcal{R}$, of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ range mostly from 5 to 13 , with an average value of $8.1 \pm 4.2$, which is slightly lower than previous estimates for normal galaxies. Clear correlations are found between ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ luminosities. An average X factor of $1.44 \pm 0.84 \times$ $10^{20} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\left(\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)^{-1}$ is slightly lower than that in the Milky Way.
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## 1 INTRODUCTION

Molecular hydrogen, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, constitutes a dominant part of molecular clouds in the interstellar medium in galaxies and is most closely related to star formation. The current method of studying molecular clouds in external galaxies involves the observation of rotational transitions of carbon monoxide, CO. $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ lacks a dipole moment and therefore, quadrupole or vibrational transitions cannot be excited under typical cold temperature conditions that exist in giant molecular clouds. Rotational transitions of CO can easily be generated by collisions with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, particularly the line from the first excited level to ground, $J=1-0$, which can be excited under the conditions of very low temperature and density of only 10 K and $300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ respectively. Thus, ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$, as well as its isotopic variants, remain the most straightforward and reliable tracer of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ in molecular clouds. In addition, there is a well-known $\mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{H}_{2}$ conversion factor, called the X factor, and it is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\mathrm{CO}}=\frac{N\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)}{I_{\mathrm{CO}}}\left[\mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\left(\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right], \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ is the column density of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ and $I_{\mathrm{CO}}$ is the integrated line intensity of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$.
The first CO detections in external galaxies were carried out by Rickard et al. (1975) and Solomon \& de Zafra (1975). Later, Young et al. (1995) published the Five College Radio Astronomy Observatory (FCRAO) Extragalactic CO Survey at $\lambda=2.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ of a large sample of 300 galaxies with 1412 positions using the 14 m telescope that has a $45^{\prime \prime}$ resolution. The detection rate is $79 \%$ and 193 galaxies were observed in multiple positions. Braine et al. (1993) observed both
${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ emission from the centers of 81 nearby spiral galaxies using the $30-\mathrm{m}$ telescope at the Institut de Radioastronomie Millimétrique (IRAM) at a resolution of $23^{\prime \prime}$ and $12^{\prime \prime}$ for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(2-1)$, respectively, and found the average (and median) ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ to ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ line ratio to be $0.89 \pm 0.06$. Solomon et al. (1997) also used the IRAM 30-m telescope to observe ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ transitions in 37 ultraluminous infrared galaxies and discovered that interacting galaxies also have relatively high CO luminosity. There are plenty of other CO surveys of nearby galaxies using either single-dishes or even interferometer telescopes that have aimed to map the molecular gas distribution or kinematics within galaxies and have been used to work out properties of molecular gas clouds from galaxy to galaxy (Sakamoto et al. 1999; Nishiyama et al. 2001; Helfer et al. 2003; Leroy et al. 2009). However, most of these surveys were based on ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO} J=1-0$, $J=2-1$ or even higher rotational transitions, and there are a few systematic studies of transitions in CO isotopes from a larger sample of galaxies that have been published. This is probably because ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(J=1-0)$ is not found to be an accurate measure of the amount of molecular gas, but ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ emission in conditions with lower opacity may give more reliable constraints on the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ column density.

Sage \& Isbell (1991) presented observations of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ emission from 16 nearby spiral galaxies using the $12-\mathrm{m}$ telescope at the National Radio Astronomy Observatory. They found the ratio of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ to ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ emittance to be insensitive to variations in global parameters such as inclination angle and Hubble type. The detection revealed a range of central beam ratios from 5 to 16.6 , mostly from 7 to 11 . Aalto et al. (1995) studied molecular gas in 32 infrared-bright galaxies, which consists mostly of starbursts. They presented several line ratios, among which they suggested that the ratio of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ can be a measurement of the cloud environment in galaxies. Paglione et al. (2001) performed a mapping survey of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}(J=1-0)$ emissions along the major axes of 17 nearby galaxies. Their work resulted in an average central ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ intensity ratio of $11.6 \pm 1.9$, implying that the X factor is probably lower in most galactic nuclei. A nonlinear correlation between CO and far-infrared luminosity exists in galaxies because luminous galaxies have a higher star formation efficiency (Solomon \& Sage 1988; Young \& Scoville 1991; Gao \& Solomon 2004). Taniguchi \& Ohyama (1998) collected previous observational results of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ emissions and compared far-infrared luminosity with that of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$, respectively. They found that the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ depression in luminous starburst mergers may account for a higher abundance ratio of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ to ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ than that in normal galaxies. Solomon \& Vanden Bout (2005) and Daddi et al. (2010) further confirmed the validity of this correlation when studying high-redshift star forming galaxies.

In order to systemically study the physical properties of external galaxies, we present simultaneous observations of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO},{ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ and $\mathrm{C}^{18} \mathrm{O}(J=1-0)$ emissions from the centers of 58 nearby galaxies, mostly spiral, using the $13.7-\mathrm{m}$ millimeter radio telescope administered by Purple Mountain Observatory (PMO). This is the second time we have carried out observations towards nearby galaxies using this telescope after Tan et al. (2011). The observations and sample selection are described in Section 2. We then present the results with detected CO spectra and derived parameters in Section 3. The analysis and discussions are described in Section 4 and finally the summary is given in Section 5.

## 2 SAMPLE AND OBSERVATIONS

### 2.1 Sample Selection

We selected a sample of 58 nearby galaxies from the FCRAO Extragalactic CO Survey (Young et al. 1995). The selection criteria were as follows: (1) $I\left({ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}\right) \geq 3 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, where K is the antenna temperature. Strong ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ emission usually indicates a relatively high detection rate of isotopic variants. (2) Coordinates in the range $10 \mathrm{~h} \leq \mathrm{R}$.A. $\leq 13 \mathrm{~h}$ and Dec. $\geq-10^{\circ}$, in order to not conflict with the time observing the Galactic plane in the northern sky. The physical properties of the galaxies, as
derived from data taken with the Infrared Astronomical Satellite (IRAS), are summarized in Table 1. These values were obtained from the IRAS Revised Bright Galaxy Sample (RBGS) (Sanders et al. 2003) and the SIMBAD database.

