# A study of active galactic nuclei in low surface brightness galaxies with Sloan Digital Sky Survey spectroscopy \*

Lin Mei<sup>1,2</sup>, Wei-Min Yuan<sup>3</sup> and Xiao-Bo Dong<sup>4</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100012, China; *meilin05@mails.gucas.ac.cn*
- <sup>2</sup> Graduate School of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China
- <sup>3</sup> Yunnan Observatory, National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650011, China
- <sup>4</sup> Center for Astrophysics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China

Received 2008 April 23; accepted 2008 May 6

Abstract Active galactic nuclei (AGNs) in low surface brightness galaxies (LSBGs) have received little attention in previous studies. We present a detailed spectral analysis of 194 LSBGs from the Impey et al. (1996) APM LSBG sample which has been observed spectroscopically by the Sloan Digital Sky Survey Data Release 5 (SDSS DR5). Our elaborate spectral analysis enables us to carry out, for the first time, reliable spectral classification of nuclear processes in LSBGs based on the standard emission line diagnostic diagrams in a rigorous way. Star-forming galaxies are common, as found in about 52% of LSBGs. We find that, contrary to some previous claims, the fraction of galaxies that contain AGNs is significantly lower than that found in nearby normal galaxies of high surface brightness. This is qualitatively in line with the finding of Impey et al. This result holds true even within each morphological type from Sa to Sc. LSBGs that have larger central stellar velocity dispersions or larger physical sizes tend to have a higher chance of harboring an AGN. For three AGNs with broad emission lines, the black hole masses estimated from the emission lines are broadly consistent with the well known M- $\sigma_*$  relation established for normal galaxies and AGNs.

Key words: galaxies: active — galaxies: fundamental parameters — galaxies: nuclei

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

Galaxies with blue central surface brightnesses which are significantly fainter than the classical Freeman value of  $\mu_0^B$ =21.65 mag arcsec<sup>-2</sup> are commonly referred to as Low Surface Brightness Galaxies (LSBGs). The exact defining criterion of  $\mu_0^B$ , which by convention, is the central surface brightness of a galactic disk, varies in the literature, though it falls mostly within  $\mu_0^B$ = 22.0 – 23.0 mag arcsec<sup>-2</sup> (e.g., O'Neil et al. 1998; Bell et al. 2000). It has been suggested that LSBGs could account for a significant fraction of all galaxies in the Universe (e.g., Freeman 1970; McGaugh et al. 1995), and thus are an important constituent of galactic clusters. LSBGs show some extremely different properties from high surface brightness galaxies (HSBGs). The typical value of metallicity in LSBGs is one third that of the solar metallicity (Impey & Bothun 1997). Observationally, like Malin 1 (Impey & Bothun 1989), a significant number of LSBGs possess diffuse faint disks with little stellar content but substantial amounts

<sup>\*</sup> Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

of neutral hydrogen gas. The low surface brightnesses indicates low star formation rates (SFRs) in these systems. Indeed, it has been found that the HI surface mass densities in LSBGs are near or below the threshold for critical gas surface density for star formation (Kennicutt 1989; van der Hulst et al. 1993; de Blok et al. 1996; Schaye 2004). These extreme properties imply that LSBGs are less evolved than HSBGs. Bulge-dominated LSBGs are redder than disk-dominated LSBGs and both can be well described with an exponential surface brightness distribution (Beijersbergen et al. 1999). Bulges of LSBGs were found to be metal-poor compared to those of HSBGs (Galaz et al. 2006). Schombert et al. (1990) found that there is no evidence for heavy dust obscuration in LSBGs. However, a recent study of infrared properties of LSBGs using Spitzer data indicated that modest amounts of dust exist in a fraction of LSBGs, although their metallicity and apparent transparency are low (Hinz et al. 2007).

The low surface brightness, comparable to or fainter than the night sky background  $(22.5 - 23.0 \text{ mag} \text{ arcsec}^{-2}$  in the *B* band), makes the detection of LSBGs difficult. As such, much less optically selected LSBG samples than normal HSBGs have been cataloged from surveys by ground-based telescopes (e.g., Impey et al. 1996; Monnier Ragaigne et al. 2003). Impey et al. (1996) published a LSBG catalog of 693 LSBGs derived from the Automated Plate Measuring (APM) machine scans of the UK Schmidt Telescope survey plates. A catalog containing 2469 southern-sky LSBGs also from the APM scans of the UK Schmidt photographic plates was given by Morshidi-Esslinger et al. (1999).

Unlike HSBGs, which have been the focus of extensive studies in extragalactic astrophysics over many years, nuclear activity in LSBGs has drawn little attention. This may be due partly to the lack of large samples of LSBGs. So far, few secured AGNs with reliable detections have been reported in the literature, not to mention their properties. Potentially, a study of AGNs in LSBGs is as important as in HSBGs for the following reasons. First, it may provide important and complementary clues to uncover the formation and growth of super-massive black holes (SMBHs), since LSBGs may have experienced a different route than HSBGs in their formation and evolution. Second, in light of AGN feedback, the evolution and ecology of LSBGs may be affected by the presence of powerful AGNs. Third, a comparison of different host galaxy environments where AGNs reside, HSBGs and LSBGs, may shed light on the trigger of AGN activity and the dependence of AGN properties on their host galaxies.

However, a few contradictory results have been given in the literature as to the fraction of LSBGs that harbor AGNs. When AGN activity was first searched for via optical spectroscopy in small samples of LSBGs, a surprising result was suggested that LSBGs seem to have a higher fraction of AGNs. Among 10 giant LSBGs, Sprayberry et al. (1995) found 4 Seyfert 1 and 1 Seyfert 2 nuclei, indicating a significantly high AGN fraction. In a sample of 34 giant, HI-rich LSBGs, Schombert (1998) found a high fraction (40%–50%) of low luminosity AGNs, similar to that in the magnitude-limited local galaxy sample studied by Ho et al. (1997a), which are mostly HSBGs. However, such a high AGN fraction could not be confirmed by Impey et al. (2001, hereafter Impey01) in a spectroscopic study of 250 LSBGs drawn from the Impey et al. (1996) LSBG sample; in contrast, they found an AGN fraction less than 5%.

It should be noted that in essentially all those previous studies, the identification of AGNs was subjected to large uncertainties, due to the following limitations. Firstly, the optical spectra taken were mostly of low spectral resolution (a resolution ~ 20 Å used in Impey01) and low signal to noise ratio (S/N), and some had narrow wavelength coverage. As such, the line flux measurements were uncertain, especially those close lines which suffered from blending. In most studies above, AGNs were identified as having strong low-ionization features ([NII] and [SII]) combined with [OI], unless a broad Balmer line was present, rather than based on the rigorous line flux ratio diagnostic diagrams. The only case where an emission line ratio diagnostic diagram ([OIII]/H $\beta$  – [SII]/H $\alpha$ ) was invoked to separate AGNs and HII regions was in Impey01. However, there are considerable uncertainties in the line ratios, especially for H $\alpha$ , which is heavily blended with [NII] $\lambda\lambda$ 6548, 6583. Secondly, no subtraction of the starlight spectra of the host galaxy was performed in those studies, which is important for the search of AGN signatures, especially for low luminosity AGNs, as discussed in detail in Ho et al. (1997b). The spectra of host galaxy starlight could severely affect the detection and measurement of emission lines, by making some weak emission lines invisible (such as H $\beta$ ), or distort the line fluxes, or even spuriously mimic weak broad emission lines.

The Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS, Stoughton et al. 2002) has acquired high quality optical spectra of a million galaxies over a large portion of the sky (Strauss et al. 2002), some of which could be LSBGs. On the other hand, we have developed an algorithm that successfully removes the host galaxy's starlight and accurately fits emission lines (see Zhou et al. 2006 for details). These two features provide us with an opportunity to revisit the above unsolved question of AGNs in LSBGs, with much better spectral S/N and resolution (R = 1800 - 2200), wavelength coverage, and data homogeneity. The high spectral quality of large LSBG samples provided by the SDSS allows us to not only study the demographics of AGNs, but also, for the first time, the properties of AGNs in LSBGs. This paper presents such a study of nuclear activity in a LSBG sample. We introduce the sample and the data analysis in Section 2. The demographics of nuclear activity in LSBGs are presented in Section 3, followed by a preliminary study of the properties of LSBG AGNs in Section 4. Discussion and a summary of conclusions are given in the last two sections, respectively. A cosmology with  $H_0 = 70 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1} \, Mpc^{-1}}$ ,  $\Omega_M = 0.3$ , and  $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$  is adopted.

## 2 APM-SDSS SAMPLE AND SPECTRAL DATA ANALYSIS

## 2.1 The APM-SDSS Sample

In the northern sky, the largest and most well-defined optically selected LSBG sample is the catalog compiled by Impey et al. (1996), which was constructed from the Automated Plate Measuring (APM) machine scans of the UK Schmidt Telescope survey plates. It is the most extensive catalog of LSBGs in the northern sky to date, comprising 693 galaxies in the local universe with redshifts < 0.1 selected from a sky region of 786 square degrees centered on the equator. Among them, 513 galaxies have large angular sizes (The major-axis diameter  $D \ge 30$  arcsec at the limiting isophote of the APM scans of 26 mag arcsec<sup>-2</sup>) and 180 have small angular sizes (D < 30 arcsec). Most LSBGs in this catalog have central surface brightnesses ranging from  $\mu_0^{\rm B}$ =21.5 mag arcsec<sup>-2</sup> to 26.5 mag arcsec<sup>-2</sup> in the *B* band. It should be noted that some of the galaxies with  $\mu_0^{\rm B}$  at the bright end may not qualify as LSBGs when the  $\mu_0^{\rm B}$ =22.0 mag arcsec<sup>-2</sup> cutoff is applied. However, a significant fraction of these galaxies is still expected to be LSBGs, since the presence of a galactic bulge residing in a low surface brightness (LSB) disk may apparently result in a bright  $\mu_0^{\rm B}$  (Beijersbergen et al. 1999).

We searched for spectral data from the SDSS Data Release 5 (DR5) for the LSBGs in the Impey et al. sample. We found that, out of the 693 LSBGs, 194 have SDSS spectra and were classified as galaxies by the SDSS pipeline. They form our sample of study in this paper. Among them, 95 are also in the spectroscopically observed subsample presented by Impey01. Figure 1 shows the distributions of total *B*-magnitude and  $\mu_0^B$  for the whole APM sample and the APM-SDSS subsample. It can be seen that the APM-SDSS subsample spans almost the entire ranges of the total magnitude and  $\mu_0^B$ , though it drops more quickly at the faint end than the parent sample, due to the magnitude limit of the SDSS spectroscopic survey. It also shows that a large fraction of the APM-SDSS subsample has  $\mu_0^B$  fainter than 22 mag arcsec<sup>-2</sup>, the minimum of the nominal threshold for LSBGs. For the other objects with  $\mu_0^B < 22 \text{ mag arcsec}^{-2}$ , some are likely LSBGs with a (dominating) bulge. Therefore the APM-SDSS subsample can be regarded as being mostly composed of LSBGs, with possible inclusion of some intermediate galaxies between the typical LSBG and HSBG types. For simplicity, we nominally refer to all the sample objects as LSBGs.

#### 2.2 Spectral Data Analysis

The SDSS spectra have a wavelength coverage from 3800 to 9200 Å with a resolution of  $R\sim1800-2200$ . As was demonstrated by Ho et al. (1997a), the vast majority of the population of AGNs in the local universe are low luminosity AGNs (LLAGNs). For LLAGNs, the optical spectra taken through either slits or fibers are dominated by host galaxy starlight. The SDSS spectra were taken through a fiber aperture of 3 arcsec in diameter (corresponding to 2.9 kpc at a redshift of 0.05), and thus include large amounts of starlight from the host galaxies for the APM-SDSS sample objects. Careful removal of



**Fig.1** Distributions of apparent magnitude  $m_{BT}$  in the *B* band, central surface brightness  $\mu_0^B$  (top panel: the 693 LSBGs from the catalog of Impey et al. (1996); middle panel: the 194 APM-SDSS LSBGs; bottom panel: the 131 emission-line LSBGs with the H $\beta$ , [OIII]  $\lambda$ 5007, H $\alpha$  and [NII]  $\lambda$ 6583 four lines detected with S/N > 3).

starlight, especially stellar absorption features, is essential for the detection and measurement of possible emission lines from the galaxy's nuclei. For proper modelling of the host galaxy's starlight spectra, we use the algorithm developed at the Center for Astrophysics, University of Science and Technology of China, which is described in detail in Zhou et al. (2006, see also Lu et al. 2006) and is summarized in Appendix A in this paper.