Table 1 Basic Properties of the Sample

| Galaxy |  | R.A. Dec. <br> (J2000) | $\begin{gathered} c z \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | D <br> (Mpc) <br> (5) | IR size | $T_{\text {dust }}$ <br> (K) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | Type |  |  |  | major $\times$ minor (arcmin) |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |  | (6) (7) | (8) |
| M66 | Sb | 11:20:15.026 + 12:59:28.64 | 740 | 10.04 | $6.64 \times 3.65$ | 34.71 |
| M108 | Sc | 11:11:30.967 +55:40:26.84 | 698 | 13.85 | $7.91 \times 1.74$ | 33.07 |
| NGC 3079 | S | 10:01:57.924 + 55:40:48.00 | 1142 | 18.19 | $4.49 \times 1.08$ | 34.67 |
| NGC 3169 | Sb | 10:14:15.099+03:27:58.03 | 1305 | 20.61 | $2.73 \times 2.02$ | 31.24 |
| NGC 3184 | Sc | 10:18:16.985 + 41:25:27.77 | 593 | 12.58 | $6.72 \times 5.72$ | 29.71 |
| NGC 3593 | S0 | 11:14:37.002 + 12:49:04.87 | 578 | 5.04 | $3.48 \times 1.43$ | 35.25 |
| NGC 3628 | Sbc | 11:20:17.018 + 13:35:22.16 | 825 | 10.04 | $10.58 \times 2.54$ | 35.54 |
| NGC 3631 | Sc | 11:21:02.944 +53:10:09.95 | 1158 | 21.58 | $4.19 \times 4.02$ | 31.07 |
| NGC 3672 | Sc | 11:25:02.476-09:47:43.44 | 1899 | 27.70 | $2.97 \times 1.13$ | 31.30 |
| NGC 3675 | Sb | 11:26:08.584 + 43:35:09.30 | 804 | 12.69 | $4.45 \times 2.00$ | 29.15 |
| NGC 3690 | Sm | 11:28:31.600 +58:33:44.00 | 3159 | 47.74 | $1.61 \times 1.41$ | 47.31 |
| NGC 3810 | Sc | 11:40:58.737+11:28:16.07 | 1001 | 15.36 | $3.05 \times 2.08$ | 32.12 |
| NGC 3893 | Sc | 11:48:38.207 + 48:42:38.84 | 892 | 16.35 | $2.88 \times 2.13$ | 33.06 |
| NGC 3938 | Sc | 11:52:49.453 + 44:07:14.63 | 800 | 14.75 | $4.00 \times 3.80$ | 30.57 |
| NGC 4030 | Scdr | 12:00:23.643-01:05:59.87 | 1427 | 24.50 | $2.67 \times 2.35$ | 31.41 |
| NGC 4038 | Sc | 12:01:52.480-18:52:02.90 | 1563 | 21.54 | $5.20 \times 3.10$ | 35.55 |
| NGC 4039 | Sc | 12:01:53.700-18:53:08.00 | 1563 | 21.54 | $3.10 \times 1.60$ | 35.55 |
| NGC 4041 | Sc | 12:02:12.173+62:08:14.23 | 1243 | 22.78 | $1.70 \times 1.39$ | 33.67 |
| NGC 4051 | SBab | 12:03:09.686 + 44:31:52.54 | 728 | 13.11 | $4.73 \times 2.60$ | 33.04 |
| NGC 4088 | Sc | 12:05:34.189 + 50:32:20.50 | 696 | 13.37 | $4.39 \times 2.11$ | 33.35 |
| NGC 4096 | Sc | 12:06:01.161 + 47:28:42.09 | 523 | 9.63 | $5.76 \times 1.73$ | 30.21 |
| NGC 4102 | Sb | 12:06:23.115 + 52:42:39.42 | 859 | 16.89 | $1.78 \times 0.98$ | 39.20 |
| NGC 4157 | Sb | 12:11:04.365 + 50:29:04.85 | 790 | 13.30 | $4.94 \times 0.89$ | 31.02 |
| NGC 4194 | I | 12:14:09.573+54:31:36.03 | 2555 | 40.33 | $0.67 \times 0.46$ | 45.18 |
| NGC 4212 | Sc | 12:15:39.375 + 13:54:05.30 | -81 | 15.29 | $2.97 \times 1.42$ | 32.15 |
| NGC 4254 | Sc | 12:18:49.625 + 14:24:59.36 | 2403 | 15.29 | $4.50 \times 4.27$ | 32.65 |
| NGC 4258 | Sbc | 12:18:57.620 + 47:18:13.39 | 448 | 7.10 | $11.14 \times 5.46$ | - |
| NGC 4273 | SBc | 12:19:56.063 +05:20:36.12 | 2400 | 15.29 | $1.71 \times 1.20$ | 33.27 |
| NGC 4293 | Sap | 12:21:12.891+18:22:56.64 | 893 | 16.50 | $5.29 \times 1.80$ | - |
| NGC 4298 | Sc | 12:21:32.790 +14:36:21.78 | 1141 | 15.29 | $2.97 \times 1.78$ | 28.71 |
| NGC 4302 | Sc | 12:21:42.477 + 14:35:51.94 | 1149 | 19.20 | $5.37 \times 0.64$ | - |
| NGC 4303 | SABbc | 12:21:54.950 +04:28:24.92 | 1570 | 15.29 | $4.64 \times 3.48$ | 34.39 |
| NGC 4312 | Sa | 12:22:31.359 + 15:32:16.51 | 153 | 16.50 | $3.39 \times 0.88$ | 30.75 |
| NGC 4321 | Sc | 12:22:54.899+15:49:20.57 | 1571 | 15.20 | $7.23 \times 5.64$ | 31.89 |
| NGC 4402 | Sb | 12:26:07.566 + 13:06:46.06 | 237 | 15.29 | $2.97 \times 0.59$ | 30.04 |
| NGC 4414 | Sc | 12:26:27.089 + 31:13:24.76 | 720 | 17.68 | $2.86 \times 1.60$ | 32.92 |
| NGC 4419 | SBa | 12:26:56.433 + 15:02:50.72 | -261 | 15.29 | $2.54 \times 0.81$ | 34.26 |
| NGC 4433 | Sbc | 12:27:38.610-08:16:42.42 | 2913 | 41.68 | $1.52 \times 0.55$ | 36.60 |
| NGC 4457 | SB0/Sa | 12:28:59.011 +03:34:14.19 | 882 | 12.40 | $2.00 \times 1.36$ | 34.84 |
| NGC 4490 | Sd | 12:30:36.710 +41:38:26.60 | 641 | 10.48 | $5.32 \times 2.29$ | 35.83 |
| NGC 4501 | Sbc | 12:31:59.216 +14:25:13.48 | 2284 | 15.29 | $5.47 \times 2.41$ | 29.94 |
| NGC 4527 | Sb | 12:34:08.496 +02:39:13.72 | 1771 | 15.29 | $4.62 \times 1.57$ | 34.51 |
| NGC 4535 | SBc | 12:34:20.310 +08:11:51.94 | 1957 | 15.77 | $5.84 \times 2.92$ | 31.09 |
| NGC 4536 | Sc | 12:34:27.129+02:11:16.37 | 1802 | 14.92 | $4.61 \times 2.40$ | 39.51 |
| NGC 4567 | Sc | 12:36:32.703 + 11:15:28.33 | 2262 | 15.29 | $3.72 \times 2.19$ | 31.50 |
| NGC 4568 | Sc | 12:36:34.292+11:14:19.07 | 2262 | 15.29 | $4.06 \times 1.59$ | 31.50 |

Table 1 - Continued.