After subtracting the modeled stellar spectra and a power-law continuum, the leftover emission line spectra, if any, are fitted using an updated version of the code described in Dong et al. (2005), with an improvement made for better recovery of weak emission lines. The broad H $\alpha$  and H $\beta$  lines, if present, are hard to separate from nearby narrow lines. As the narrow Balmer lines and the  $[NII]\lambda\lambda 6548, 6583$ doublet have similar profiles to the [SII] $\lambda\lambda$ 6716, 6731 doublet (Filippenko & Sargent 1988; Ho et al. 1997b; Zhou et al. 2006), we use the [S II] $\lambda\lambda$ 6716, 6731 doublet lines as a template to fit narrow lines. If [S II] is weak, [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007 is then used as the template. The [S II] doublet are assumed to have the same profiles and redshifts; and each is fitted with as many Gaussians as is statistically justified, generally with 1–2 Gaussians. Likewise, the [OIII] $\lambda\lambda$ 4959, 5007 doublet are fitted in a similar way. Furthermore, the flux ratio of  $\lambda 5007/\lambda 4959$  is fixed to the theoretical value of 3. When a good model of the narrowline template is achieved, we scale it to fit the narrow Balmer lines and the [NII] $\lambda\lambda$ 6548, 6583 doublet. The flux ratio of the [N II] doublet  $\lambda 6583/\lambda 6548$  is fixed to the theoretical value of 2.96. For the possible broad H $\alpha$  and H $\beta$  lines, we use multiple Gaussians to fit them, as many as is statistically justified. If a broad emission line is detected at  $> 5\sigma$  confidence level, we regard it as genuine. If the broad H $\beta$  line is too weak to achieve a reliable fit, we then re-fit it assuming that it has the same profile and redshift as the broad H $\alpha$  line.

Our analysis results in detections of 131 emission line galaxies out of the total 194 LSBGs. This gives a fraction of 68% of emission line galaxies in LSBGs. The objects are listed in Table 1, along

with the fitted parameters of the important lines. Also listed are the stellar velocity dispersions in the central region of the host galaxies,  $\sigma_*$ , which are obtained in the above procedure of modeling the host galaxy starlight spectra. Three out of the 131 galaxies are found to show broad Balmer emission lines and should be broad line AGNs. Their spectra are shown in Figure 2 and the parameters of the broad lines are given in Table 2. It should be noted that the widths of the emission lines are corrected to the instrumental broadening, which is 55–80 km s<sup>-1</sup> for SDSS spectra.

To demonstrate the reliability of our spectral analysis, as well as the spectral quality of the SDSS, we compare our detections of the broad line AGNs with those in Impey01. Among the 95 overlapping objects in both the Impey01 spectroscopic subsample and our APM-SDSS subsample, two were claimed to have broad emission lines by those authors. 1226+0105 (SDSS J122912.9+004903.7) is also found in our work with the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of H $\alpha$  4750 km s<sup>-1</sup>, which is slightly broader than the previous value (4570 km s<sup>-1</sup>, Impey01). The narrower FWHM value in Impey01 is likely due to the fact that broad and narrow component deblending could not be performed given the low spectral resolution (20 Å). For the second object, 1436+0119 (SDSS J143846.3+010657.7), however, we cannot confirm the presence of a broad H $\alpha$  line with the starlight subtracted SDSS spectrum (Fig. 3). An inspection of the original spectrum in Impey01 seems to suggest that the previously claimed broad H $\alpha$ line is likely spurious and just a feature in the stellar spectrum (no starlight subtraction was performed in Impey01) when the resolution and S/N are low. Furthermore, the one with the weakest broad line among the above 3 broad line AGNs in our work (see Fig. 2), SDSS J231815.7+001540.2 (NGC 7589), was missed in Impey01. This object is likely a Seyfert 1.9, which was previously found to show strong, highly variable hard X-ray emission (Yuan et al. 2004). We thus conclude that our spectral analysis results are much more accurate and reliable.

It is worth noting that the three LSBGs, J005342.7–010506.6, J111549.4+005137.5 and J133032.0–003613.5 that were classified as type 1 AGNs by Hao et al. (2005), do not show evidence of broad emission lines in this work. This is likely due to the difference in subtraction of narrow lines. Hao et al. (2005) modeled every narrow line with one single Gaussian. Yet we noticed that the profiles of the narrow lines are asymmetric and thus we used 2 Gaussians to model each of the [SII] doublets; the fit is used as a model to subtract the narrow component of H $\alpha$  and [NII], as described in Section 2.2. The asymmetric profiles of the narrow H $\alpha$  and [NII] components can mimic a broad H $\alpha$  component (see also Ho et al. 1997b; Greene & Ho 2007). To be conservative, we do not consider these 3 LSBGs as broad line AGNs in this paper.

#### 2.3 Spectral Classification of Nuclear Activity

Generally, there are three types of emission line spectra in galactic nuclei: star-forming (HII) nuclei in which line emitting gas is photoionized by radiation from hot stars, Seyfert nuclei characteristic of photoionization by a power-law continuum powered by black hole accretion and Low-Ionization Nuclear Emission Regions (LINERs, Heckman 1980) with relatively strong low ionization lines (such as [OI]  $\lambda 6300$  and [NII]  $\lambda \lambda 6548$ , 6583) and generally lower nuclear luminosities compared to Seyfert galaxies. The nature of LINERs is still controversial, though an accretion-powered AGN appears to be preferred in recent studies (see, e.g. Ho et al. 1997a). Following Ho et al., we regard LINERs as a subclass of AGNs in this paper.