| Galaxy |  |  |  |  | IR size |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | Type | R.A. Dec. <br> (J2000) | $\begin{gathered} c z \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} D \\ (\mathrm{Mpc}) \end{gathered}$ | major $\times$ minor (arcmin) | $T_{\text {dust }}$ (K) |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) (7) | (8) |
| NGC 4569 | Sab | 12:36:49.816 +13:09:46.33 | -235 | 15.29 | $6.92 \times 2.77$ | 31.57 |
| NGC 4579 | Sab | 12:37:43.527+11:49:05.46 | 1519 | 7.73 | $4.60 \times 3.22$ | 28.86 |
| NGC 4631 | Sc | 12:42:08.009 + 32:32:29.44 | 630 | 15.29 | $9.25 \times 2.78$ | 35.93 |
| NGC 4647 | Sc | 12:43:32.542+11:34:56.89 | 1415 | 15.29 | $2.58 \times 2.04$ | 30.97 |
| NGC 4654 | SBcd | 12:43:56.638 + 13:07:34.86 | 1037 | 12.82 | $4.47 \times 2.01$ | 31.16 |
| NGC 4666 | Sbc | 12:45:08.676-00:27:42.88 | 1495 | 20.65 | $3.32 \times 0.93$ | 33.29 |
| NGC 4691 | S0a | 12:48:13.600-03:19:57.70 | 1124 | 21.71 | $2.80 \times 2.30$ | 38.37 |
| NGC 4710 | S0a | 12:49:38.958 + 15:09:55.76 | 1125 | 15.29 | $3.73 \times 0.90$ | 33.67 |
| NGC 4736 | Sb | 12:50:53.148 + 41:07:12.55 | 323 | 4.83 | $4.13 \times 3.27$ | 37.40 |
| NGC 4818 | Sab | 12:56:48.907-08:31:31.08 | 1051 | 9.37 | $3.34 \times 1.60$ | 41.33 |
| NGC 4826 | Sb | 12:56:43.696 +21:40:57.57 | 349 | 3.09 | $6.82 \times 3.89$ | 33.76 |
| NGC 4845 | Sb | 12:58:01.242+01:34:32.09 | 1224 | 15.09 | $3.85 \times 1.08$ | 32.93 |

Column (1): Names of the sample galaxies. Column (2): Morphological types taken from the SIMBAD database (http://simbad.u-strasbg.fr/simbad/). Column (3): Adopted tracking center of observed galaxies; units of right ascension are hours, minutes and seconds, and units of declination are degrees, arcminutes and arcseconds. The data in Columns (4)-(5) except for NGC 4258, NGC 4293, NGC 4302, NGC 4312 and NGC 4457 are taken from Sanders et al. (2003). Column (4): The heliocentric radial velocity computed as $c$ times the redshift $z$. Column (5): Distances including luminosity distance and distance measured in other ways. Columns (6)-(7): Infrared angular sizes mostly taken from 2MASS data using SIMBAD. Column (8): Dust temperature derived from the RBGS IRAS $60 \mu \mathrm{~m} / 100$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$ color assuming an emissivity that is proportional to the frequency $\nu$; those without RBGS (Sanders et al. 2003) data are calculated following Sanders \& Mirabel (1996) using the IRAS Point Source Catalog (PSC:1988).

### 2.2 Observations

We made observations between February and June 2011 and supplementary observations between September and October 2011 and also December 2012, using the PMO 13.7-m millimeter-wave telescope located at Delingha, Qinghai, China. The observations were made after the newly developed $3 \times 3$ multi-beam sideband separation superconducting spectroscopic array receiver (SSAR) was added. The receiver employs a two-sideband superconductor-insulator-superconductor (SIS) mixer, which allowed us to simultaneously observe ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(J=1-0)$ emission in the upper sideband (USB) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ and $\mathrm{C}^{18} \mathrm{O}(J=1-0)$ emissions in the lower sideband (LSB). A high definition Fast Fourier Transform Spectrometer as the backend enabled a bandwidth of 1 GHz and a velocity resolution of $0.16 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at 115.271 GHz . Single-point observations using beam 5 of SSAR were done in the "On-Off" position switching mode, with a pointing accuracy of nearly 5 ". The Half Power Beam Width was $52^{\prime \prime}$ at 115.271 GHz and the main beam efficiency, $\eta_{\mathrm{mb}}$, for USB and LSB were 0.46 and 0.5 respectively between February and June 2011 and were 0.44 and 0.48 during October $2011^{1}$. Typical system temperatures were 220 K at 115.271 GHz and 130 K at 110.201 GHz during our observations.

## 3 RESULTS

### 3.1 Data Reduction

We reduced the data using CLASS, which is a part of the GILDAS ${ }^{2}$ software package. The original data included individual scans. For each spectrum, line-free channels that exhibited positive or neg-

[^0]ative spikes more than $5 \sigma$ above the rms noise were blanked or substituted with values interpolated from adjacent ones. This was only done after properly setting the velocity range limits for each spectrum and a linear baseline was subtracted from it. We then examined every spectrum and identified those with a relatively bad baseline and an abnormally high rms noise. Due to unstable spectrum baselines and bad weather conditions, a considerable part of the data was discarded. After converting the temperature scale to $T_{\mathrm{mb}}$ from $T_{\mathrm{A}}^{*}$ of the spectra and dividing by $\eta_{\mathrm{mb}}$, we then averaged the converted data, weighting by the inverse square of the rms noise, $\sigma$. Note however that data from different observational seasons were treated differently. The final averaged spectra of each source were smoothed to a velocity resolution of about $20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ and about $40 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ in order to limit the rms noise. Each averaged spectrum was fitted using the GAUSS method and the results are presented in the next subsection.

### 3.2 Observational Results

We simultaneously observed ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO},{ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ and $\mathrm{C}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ emissions at the centers of 58 nearby galaxies, among which 42 had detections of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ emission with a signal-to-noise ratio of more than 3 . However, $\mathrm{C}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ emission was too weak to be detected in any galaxy with an upper limit of 2 mK $(1 \sigma)$. Both ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ line profiles agree very well with similar centroid velocities and line widths in most cases, as shown in Figure 1. All of the spectra were smoothed to a velocity resolution of about $20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ and about $40 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. These values are given in Table 2. We do not show those ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ spectra without ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ detection since one can easily find them in the literature.

The integrated intensity, $I_{\mathrm{CO}}$, can be obtained by integrating $T_{\mathrm{mb}}$ over the line emission feature,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\mathrm{CO}} \equiv \int T_{\mathrm{mb}} d v\left[\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the error of the integrated intensity is estimated through the following formula (Elfhag et al. 1996),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta I=\sigma_{\mathrm{rms}} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta v d V}{(1-\Delta v / W)}}\left[\mathrm{K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{\mathrm{rms}}$ is the rms noise temperature, $\Delta v$ is the line width of the emission feature, $d V$ is the spectrum velocity resolution, and $W$ is the entire velocity range of each spectrum. These properties for each spectrum are presented in Figure 1: $W$ is taken from the visible velocity range in each plot; $\Delta v$ is the window for the emission feature drawn on the bottom; $d V$ is labeled. For those without detection of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}, 2 \delta I_{13}$ upper limits were given based on estimates by using the expected line width from the detected ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ lines at exactly the same position. The peak velocities and line widths come from a Gaussian fit. The ratio of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ integrated intensity is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R} \equiv \frac{\int T_{\mathrm{mb}}\left({ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}\right) d v}{\int T_{\mathrm{mb}}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}\right) d v} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 1 Observed spectra of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ (thin lines) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ (thick lines) in the central regions of galaxies where ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ was detected. Velocities are the radio velocities with respect to LSR. The spectra of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ emissions are multiplied by 5 for comparison. The spectra are on the scale of main beam temperature. All the spectra were smoothed to a velocity resolution of about $20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ and about $40 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ in order to limit the rms noise. The number to the right of the spectrum label is the specified velocity resolution for the individual source. The window for the emission feature is drawn as a box on the bottom of the axis in each plot.
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Table 2 Observational Results

| Galaxy | ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ |  |  |  | $\mathcal{R}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} I \pm \delta I \\ \left(\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{\mathrm{rms}} \\ & (\mathrm{mK}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & V \quad \Delta V \\ & \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} I \pm \delta I \\ \left(\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{\mathrm{rms}} \\ & (\mathrm{mK}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & V \quad \Delta V \\ & \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| M66 | $33.89 \pm 0.67$ | 5.54 | 719 | 233 | $2.75 \pm 0.22$ | 1.28 | 714 | 283 | $12.32 \pm 1.02$ |
| M108 | $17.94 \pm 0.67$ | 8.58 | 708 | 89 | $2.01 \pm 0.37$ | 3.29 | 713 | 73 | $8.93 \pm 1.68$ |
| NGC 3079 | $59.17 \pm 0.96$ | 6.38 | 1160 | 425 | $5.11 \pm 0.28$ | 1.17 | 1176 | 378 | $11.58 \pm 0.66$ |
| NGC 3169 | $31.66 \pm 1.33$ | 7.69 | 1182 | 447 | $<1.50$ | 2.46 | - | - | >21.11 |
| NGC 3184 | $6.52 \pm 0.44$ | 7.42 | 594 | 52 | $0.56 \pm 0.25$ | 2.89 | 601 | 42 | $11.64 \pm 5.26$ |
| NGC 3593 | $24.34 \pm 0.78$ | 7.86 | 637 | 212 | $1.02 \pm 0.34$ | 2.37 | 616 | 42 | $23.86 \pm 7.99$ |
| NGC 3628 | $72.66 \pm 0.59$ | 4.44 | 840 | 226 | $5.92 \pm 0.59$ | 2.73 | 843 | 252 | $12.27 \pm 1.23$ |
| NGC 3631 | $11.29 \pm 0.51$ | 7.33 | 1147 | 76 | $1.17 \pm 0.27$ | 2.66 | 1146 | 91 | $9.65 \pm 2.27$ |
| NGC 3672 | $15.58 \pm 1.31$ | 9.76 | 1870 | 265 | $3.55 \pm 0.33$ | 1.53 | 1769 | 186 | $4.39 \pm 0.55$ |
| NGC 3675 | $17.13 \pm 1.05$ | 7.37 | 786 | 282 | $3.26 \pm 0.42$ | 2.04 | 814 | 312 | $5.25 \pm 0.75$ |
| NGC 3690 | $24.29 \pm 0.66$ | 4.87 | 3093 | 260 | <0.46 | 1.36 | - | - | >52.80 |
| NGC 3810 | $12.42 \pm 0.47$ | 5.07 | 1006 | 183 | $1.39 \pm 0.27$ | 2.03 | 1016 | 181 | $8.94 \pm 1.77$ |
| NGC 3893 | $13.63 \pm 0.53$ | 5.08 | 963 | 238 | $0.83 \pm 0.20$ | 1.51 | 927 | 153 | $16.42 \pm 4.01$ |
| NGC 3938 | $7.47 \pm 0.33$ | 5.42 | 813 | 66 | $0.85 \pm 0.23$ | 2.56 | 820 | 42 | $8.79 \pm 2.41$ |
| NGC 4030 | $21.95 \pm 1.74$ | 14.29 | 1454 | 290 | <2.24 | 6.35 | - | - | >9.80 |
| NGC 4038 | $28.62 \pm 2.00$ | 18.49 | 1632 | 127 | $5.74 \pm 0.76$ | 4.83 | 1701 | 189 | $4.99 \pm 0.75$ |
| NGC 4039 | $39.93 \pm 1.96$ | 14.06 | 1584 | 313 | $4.47 \pm 0.69$ | 3.40 | 1734 | 146 | $8.93 \pm 1.45$ |
| NGC 4041 | $18.33 \pm 0.65$ | 7.86 | 1234 | 152 | $1.39 \pm 0.46$ | 3.84 | 1218 | 146 | $13.19 \pm 4.39$ |
| NGC 4051 | $10.66 \pm 0.53$ | 4.71 | 705 | 141 | <1.02 | 3.65 | - | - | $>10.45$ |
| NGC 4088 | $21.90 \pm 1.07$ | 9.73 | 782 | 208 | $2.32 \pm 0.46$ | 2.89 | 758 | 263 | $9.44 \pm 1.93$ |
| NGC 4096 | $6.58 \pm 0.72$ | 6.40 | 546 | 136 | <0.60 | 1.83 | - | - | $>10.97$ |
| NGC 4102 | $21.03 \pm 0.68$ | 5.51 | 825 | 335 | $1.63 \pm 0.39$ | 2.16 | 834 | 310 | $12.90 \pm 3.12$ |
| NGC 4157 | $17.88 \pm 0.61$ | 4.94 | 751 | 241 | $1.93 \pm 0.44$ | 2.44 | 764 | 300 | $9.26 \pm 2.14$ |
| NGC 4194 | $4.02 \pm 1.02$ | 7.98 | 2515 | 139 | $<1.36$ | 3.66 | - | - | >2.96 |
| NGC 4212 | $5.27 \pm 1.55$ | 11.72 | -85 | 156 | $1.45 \pm 0.59$ | 3.09 | -13 | 218 | $3.63 \pm 1.82$ |
| NGC 4254 | $25.55 \pm 0.49$ | 5.44 | 2393 | 168 | $3.20 \pm 0.24$ | 1.82 | 2380 | 164 | $7.98 \pm 0.62$ |
| NGC 4258 | $52.70 \pm 1.27$ | 8.80 | 423 | 348 | <2.46 | 6.80 | - | - | >21.42 |
| NGC 4273 | $11.77 \pm 0.81$ | 6.52 | 2385 | 245 | $2.36 \pm 0.59$ | 3.29 | 2405 | 178 | $4.99 \pm 1.29$ |
| NGC 4293 | $12.18 \pm 0.70$ | 8.47 | 929 | 171 | <0.54 | 2.24 | - | - | >22.56 |
| NGC 4298 | $12.69 \pm 0.72$ | 7.74 | 1132 | 157 | $2.17 \pm 0.30$ | 2.22 | 1148 | 137 | $5.85 \pm 0.87$ |
| NGC 4302 | $13.25 \pm 1.67$ | 18.48 | 1134 | 190 | <1.28 | 4.87 | - | - | $>10.35$ |
| NGC 4303 | $19.28 \pm 0.49$ | 6.44 | 1563 | 118 | $1.31 \pm 0.41$ | 3.72 | 1557 | 73 | $14.72 \pm 4.62$ |
| NGC 4312 | $5.93 \pm 1.46$ | 9.46 | 162 | 160 | $2.57 \pm 0.58$ | 2.58 | 184 | 224 | $2.31 \pm 0.77$ |
| NGC 4321 | $30.07 \pm 0.78$ | 8.07 | 1585 | 162 | $3.60 \pm 0.56$ | 3.98 | 1572 | 168 | $8.35 \pm 1.32$ |
| NGC 4402 | $15.82 \pm 0.85$ | 9.24 | 247 | 153 | $2.79 \pm 0.37$ | 2.82 | 235 | 194 | $5.67 \pm 0.81$ |
| NGC 4414 | $44.11 \pm 0.90$ | 6.45 | 692 | 336 | $6.55 \pm 0.40$ | 2.01 | 708 | 315 | $6.73 \pm 0.43$ |
| NGC 4419 | $26.82 \pm 1.41$ | 9.50 | -219 | 308 | $5.78 \pm 0.66$ | 3.07 | -135 | 333 | $4.64 \pm 0.58$ |