Classification of galactic nuclei based on optical emission lines has been extensively investigated by various authors. One common and effective method is to compare the flux ratios of close lines with predictions of different photoionization models. It was first shown by Baldwin, Phillips & Terlevich (1981, hereafter BPT) that AGNs could be separated from HII nuclei on the diagrams of the line flux ratios [NII] $\lambda$ 6583 / H $\alpha$  vs. [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007 / H $\beta$ , [OII] $\lambda$ 3727 / [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007 vs. [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007 / H $\beta$  and [OI] $\lambda$ 6300 / H $\alpha$  vs. [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007 / H $\beta$  (the BPT diagrams). Veilleux & Osterbrock (1987) revised this method by including the line ratio [SII] $\lambda\lambda$ 6717, 6731/H $\alpha$  and excluding the [OII] $\lambda$ 3727/[OIII]  $\lambda$ 5007 because it is sensitive to reddening. A similar scheme was also used by Ho et al. (1997b). Using a large sample of SDSS emission line galaxies, Kauffmann et al. (2003, hereafter, Ka03) found a clear, empirical separation between star-forming galaxies and AGNs on the BPT diagram of [NII] $\lambda$ 6583/H $\alpha$  versus



**Fig.2** SDSS spectra of 3 LSB AGNs showing broad lines. The vertical axis is flux in units of  $10^{-17}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> Å<sup>-1</sup> and the horizontal axis is wavelength in Å. The left panel shows the procedure of starlight/continuum subtraction: from top to bottom, the original spectrum, the stellar component, the nuclear continuum, and the starlight/continuum subtracted residual. The right panel shows the result of spectral fit in the H $\alpha$ -[SII] region (Top panel: original data and individual components of the fit. Blue curve: narrow component; red curve: broad component; gray curve: final fit result. Lower panel: the residuals). Top: SDSS J122912.9+004903.7; middle: SDSS J011448.7-002946.1; bottom: SDSS J231815.7+001540.2.

 Table 1
 Spectral Parameters and Classification of the 131 Emission-line LSBGs

Name	z	[OII] <sup>a</sup>	${\rm H}\beta^{\rm a}$	[O III] <sup>a</sup>	[OI] <sup>a</sup>	$\mathrm{H}\alpha^{\mathrm{a}}$	[NII] <sup>a</sup>	[SII] <sup>a</sup>	FWHM[NII] <sup>b</sup>	$\sigma_*^{\rm c}$	Type <sup>d</sup>
		λ3727	narrow	$\lambda 5007$	λ6300	narrow	$\lambda 6583$	$\lambda 6717/\lambda 6731$	$\rm km~s^{-1}$	${\rm km}~{\rm s}^{-1}$	
001455.1+001508.3 (	0.039	289.6	400.6	122.2	61.1	1859.1	1062.5	282.2/261.8	226.7	111.9	composite
001558.2-001812.6 (	0.039	***	43.6	89.1	46.0	163.3	129.0	88.0/ 68.1	299.5	170.3	LINER
001930.7-003606.3 (	0.033	***	223.6	312.3	16.7	623.7	130.6	133.1 /93.2	172.2	***	hii
002149.8-001929.4 (	0.044	***	4.1	6.0	***	12.4	3.5	4.7/3.1	163.6	***	hii
002534.4+005048.6 (	0.018	***	36.3	15.9	7.0	114.0	37.9	30.9/21.9	161.2	***	hii
003143.3+005402.8 (	0.018	75.9	12.7	13.4	***	43.9	12.4	13.2/12.7	169.6	***	hii
005042.7+002558.3 (	0.068	71.8	30.1	98.2	20.0	147.8	130.6	41.8/38.2	424.0	163.4	S2
005257.2+002317.4 (	0.035	***	48.4	20.4	6.8	172.4	54.8	44.1/28.2	166.7	***	hii
005257.8+002207.6 (	0.034	***	1080.2	3204.9	81.7	4067.3	609.2	424.7/274.9	179.4	147.7	hii
005342.7-010506.6 (	0.047	***	132.5	1179.5	143.7	349.7	594.8	8.1 /8.1	318.9	140.4	LINER
005509.0-010247.3 (	0.046	41.8	32.8	46.5	***	65.1	77.5	29.3/21.2	367.5	170.6	LINER
005848.9+003514.0 (	0.018	***	163.2	53.3	15.2	670.5	287.9	107.1/93.1	196.0	***	hii
005855.5+010017.4 (	0.018	182.5	713.7	3600.7	31.9	2469.7	65.8	159.3/114.4	155.1	438.1	hii
010550.2+001432.2 (	0.048	***	151.6	40.8	18.3	617.6	203.4	93.8/71.2	184.8	76.2	hii
011050.8+001153.3 (	0.018	***	93.9	19.4	5.0	409.4	149.5	63.9/45.1	165.0	***	hii
011244.8+003935.1 (	0.065	35.9	38.1	15.1	7.6	139.2	53.8	30.9/24.2	175.1	96.0	hii
011310.0+005012.1 (	0.033	64.9	11.4	6.4	***	42.1	11.1	13.4/10.3	160.8	***	hii
011448.7-002946.1 (	0.034	***	346.6	748.1	46.8	1478.3	704.8	203.8/135.5	259.7	149.7	S1
012121.3+000525.5 (	0.013	***	31.4	24.5	13.7	65.6	17.0	27.7/14.9	184.5	84.1	hii
012539.7+011041.2 (	0.020	***	53.3	12.1	11.9	207.1	81.6	39.3/ 30.5	166.4	***	hii
021532.0-001727.4 (	0.026	63.0	16.6	9.4	***	46.9	11.5	12.4/8.5	169.5	***	hii
022606.7-001954.9 (	0.021	38.6	22.5	39.7	***	91.9	89.3	36.9/26.8	281.4	112.1	LINER
022933.9+002223.3 (	0.021	***	14.0	25.8	***	31.9	6.6	11.7/8.7	189.1	***	hii
023143.4+001736.5 (	0.021	***	18.5	8.4	7.4	54.8	16.3	17.2/13.1	171.1	***	hii
023238.1+003539.3 (	0.022	59.2	1018.5	3510.1	68.7	2862.3	215.1	325.9/218.8	170.5	98.1	hii
023239.3+003702.4 (	0.021	29.3	172.7	86.8	29.8	669.1	269.2	121.1 /75.1	189.0	***	hii
023601.0+002512.8 (	0.009	***	14.8	9.8	***	36.3	13.4	15.0/9.8	162.4	***	h11
024056.6+001445.6 (	0.027	***	11.3	10.1	10.2	29.0	9.1	9.8/5.4	179.3	***	hii
024227.3+010214.4 (	0.046	***	35.4	17.2	5.8	152.9	70.2	30.3/24.2	244.4	100.0	h11
024547.6-001427.1 (	0.023	***	8.4	7.5	***	18.4	9.2	9.0/5.7	166.6	***	composite
024631.1-002158.5 (	0.051	***	12.8	4.9	***	54.5	20.4	12.6/7.4	159.3	***	h11
031859.4+011347.5 (	0.038	198.3	6.5	9.4	***	27.4	5.4	9.0/5.4	142.3	***	h11
032910.8-010246.3	0.036	***	7.9	4.7	***	19.3	3.8	5.2/3.5	152.3	***	h11
033507.2-005237.9 (	0.038	***	5.9	18.0	***	25.8	9.5	8.3/3.1	166.6	***	composite
034907.9 + 010943.4 (	0.014	70.8	923.3	2489.0	40.7	2931.6	280.3	315.4/224.2	163.5	***	h11
035326.2+005030.5 (	0.038	100.4	33.4	32.2	***	122.4	/3.1	25.2/ 19.9	192.0	113.1	composite
091613.8+004202.3	0.038	43.7	320.2	221.1	44.4	1386.2	008.9	225.7/183.5	285.1	121.7	composite
091/45.3+010319.5 (	0.027	30.8	37.1	15.0	/.9	140.3	39.3	34.4/ 22.2	165.8	97.5	h11
091955.2 - 003528.9 (	0.029	***	10.2	15.9	*** 0 0	49.7	8.1	15.3/10.8	162.7	***	h11
$092340.4 \pm 022351.4$	0.017	(17.0	57.5 15.5	89.0	8.9	194.4	25.7	34.9/20.7	1/3.4	***	n11
$093223.1 \pm 023251.4$ (	0.017	617.8	15.5	30.7	12 (	52.4	6.0	10.8/7.9	107.1	***	h11
$095959.5 \pm 003817.0$	0.033	33.9	0/.1	100.5	13.0	200.2	43.3	01.2/43.3	1/0.4	104.0	nii LINED
$100440.8 \pm 002211.0$	0.043	100.5	19.8	20.7	***	32.2	07.2	45.1/22.5	224.5	124.0	LINEK
$101103.2 \pm 011320.7$	0.055	12.4	201.0	19.1	15.0	520.5 941 1	103.7	39.8/ 32.1 02.5/ 71.6	172.5	90.U ***	1111 h.::
$101117.9 \pm 002032.0$	0.012	42.4	201.9	20.2	15.0	041.1	201.0	95.5/ /1.0	1/3.3	sk sk sk	1111 b.::
$101855.9 \pm 021459.2$	0.040	817.8	50.2	30.2	***	127.5	30.7 92.1	32.0/ 19.3	101.4	00.2	n11 1.::
$102353.5 \pm 021905.0$	0.004	439.7	30.2	12.4	***	100.4	02.1	20.8/19.0	207.2	99.3 ***	1111 b.::
103133.1 - 003024.3	0.033	21.0	13.0	12.0	5.0	45.4	10.7	13.1/10.7	191.0	sk sk sk	1111 1.::
$103220.9 \pm 023518.0$	0.022	21.0	20.0	23.4	20.6	1675 0	19.4	27.7/17.0	1/1.4	717	1111 h.::
103321.0+023038.1 (	0.029	310.9	112.0	250.2	127 G	10/3.2	394.3 400.0	227.0/102.1	213.0	/1./ 200.9	IIII I INED
$103725.0 \pm 021043.3$ (	0.040	24.1 26.5	20.5	16.0	127.0	104.5	+00.0 52.0	230.4/203.3	358.0	209.0	composite
$103723.7 \pm 020443.1$ ( 103727 7 $\pm 020521$ 0 (	0.072	20.J ***	20.3	30.2	12 0	73.0	52.9 111 A	51.2/ 22.3 57 //30 7	330.9 485.0	196.0	I INEP
$103727.7 \pm 020321.9$ ( $103825.5 \pm 000104.2$ (	0.073	***	9.0 1/1 3	12.0	+2.9 3 0	73.9 50.2	111.4	51.4/37.1 10 1/17 7	403.0	190.U ***	hii
103023.3-000104.2 (	0.019	10.6	14.5	12.0	J.2 ***	25.5	10.0	17.4/12.2	1/0.7	***	hii
104403.9 - 003744.3 ( $104501.2 \pm 012955.2$ (	0.027	40.0	7.4 13.1	10.0	***	23.3 36.6	4.5	1.4/3.2	175 5	***	hii
1045001 + 000422 4 0	0.020	).U ***	12.1	10.7	15 1	10.0	22 2	16.9/10.1	345.2	180.6	I INED
104509.1+000455.4 (	0.094	280.2	12.1	10.J 355 0	13.1 63.1	19.9	23.3 530 2	357 1/271 5	3+3.2 102.2	101.6	hii
104634 3+01/627 9 0	0.047	***	10/	8.1	***	26.0	38	64/61	162.3	***	hii
1010010010010027.0 (			10.7	0.1		20.0	5.0	0.1/0.1	102.0		

Table 1 – Continued.