Table 2 - Continued.

| Galaxy <br> (1) | ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ |  |  |  | $\mathcal{R}$ <br> (10) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} I \pm \delta I \\ \left(\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{\mathrm{rms}} \\ & (\mathrm{mK}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & V \quad \Delta V \\ & \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} I \pm \delta I \\ \left(\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{\mathrm{rms}} \\ & (\mathrm{mK}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & V \quad \Delta V \\ & \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |  |
| NGC 4433 | $20.60 \pm 1.01$ | 7.40 | 2946 | 273 | <1.08 | 2.74 | - | - | > 19.07 |
| NGC 4457 | $14.51 \pm 0.62$ | 6.23 | 875 | 164 | $1.52 \pm 0.41$ | 2.81 | 864 | 148 | $9.55 \pm 2.61$ |
| NGC 4490 | $3.18 \pm 0.43$ | 6.02 | 609 | 125 | $<0.72$ | 3.47 | - | _ | $>4.42$ |
| NGC 4501 | $29.66 \pm 0.91$ | 6.86 | 2288 | 315 | $6.58 \pm 0.59$ | 3.56 | 2268 | 294 | $4.51 \pm 0.43$ |
| NGC 4527 | $37.20 \pm 1.21$ | 9.80 | 1749 | 286 | $6.90 \pm 0.61$ | 3.44 | 1759 | 311 | $5.39 \pm 0.51$ |
| NGC 4535 | $21.87 \pm 1.23$ | 10.60 | 1993 | 199 | <2.28 | 6.76 | - | - | $>9.59$ |
| NGC 4536 | $17.33 \pm 1.13$ | 5.88 | 1786 | 274 | $4.81 \pm 0.56$ | 3.32 | 1776 | 271 | $3.60 \pm 0.48$ |
| NGC 4567 | $12.33 \pm 0.47$ | 5.58 | 2273 | 167 | $<0.58$ | 2.39 | - | - | $>12.92$ |
| NGC 4568 | $24.46 \pm 0.61$ | 5.97 | 2236 | 230 | $4.33 \pm 0.68$ | 4.61 | 2247 | 238 | $5.65 \pm 0.90$ |
| NGC 4569 | $36.23 \pm 1.20$ | 8.61 | -214 | 234 | $7.92 \pm 0.48$ | 2.39 | -186 | 346 | $4.57 \pm 0.32$ |
| NGC 4579 | $11.38 \pm 1.08$ | 9.81 | 1492 | 272 | <1.30 | 4.09 | - | - | $>8.71$ |
| NGC 4631 | $11.73 \pm 0.74$ | 9.00 | 639 | 125 | $2.18 \pm 0.41$ | 3.45 | 622 | 199 | $5.38 \pm 1.07$ |
| NGC 4647 | $26.42 \pm 1.87$ | 18.63 | 1401 | 111 | $8.36 \pm 0.72$ | 4.96 | 1422 | 108 | $3.16 \pm 0.35$ |
| NGC 4654 | $16.81 \pm 0.97$ | 8.54 | 1061 | 147 | $2.12 \pm 0.68$ | 4.81 | 1051 | 146 | $7.93 \pm 2.58$ |
| NGC 4666 | $35.61 \pm 1.16$ | 8.58 | 1525 | 268 | <3.16 | 8.06 | - | - | >11.26 |
| NGC 4691 | $10.82 \pm 0.58$ | 9.51 | 1122 | 56 | $1.08 \pm 0.31$ | 3.50 | 1122 | 97 | $10.02 \pm 2.93$ |
| NGC 4710 | $14.97 \pm 1.03$ | 7.43 | 1086 | 290 | <1.06 | 2.61 | - | - | > 14.12 |
| NGC 4736 | $24.31 \pm 0.43$ | 4.16 | 308 | 224 | $7.38 \pm 0.38$ | 2.95 | 293 | 240 | $3.29 \pm 0.18$ |
| NGC 4818 | $21.54 \pm 1.41$ | 11.63 | 1067 | 146 | $3.21 \pm 0.91$ | 5.21 | 1021 | 232 | $6.71 \pm 1.95$ |
| NGC 4826 | $48.17 \pm 0.65$ | 5.88 | 409 | 298 | $8.40 \pm 0.33$ | 2.08 | 413 | 306 | $5.73 \pm 0.24$ |
| NGC 4845 | $30.70 \pm 1.52$ | 8.69 | 1118 | 320 | $3.55 \pm 0.77$ | 3.74 | 1054 | 274 | $8.65 \pm 1.92$ |

Column (1): Names of the sample galaxies. Column (2): ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ integrated intensities and uncertainties, calculated from Equation (2) and Equation (3). Column (3): Baseline noise of spectra in mK. Columns (4) and (5): Gaussian fitting results of peak velocities and FWHM line widths. Columns (6)-(9): Results for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$, for non-detections, $2 \sigma$ upper limits are given. Column (10): The ratios of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ integrated intensities and their uncertainties.

### 3.3 Derived Properties

The derived physical parameters, such as the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ column density, the CO luminosity, and the gas mass, are presented in Table 3. We assumed that CO and its isotopic variants are approximately under the conditions of local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE) when transitions occur and CO transitions are optically thick. Therefore we estimated the average optical depth of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ from (Sage \& Isbell 1991)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{13} \simeq-\ln \left[1-\frac{\int T_{\mathrm{R}}^{*}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}\right) d v}{\int T_{\mathrm{R}}^{*}\left({ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}\right) d v}\right] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{\mathrm{R}}^{*}$ should be corrected for a filling factor and therefore this is only an averaged estimation over all of the unresolved clouds in the beam.