Name	z	[OII] <sup>a</sup>	${\rm H}\beta^{\rm a}$	[O III] <sup>a</sup>	$[OI]^{\mathrm{a}}$	$\mathrm{H}\alpha^\mathrm{a}$	[NII] <sup>a</sup>	[SII] <sup>a</sup>	FWHM[NII] <sup>b</sup>	$\sigma^{\rm c}_*$	Type <sup>d</sup>
		λ3727	narrow	$\lambda 5007$	$\lambda 6300$	narrow	$\lambda 6583$	$\lambda 6717/\lambda 6731$	$\rm km~s^{-1}$	$\rm km \ s^{-1}$	
104819.9-000119.1	0.039	24.4	10.3	4.2	3.3	52.3	22.7	12.4/8.2	172.9	61.9	hii
105318.6+023733.7	0.003	85.3	185.5	371.0	13.5	556.8	17.7	56.4/ 36.2	154.2	***	hii
110700.5+001022.3	0.038	***	13.1	17.2	***	33.8	6.4	7.4/5.6	176.5	***	hii
111549.4+005137.5	0.046	36.0	161.2	219.8	290.0	972.7	815.5	641.4/473.4	554.6	187.7	LINER
111849.6+003709.5	0.025	109.6	123.3	33.8	15.7	462.7	162.3	81.6 /56.1	188.0	***	hii
112409.2+004202.0	0.026	739.0	58.6	18.4	5.7	246.4	89.2	40.5/27.6	177.3	***	hii
112712.2-005940.8	0.003	390.8	74.3	109.1	9.3	200.4	16.4	46.8/ 35.4	158.4	***	hii
112718.8-010335.5	0.041	255.7	7.3	5.4	***	21.0	5.9	6.8/4.3	159.5	***	hii
113245.4-004427.8	0.022	***	34.5	24.7	4.6	134.1	29.5	39.1/27.0	155.7	***	hii
113505.0+023304.1	0.017	28.5	207.4	424.9	17.0	706.4	97.2	107.4 /78.7	157.0	83.8	hii
115336.9+020957.8	0.040	***	9.6	21.2	***	39.0	4.4	10.4/5.0	164.0	***	hii
115342.8-013935.6	0.011	71.3	33.3	56.2	***	93.0	15.0	24.2/13.9	166.5	***	hii
115412.1-002856.7	0.006	132.0	9.8	24.3	***	33.1	2.6	4.5/3.0	159.6	***	hii
115801.1-021038.3	0.082	90.4	13.4	20.0	***	22.3	30.9	9.6/22.7	409.8	187.3	LINER
115924.6+012602.6	0.047	***	25.8	5.3	***	73.6	29.0	12.2/11.4	207.0	64.3	hii
120804.0+004151.3	0.020	38.7	103.6	100.1	9.5	301.9	66.0	57.6/38.3	160.0	***	hii
120806.2-023156.0	0.026	***	14.2	13.9	***	39.0	14.5	12.7/9.3	153.3	***	hii
121159.5+012100.1	0.021	***	82.5	56.3	11.1	273.7	67.6	70.9/49.5	168.6	67.0	hii
121203.3-003621.7	0.035	22.9	708.0	1899.6	46.7	2308.2	223.9	266.7/188.8	192.0	336.1	hii
121248.3-024328.6	0.038	***	247.9	169.9	89.6	1228.5	670.2	331.6/293.6	379.4	169.8	composite
121431.0+021000.7	0.074	***	18.9	7.4	***	73.9	26.2	9.3/8.6	189.5	***	hii
121604.5+011049.6	0.050	***	237.1	74.6	47.7	1175.7	488.3	186.1/148.1	260.9	98.7	h11
121638.7-012/06.7	0.003	1975.4	29.4	45.7	6.0	84.4	4.7	8.9/6.3	177.9	***	h11
122033.8+004/17.6	0.007	***	81.3	96.8	11.4	249.9	38.7	52.4/ 38.7	160.2	***	h11
122404.9+011123.3	0.024	1001.8	413.2	75.1	24.8	1839.0	636.5	228.4/185.3	227.7	107.4	h11
122610.0-010923.1	0.042	***	44.3	25.6	***	151.2	68.8	36.9/26.0	174.2	***	composite
122801.7+013629.5	0.077	***	57.6	18.3	9.6	252.2	86.8	39.1/30.2	175.6	***	h11
122912.9+004903.7	0.079	***	30.7	200.7	11.5	97.1	91.3	33.1/24.5	298.8	168.2	S1
122921.6+010325.0	0.023	23.2	166.0	32.9	17.3	683.7	212.1	121.6/90.9	171.9	67.4	h11
125323.8-002523.5	0.048	***	14.7	6.3	11.8	100.7	40.9	23.9/13.6	172.4	***	h11
130058.7-000139.1	0.004	***	1330.1	4/9.9	15.3	5002.2	1602.0	821.0/615.2	181.1	74.9	h11
$130243.5 \pm 003949.9$	0.041	***	90.6	22.9	13.2	417.6	202.1	62.4/48.9	205.5	95.2	h11
130316.0 + 012807.1	0.041	49.4	39.3	13.2	***	202.0	19.5	38.7/ 30.8	197.0	93.8	hii
$130338.9 \pm 024335.2$	0.0/1	***	22.9	51.5	***	57.4	44.0	36.6/21.9	405.9	207.5	S2
131004.7+005655.7	0.019	***	8.2	4.9	***	20.1	4.4	5.9/4.0	198.4	***	h11
131809.5+001522.1	0.052	***	34.8	50.9	20.0	120.8	30.2	37.3/24.3	104.0	120 (	nii .,
$132340.7 \pm 012142.5$	0.057	***	40.8	3/./ 1267	29.8	290.5	204.4	/0.0/ 58.4	2/1.3	132.0	composite
132955.8+015258.0	0.004	***	79.7 200 5	130.7	9.7	251.5	19.0	47.2/ 32.0	103.1	150 5	n11 52
122205.2 010208.0 0	0.054	245	290.5	200.0	90.8 ***	1555.5	995.0	554.8/2/0.8	299.5	122.2	SZ LINED
125659.2 010606.1	0.012	54.5 ***	101.7	201.2	sk sk sk	02 5	1194.5	010.3/4/3.9	378.0	133.3	LINEK
133038.2-010000.1	0.051	100	104.2	164.2	8.0	93.J 285.2	21.3 42.7	10.3/11.1	1/3.9	***	lill hii
140043.0 - 003020.3	0.012	40.0	104.2	104.5	0.9 ***	112.2	45.7	49.0/ 37.0	157.2	70.7	lill hii
140120.7 - 024243.9	0.030	05.U ***	20.2	20.0	6.6	115.5	29.1	27.9/17.0	137.2	/0./	lill hii
140127.57015822.00	0.024	56.2	480.0	70.9	12 1	02.1	14.9 957 7	200 1/226 6	172.5	797	hii
140320.7 - 003239.8	0.025	2265 5	409.0	/0.0 05 1	43.1 23.0	1/08 /	037.7 485 7	216 1/148 2	190.5	10.1 66.7	hii
140321.1-003230.9	0.025	05.6	20.0	17.2	23.9	1490.4	50.8	20 2/ 24 0	171.2	***	hii
140831.0 - 000737.4	0.023	95.0 665 A	0 A	17.2	9.0 ***	30.3	50.0 8 3	12 7/6 0	171.5	***	hii
1/1132 9_031311 1 (	0.033	***	9.4 87.0	22.6	***	386.5	133 /	52 1/ 38 3	226.2	00.6	hii
141132.9-031311.10	0.030	147	60	12.0	***	36.0	133.4	0.0/6.2	152.0	***	hii
143127 2_024000.0	0.024	33.0	25.6	12.7	***	43 5	+./	10.8/9.0	177.6	***	hii
143421 4+013626 5	0.024	***	25.0	16.8	25	70.1	15.8	19.9/10.1	167.8	***	hii
1/3638 61 000702 6 0	0.031	13.0	1/1 3	6.6	2.J ***	12.1	15.0	13 6/10 8	177 /	***	hii
143846 3+010657 7	0.030	***	20.5	62.8	15.5	+2.1	118.6	70 1/ 52 7	547.8	271.0	\$2
14/2/5 9_ 002102 0 /	0.005	54.0	20.5	/06.0	13.5 27.6	661.0	80 /	132 3 /80 8	170.4	2/1.U ***	bii
$144500 2\pm 012103.9$	0.000	J4.7 ***	221.7	470.7 14 1	27.0 ***	95 0	55.9	20 3/17 0	212.4	88.8	composite
144525 /1 001/0/ 2 /	0.034	***	21.1	16.2	6.6	93.9 87.6	33.7	12 6/9 0	178 7	70 /	hii
144620 6-010520 0	0.038	***	21.0 786 3	3185.1	59.0	2607 A	1103	12.0/9.0 269 5/188 7	158.6	70. <del>4</del> ***	hii
144702 4_ 022307 2 4	0.029	***	88	5 1	JJ.J ***	2011.0	63	61/63	164.0	***	hii
177/02.7-022307.2	0.050		0.0	J.1		22.0	0.5	0.1/0.3	107.0		1111