Due to the effect of beam dilution from molecular clouds in remote galaxies, we could not quite directly measure the excitation temperature, $T_{\text {ex }}$. We present the cold dust color temperature, $T_{\text {dust }}$, calculated from IRAS far infrared data assuming a dust emissivity, $\propto \nu^{1}$, in Table 1. However, the gas and dust in the central regions of the galaxies may not couple, and therefore, we took half the value of $T_{\text {dust }}$ as the gas kinetic temperature as well as the excitation temperature, $T_{\mathrm{ex}}=T_{\mathrm{k}}$.

The $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ column density of galaxies was estimated from an empirical equation (Nishiyama et al. 2001) in the Milky Way,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)=2 \times 10^{20} \int T_{\mathrm{mb}}\left({ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}\right) d v\left[\mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficient is the standard galactic ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ to $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ conversion factor, $X$.

Using the LTE assumption, the total column density of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ transition is described as (Wilson et al. 2009)

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}\right)=3.0 \times 10^{14} \frac{T_{\mathrm{ex}} \int \tau_{13} d v}{1-\exp \left[-5.3 / T_{\mathrm{ex}}\right]}\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-2}\right] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, we assumed a filling factor of 1 and that ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ is optically thin so that there would be $T_{\text {ex }} \tau=T_{\mathrm{mb}}$ and $T_{\text {ex }} \int \tau_{13} d v=T_{\mathrm{mb}} \tau_{13} /\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\tau_{13}}\right)$. We then took a relatively high ratio of $N\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) / N\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}\right) \sim 7.5 \times 10^{5}$ determined by the relationship between $N\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}\right)$ and visual extinction as well as $N\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and $A_{\mathrm{V}}$. Consequently, we also obtained the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ column density from the following equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)^{\prime}=7.5 \times N\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}\right)=2.25 \times 10^{20} \frac{\tau_{13}}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-\tau_{13}}} \frac{\int T_{\mathrm{mb}}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}\right) d v}{1-\exp \left[-5.3 / T_{\mathrm{ex}}\right]}\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-2}\right] . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By equating the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ column density derived both from ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$, we can estimate the kinetic temperature as well as the excitation temperature of the molecular gas in each galaxy.

The CO luminosity in $\mathrm{K} \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1} \mathrm{pc}^{2}$ can be defined as (Taniguchi \& Ohyama 1998)

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\mathrm{CO}} \equiv \operatorname{area} \times I(\mathrm{CO})=\frac{\pi \theta_{\mathrm{mb}}^{2} D^{2}}{4 \ln 2} \int T_{\mathrm{mb}} d v\left[\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{pc}^{2}\right] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi \theta_{\mathrm{mb}}^{2} D^{2} / 4 \ln 2$ is the total area of a Gaussian beam source in units of $\mathrm{pc}^{2}$ and $\theta_{\mathrm{mb}}$ is the size of the beam in arcseconds. Furthermore, the CO luminosity can be equivalently expressed for a source of any size in terms of the total line flux (Solomon et al. 1997),

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\mathrm{CO}}=\frac{c^{2}}{2 k} S(\mathrm{CO}) \nu_{\mathrm{obs}}^{-2} D^{2}(1+z)^{-3}=3.25 \times 10^{7} S(\mathrm{CO}) \nu_{\mathrm{obs}}^{-2} D^{2}(1+z)^{-3} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $L_{\mathrm{CO}}$ is in $\mathrm{K} \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{pc}^{2}, k$ is the Boltzmann constant, $\nu_{\mathrm{obs}}$ is the observational frequency we received, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\mathrm{CO})=\frac{2 k \Omega \int T_{\mathrm{mb}} d v}{\lambda^{2}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the flux of CO in Jy, where $\Omega$ is the solid angle of the source beam.
Taking the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ column density derived from the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ emission, we can calibrate the X factor.

## 4 DISCUSSION

We confirmed the detection of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ emissions in 42 galaxies. However, this does not mean that other galaxies do not have ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ emissions. The limitation on detection caused by a wavelike baseline reaches beyond the signal of those with relatively weak sources. Among these weak sources, both ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ were detected in 42 cases. We present the central intensity ratio, $\mathcal{R}$, with an average value of $8.14 \pm 4.21$, ranging mostly from 5 to 13 . NGC 4212, NGC 4312, NGC 4536, NGC 4631 and NGC 4736 have very low ratios of less than 4 . This is probably due to systematic uncertainties or a higher optical depth of the gas in the central positions of the galaxies. The uncertainty in $\mathcal{R}$ may not merely indicate the accuracy of our measurements but also reflects pointing errors. The average ratio is slightly lower than previous estimations of $11 \pm 3$ (Aalto et al. 1991), $9.3 \pm 3.6$ (Sage \& Isbell 1991 ) and $11.3 \pm 3.3$ for normal galaxies. Young \& Sanders (1986) found no evidence for systematic variation in $\mathcal{R}$ with radius, and Sage \& Isbell (1991) did not find clear evidence either. The average of all off-center points is somewhat less than the average of the centers. It has been suggested that galaxies which display variations in $\mathcal{R}$ have varying large-scale properties in their molecular cloud distributions. Thus, our lower estimation of the average ratio, $\mathcal{R}$, may not result merely from the

Table 3 Derived Parameters of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ Detected Galaxies

| Galaxy | $\tau_{13}$ | $\begin{gathered} N\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \quad N\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)^{\prime} \\ \left(10^{21} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \log L_{12} \mathrm{CO} \\ (\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \log L_{13} \mathrm{CO} \\ & \left.-1 \mathrm{pc}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & T_{\mathrm{ex}} \\ & (\mathrm{~K}) \end{aligned}$ | $X$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| M66 | 0.08 | 6.78 (0.13) | 2.46(0.20) | $8.39_{-0.01}^{+0.01}$ | $7.35{ }_{-0.04}^{+0.03}$ | 52.88 | 0.72(0.06) |
| M108 | 0.12 | 3.59 (0.13) | 1.75(0.32) | $8.39_{-0.02}^{+0.02}$ | $7.49_{-0.09}^{+0.07}$ | 36.86 | 0.98(0.18) |
| NGC 3079 | 0.09 | 11.83 (0.19) | 4.57(0.25) | $9.15{ }_{-0.01}^{+0.01}$ | $8.13_{-0.02}^{+0.02}$ | 49.37 | 0.77(0.04) |
| NGC 3184 | 0.09 | 1.30 (0.09) | 0.44(0.20) | $7.87_{-0.03}^{+0.03}$ | $6.85{ }_{-0.26}^{+0.16}$ | 49.67 | 0.67(0.30) |
| NGC 3593 | 0.04 | 4.87 (0.16) | 0.90(0.30) | $7.65{ }_{-0.01}^{+0.01}$ | $6.32{ }_{-0.18}^{+0.12}$ | 107.17 | 0.37(0.12) |
| NGC 3628 | 0.08 | 14.53 (0.12) | 5.40(0.54) | $8.72_{-0.00}^{+0.00}$ | $7.688_{-0.05}^{+0.04}$ | 52.64 | 0.74(0.07) |
| NGC 3631 | 0.11 | 2.26 (0.10) | 0.96 (0.22) | $8.58{ }_{-0.02}^{+0.02}$ | $7.64{ }_{-0.11}^{+0.09}$ | 40.28 | 0.85(0.20) |
| NGC 3672 | 0.26 | 3.12 (0.26) | 3.16 (0.29) | $8.93{ }_{-0.04}^{+0.04}$ | $8.34_{-0.04}^{+0.04}$ | 15.39 | 2.03(0.25) |
| NGC 3675 | 0.21 | 3.43 (0.21) | 2.67(0.34) | $8.30{ }_{-0.03}^{+0.03}$ | $7.63_{-0.06}^{+0.05}$ | 19.51 | 1.56(0.22) |
| NGC 3810 | 0.12 | 2.48 (0.09) | 1.18(0.23) | $8.32{ }_{-0.02}^{+0.02}$ | $7.42_{-0.09}^{+0.08}$ | 36.91 | 0.95(0.19) |
| NGC 3893 | 0.06 | 2.73 (0.11) | 0.70 (0.17) | $8.42_{-0.02}^{+0.02}$ | $7.25_{-0.12}^{+0.09}$ | 72.17 | 0.52(0.13) |
| NGC 3938 | 0.12 | 1.49 (0.07) | 0.69(0.19) | $8.07_{-0.02}^{+0.02}$ | $7.17_{-0.14}^{+0.10}$ | 36.22 | 0.93(0.25) |
| NGC 4038 | 0.22 | 5.72 (0.40) | 5.60(0.74) | $8.98{ }_{-0.03}^{+0.03}$ | $8.33_{-0.06}^{+0.05}$ | 18.23 | 1.96 (0.29) |
| NGC 4039 | 0.12 | 7.99 (0.39) | 4.14(0.64) | $9.13_{-0.02}^{+0.02}$ | $8.22_{-0.07}^{+0.06}$ | 36.90 | 1.04(0.17) |
| NGC 4041 | 0.08 | 3.67 (0.13) | 1.21(0.40) | $8.84_{-0.02}^{+0.02}$ | $7.766_{-0.17}^{+0.12}$ | 56.94 | 0.66(0.22) |
| NGC 4088 | 0.11 | 4.38 (0.21) | 2.03(0.40) | $8.455_{-0.02}^{+0.02}$ | $7.52_{-0.10}^{+0.08}$ | 39.29 | 0.93(0.19) |
| NGC 4102 | 0.08 | 4.21 (0.14) | 1.61(0.39) | $8.64{ }_{-0.01}^{+0.01}$ | $7.57_{-0.12}^{+0.09}$ | 55.60 | 0.77(0.19) |
| NGC 4157 | 0.11 | 3.58 (0.12) | 1.59(0.36) | $8.36{ }_{-0.02}^{+0.01}$ | $7.44_{-0.11}^{+0.09}$ | 38.46 | 0.89(0.20) |
| NGC 4212 | 0.32 | 1.05 (0.31) | 1.36 (0.55) | $7.955_{-0.15}^{+0.11}$ | $7.44_{-0.23}^{+0.15}$ | 11.77 | 2.58(1.30) |
| NGC 4254 | 0.13 | 5.11 (0.10) | 2.78 (0.21) | $8.63{ }_{-0.01}^{+0.01}$ | $7.78{ }_{-0.03}^{+0.03}$ | 32.42 | 1.09(0.08) |
| NGC 4273 | 0.22 | 2.35 (0.16) | 2.18 (0.54) | $8.30_{-0.03}^{+0.03}$ | $7.65{ }_{-0.12}^{+0.10}$ | 18.24 | 1.85(0.48) |
| NGC 4298 | 0.19 | 2.54 (0.14) | 1.74(0.24) | $8.33_{-0.03}^{+0.02}$ | $7.61{ }_{-0.06}^{+0.06}$ | 22.32 | 1.37(0.20) |
| NGC 4303 | 0.07 | 3.86 (0.10) | $1.15(0.36)$ | $8.51_{-0.01}^{+0.01}$ | $7.39_{-0.16}^{+0.12}$ | 64.15 | 0.60(0.19) |
| NGC 4312 | 0.57 | 1.19 (0.29) | 2.60 (0.59) | $8.07_{-0.12}^{+0.10}$ | $7.75{ }_{-0.11}^{+0.09}$ | 5.19 | 4.39(1.47) |
| NGC 4321 | 0.13 | 6.01 (0.16) | 3.06(0.48) | $8.70_{-0.01}^{+0.01}$ | $7.83_{-0.07}^{+0.06}$ | 34.16 | 1.02(0.16) |
| NGC 4402 | 0.19 | 3.16 (0.17) | 2.33 (0.31) | $8.43_{-0.02}^{+0.02}$ | $7.72_{-0.06}^{+0.05}$ | 21.48 | 1.47(0.21) |
| NGC 4414 | 0.16 | 8.82 (0.18) | 5.80(0.35) | $9.00_{-0.01}^{+0.01}$ | $8.22_{-0.03}^{+0.03}$ | 26.52 | 1.32(0.08) |
| NGC 4419 | 0.24 | 5.36 (0.28) | 5.51(0.63) | $8.65{ }_{-0.02}^{+0.02}$ | $8.04{ }_{-0.05}^{+0.05}$ | 16.59 | 2.06(0.26) |
| NGC 4457 | 0.11 | 2.90 (0.12) | 1.38(0.37) | $8.21{ }_{-0.02}^{+0.02}$ | $7.27_{-0.14}^{+0.10}$ | 39.79 | 0.95(0.26) |
| NGC 4501 | 0.25 | 5.93 (0.18) | 5.62(0.50) | $8.70_{-0.01}^{+0.01}$ | $8.09_{-0.04}^{+0.04}$ | 15.96 | 1.90(0.18) |
| NGC4527 | 0.21 | 7.44 (0.24) | 6.50(0.57) | $8.80{ }_{-0.01}^{+0.01}$ | $8.11_{-0.04}^{+0.04}$ | 20.16 | 1.75(0.16) |
| NGC 4536 | 0.33 | 3.47 (0.23) | 5.40(0.63) | $8.44_{-0.03}^{+0.03}$ | $7.944_{-0.05}^{+0.05}$ | 11.62 | 3.11(0.42) |
| NGC 4568 | 0.19 | 4.89 (0.12) | 3.76 (0.59) | $8.61{ }_{-0.01}^{+0.01}$ | $7.91{ }_{-0.07}^{+0.06}$ | 21.38 | 1.54(0.24) |
| NGC 4569 | 0.25 | 7.25 (0.24) | 7.06(0.43) | $8.79_{-0.01}^{+0.01}$ | $8.17_{-0.03}^{+0.03}$ | 16.27 | 1.95(0.13) |
| NGC 4631 | 0.21 | 2.35 (0.15) | 2.13(0.40) | $7.70_{-0.03}^{+0.03}$ | $7.02_{-0.09}^{+0.07}$ | 20.11 | 1.81(0.36) |
| NGC 4647 | 0.38 | 5.28 (0.37) | 7.81(0.67) | $8.65{ }_{-0.03}^{+0.03}$ | $8.20_{-0.04}^{+0.04}$ | 9.47 | 2.96 (0.33) |
| NGC 4654 | 0.13 | 3.36 (0.19) | 1.77(0.57) | $8.455_{-0.03}^{+0.02}$ | $7.60{ }_{-0.17}^{+0.12}$ | 32.16 | 1.05(0.34) |
| NGC 4691 | 0.11 | 2.16 (0.12) | 1.06(0.30) | $8.52_{-0.02}^{+0.02}$ | $7.57_{-0.15}^{+0.11}$ | 42.02 | 0.98(0.29) |
| NGC 4736 | 0.36 | 4.86 (0.09) | 8.03(0.41) | $7.61{ }_{-0.01}^{+0.01}$ | $7.14_{-0.02}^{+0.02}$ | 10.12 | 3.30(0.18) |
| NGC 4818 | 0.16 | 4.31 (0.28) | 3.46 (0.98) | $8.13_{-0.03}^{+0.03}$ | $7.36{ }_{-0.14}^{+0.11}$ | 26.41 | 1.61(0.47) |
| NGC 4826 | 0.19 | 9.63 (0.13) | 7.72(0.30) | $7.52_{-0.01}^{+0.01}$ | $6.811_{-0.02}^{+0.02}$ | 21.79 | 1.60(0.07) |
| NGC 4845 | 0.12 | 6.14 (0.30) | 3.09(0.67) | $8.70_{-0.02}^{+0.02}$ | $7.81_{-0.11}^{+0.09}$ | 35.55 | 1.01(0.22) |