**Table 1** – Continued.

Name	z	$\begin{matrix} [\text{OII}]^{\text{a}} \\ \lambda 3727 \end{matrix}$	${ m H}eta^{ m a}$ narrow	$\begin{matrix} [{\rm O~III}]^{\rm a} \\ \lambda 5007 \end{matrix}$	$\begin{matrix} [\text{OI}]^{\text{a}} \\ \lambda 6300 \end{matrix}$	$\mathrm{H} \alpha^{\mathrm{a}}$ narrow	$[\text{NII}]^{\text{a}} \\ \lambda 6583$	$\begin{array}{l} [\mathrm{SII}]^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \lambda 6717/\lambda 6731 \end{array}$	FWHM[NII] <sup>b</sup> km s <sup>-1</sup>	$\sigma^{ m c}_{*} \  m km \  m s^{-1}$	Type <sup>d</sup>
144856.4-004338.0	0.028	***	25.3	30.2	***	87.1	15.0	19.3/15.8	168.2	***	hii
144902.6+022611.2	0.034	***	11.2	8.2	***	34.8	21.0	10.7/4.2	182.0	***	composite
144923.4-013333.4	0.027	***	19.9	23.3	***	58.5	8.7	14.8/8.6	163.7	***	hii
145325.3+021810.9	0.027	***	5.7	10.3	***	20.3	2.9	7.4/4.7	146.4	***	hii
145423.9-010747.3	0.023	***	19.4	22.6	***	54.6	10.1	14.9/10.5	172.6	***	hii
231221.0 - 010542.4	0.026	***	13.3	14.5	***	39.3	10.3	13.9/9.3	165.5	***	hii
231501.6+000420.1	0.051	***	23.8	39.0	33.1	77.2	88.8	45.6/42.6	338.2	121.6	LINER
$231815.7 {+} 001540.2$	0.030	***	186.0	514.3	52.6	756.1	483.6	220.1 /178.5	274.9	124.5	S1
231952.0+011305.0	0.030	***	54.4	55.7	8.6	160.1	28.4	44.2/27.3	169.6	***	hii
232021.2-001819.3	0.025	***	14.5	15.4	***	49.2	12.7	17.7/8.3	162.7	***	hii
$232151.8 {-} 004126.8$	0.024	***	53.9	15.1	6.6	244.9	77.7	47.4/35.3	173.1	81.2	hii
233646.8+003724.5	0.009	27.3	125.8	315.5	5.4	356.2	37.4	49.4/34.1	155.0	***	hii
234422.2+000547.0	0.022	***	17.8	4.6	***	21.9	8.4	10.9/5.2	172.9	***	hii

a: In units of  $10^{-17}$  erg cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>;

b: The full width at half maximum (FWHM), in units of km  $s^{-1}$ ;

c: The measured stellar velocity dispersion of galactic bulge, in units of km  $s^{-1}$ ;

d: The classification of emission-line nuclear based on the narrow line flux ratios.

Table 2 Parameters of Broad Balmer Lines of 3 LSB AGNs with Broad Emission Lines

Name SDSS	$\substack{ \mathbf{f}[\mathbf{H}\beta]^{\mathrm{e}} \\ \lambda 4861^{\mathrm{broad}} }$	FWHM (H $\beta$ ) km s <sup>-1</sup>	${ m f}[{ m H}lpha]^{ m e}$ $\lambda 6563^{ m broad}$	FWHM (H $\alpha$ ) km s <sup>-1</sup>	$\sigma_* \ { m km \ s^{-1}}$	$\stackrel{ m M_{BH}^{f}}{M_{\odot}}$
J011448.7-002946.1	743.4	3195	2769.4	2418	84.7	$\begin{array}{c} 2.8 \times 10^{6} \\ 2.0 \times 10^{7} \\ 3.4 \times 10^{6} \end{array}$
J122912.9+004903.7	298.9	7400	1192.6	4750	138.2	
J231815.7+001540.2	***	***	1037.4	3756	98.5	

e: In units of  $10^{-17} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ;

f: Estimated black hole masses based on equations from Greene & Ho (2007).