Column (1): Names of galaxies that had detections of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ Column (2): The optical depth of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ emission derived from Equation (5). Columns (3)-(4): The $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ column density derived from ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$, respectively, from Equation (6) and Equation (8). Columns (5)-(6): ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ luminosities derived from Equation (9) and Equation (10) respectively. Column (7): Excitation temperature calculated by equating the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ column density derived both from ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$. Column (8): The X factor, calculated by dividing $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ column density and CO integrated intensity, in the unit of $10^{20} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\left[\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right]^{-1}$.


Fig. 2 The comparison between the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ luminosity. A correlation is validated with a correlation coefficient (C.C.) of 0.87 . The dotted line corresponds to the average ratio, $\mathcal{R}$.


Fig. 3 The intensity ratio of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}, \mathcal{R}$, is independent of the morphological type. There is no clear relationship between $\mathcal{R}$ and the morphological type evolution in subclasses of spirals.
different main beam efficiencies of different telescopes, but may also be due to the different samples of galaxies with regions larger than the center that are covered in a one-beam-sized field in our observations.

We compared ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ luminosity with ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ luminosity in Figure 2. Of course a tight correlation can be found because of the same distance and the deviation (which accounts for variations in $\mathcal{R}$ ). We could not determine why Taniguchi \& Ohyama (1998) claimed that more luminous galaxies have lower ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ luminosity with respect to ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$. Perhaps a wider range of CO luminosity data of other galaxies is needed.


Fig. 4 The correlation between $\mathcal{R}$ and dust temperature. There seems to be little relationship between them below a temperature of 40 K .

Generally, it is suggested that the intensity ratio, $\mathcal{R}$, is a measure of the cloud environment in galaxies (Aalto et al. 1991; Aalto et al. 1995). High ratio values ( $\mathcal{R}>20$ ) might originate in turbulent, high-pressure gas in the centers of luminous interactive galaxies or mergers, intermediate values ( $10 \leq \mathcal{R} \leq 15$ ) refer to normal starbursts, and low values $(\mathcal{R} \simeq 6)$ represent the disk population of clouds. The deficiency of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ due to isotope-selective photodissociation may alternatively account for a high $\mathcal{R}$.

Sage \& Isbell (1991) found that the intensity ratio of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{CO} \mathcal{R}$ is independent of the morphological type. Our result in Figure 3 is similar to Young et al. (1989) and Sage \& Solomon (1989): there is no clear relationship between the intensity ratio, $\mathcal{R}$, and the morphological type evolution in subclasses of spirals.

Figure 4 illustrates the relationship between $T_{\text {dust }}$ and $\mathcal{R}$. It has been claimed that high CO luminosities in luminous far-infrared galaxies are due to a greater excitation temperature of CO gas rather than a higher mass quantity (Maloney \& Black 1988; Stacey et al. 1991). However, we concluded the same results as Sage \& Isbell (1991): there seems to be no clear relationship between them below a temperature of 40 K . Unfortunately, we were unable to test whether there exists a trend in $\mathcal{R}$ and $T_{\text {dust }}$ beyond 40 K .

The $\mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{H}_{2}$ conversion factor gives us a direct way to estimate $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ gas in molecular clouds through CO. In the Milky Way, we usually take a universal value of $X=2 \times$ $10^{20} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\left(\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)^{-1}$ as an estimation. For an estimation that is valid in other galaxies, we found an average value of $1.44 \pm 0.84 \times 10^{20} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\left(\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)^{-1}$, which is slightly lower than the standard value in the Milky Way.

## 5 SUMMARY

Using the PMO 13.7-m millimeter-wave telescope, we simultaneously observed the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO},{ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ and $\mathrm{C}^{18} \mathrm{O} J=1-0$ rotational transitions in the centers of 58 nearby galaxies with relatively strong ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ emissions. We detected ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ emissions in 42 out of the 58 galaxies, but had a null detection of $\mathrm{C}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ emission with a $\sigma$ upper limit of 2 mK . The main two results are summarized as follows:
(1) We presented results of spectra, using the integrated intensity of both ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ emissions in each galaxy. Central beam ratios, $\mathcal{R}$, of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ range mostly from 5 to 13 , with an average value of $8.14 \pm 4.21$, which is slightly lower than previous estimates for normal galaxies.
(2) We calculated ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ luminosities and clear correlations are validated. We computed the column density of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ gas from $I\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}\right)$ and then calibrated the X factor, finding an average value of $1.44 \pm 0.84 \times 10^{20} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\left(\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)^{-1}$, which is slightly lower than the standard value in the Milky Way.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the Status Report http://www.radioast.csdb.cn/zhuangtaibaogao.php
    ${ }^{2}$ http://www.iram. fr/IRAMFR/GILDAS/