[OIII] $\lambda$ 5007/H $\beta$ . This classification scheme was refined recently by Kewley et al. (2006, hereafter, Ke06), by including new criteria to separate Seyferts from LINERs using the [SII] $\lambda\lambda$ 6717, 6731/H $\alpha$  and [OI] $\lambda$ 6300/H $\alpha$  line ratios. In this scheme, galaxies falling between the empirical star-forming and AGN dividing line of Ka03 and the theoretical limit (maximum star-formation) of Kewley et al. (2001, hereafter, Ke01) are regarded as composite objects (also referred to as transition objects), which likely contain a metal-rich stellar population plus an AGN, either a Seyfert or a LINER (Ho et al. 1997a; Ke06) In this paper, we adopt the classification scheme of Ke06, since it implements the most recent updates and is also easy to use. Our careful deblending of the [NII] and [SII] doublets ensure that our spectral classification based on these criteria should be reliable.

The classification scheme of Ke06 is summarized here. First, star-forming galaxies are separated from AGNs using the Ka03 dividing line in the [NII] $\lambda$ 6583/H $\alpha$  versus [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007/H $\beta$  diagram: star-forming galaxies are those falling below and to the left-hand side of the dividing line, i.e.

$$\log ([\text{OIII}]/\text{H}\beta) > 0.61/\{\log ([\text{NII}]/\text{H}\alpha) - 0.05\} + 1.3,\tag{1}$$

and AGNs are those falling above and to the right-hand side of the dividing line, i.e.

$$\log \left( [\text{OIII}]/\text{H}\beta \right) < 0.61/\{\log \left( [\text{NII}]/\text{H}\alpha \right) - 0.05\} + 1.3.$$
(2)

AGNs are further grouped into 3 subclasses: composite galaxies are those falling between the Ka03 and Ke01 dividing lines in the [NII] $\lambda$ 6583/H $\alpha$ –[OIII] $\lambda$ 5007/H $\beta$  diagram:

$$\log ([\text{OIII}]/\text{H}\beta) > 0.61/\{\log ([\text{NII}]/\text{H}\alpha) - 0.05\} + 1.3,$$
(3)

and

$$\log ([\text{OIII}]/\text{H}\beta) < 0.61/\{\log ([\text{NII}]/\text{H}\alpha) - 0.47\} + 1.19.$$
(4)



**Fig.3** The same as Fig. 2. SDSS spectrum of the LSBG 1436+0119 (SDSS J143846.3+010657.7) which was claimed to have a broad H $\alpha$  line by Impey01. No broad H $\alpha$  line is shown in the SDSS spectrum.

Objects falling above the Ke01 maximum star formation line are Seyfert galaxies or LINERs, i.e.

$$\log ([\text{OIII}]/\text{H}\beta) > 0.61/\{\log ([\text{NII}]/\text{H}\alpha) - 0.47\} + 1.19.$$
(5)

These two types are further separated in the diagrams of [SII] $\lambda\lambda$ 6717, 6731/H $\alpha$ –[OIII]  $\lambda$ 5007/H $\beta$  or [OI] $\lambda$ 6300/H $\alpha$ –[OIII] $\lambda$ 5007/H $\beta$ , i.e. for Seyfert galaxies,

$$1.89\log\left([\text{SII}]/\text{H}\alpha\right) + 0.76 < \log\left([\text{OIII}]/\text{H}\beta\right) \tag{6}$$

or

$$1.18 \log ([OI]/H\alpha) + 1.30 < \log ([OIII]/H\beta);$$
 (7)

and for LINERs

$$1.89\log\left([\text{SII}]/\text{H}\alpha\right) + 0.76 > \log\left([\text{OIII}]/\text{H}\beta\right) \tag{8}$$

or

$$1.18\log([\text{OI}]/\text{H}\alpha) + 1.30 > \log([\text{OIII}]/\text{H}\beta).$$
(9)

The 131 LSB emission-line galaxies are plotted in the [NII]  $\lambda$ 6583/H $\alpha$ –[OIII] $\lambda$ 5007/H $\beta$  diagram in Figure 4 (left panel), which are marked by different symbols for different categories. It can be seen that the objects reproduce the 'butterfly'–shaped distribution well as shown in Ka03, though with much fewer objects. The star-forming galaxies (crosses) trace the Ka03 dividing line well, spreading over a large range of metallicity values. Further separation between Seyferts and LINERs is demonstrated in Figure 4, in which the line ratios [SII] $\lambda\lambda$ 6717, 6731/H $\alpha$ –[OIII]  $\lambda$ 5007/H $\beta$  (middle panel) and [OI] $\lambda$ 6300/H $\alpha$ –[OIII] $\lambda$ 5007/H $\beta$  (right panel) are plotted for those with the lines detected with S/N> 3. For the objects with both the [SII] and [OI] lines detected with S/N> 3, these two criteria give mutually consistent classification. Among the three broad line AGNs (marked as filled squares) found in the APM-SDSS sample, two have the narrow line properties like Seyferts and one like that of composite objects. The resulting classifications of each emission line LSBG are given in Table 1. In Figure 1 we also overplot the distributions of the total *B* magnitudes and  $\mu_0^B$  for the emission line LSBGs. As demonstration, in Figure 5 we show example spectra and results of spectral analysis for each of the 3 spectral types. We also list the host galaxy properties of the objects classified as an AGN (Seyfert + LINER + composite) in Table 3, as given in Impey et al. (1996).



**Fig.4** The emission line diagnostic diagram based on the AGN classification scheme of Ke06 for 131 emission-line LSBGs with the H $\beta$ , [OIII]  $\lambda$ 5007, H $\alpha$  and [NII]  $\lambda$ 6583 four lines detected with S/N >3. The dashed curve in the left panel shows the demarcation between starburst galaxies and AGNs defined by Ke01. While the solid curve shows the revised demarcation given in Ka03. The filled circle in the top panel represents the composite object. The solid curves in the middle and right panels represent the demarcation between starburst galaxies and AGNs defined by Ke01, and the dashed lines represent the demarcation between Seyfert galaxies and LINERs. Broad line AGNs are marked as filled squares.

## **3 DEMOGRAPHICS OF NUCLEAR ACTIVITY IN LSBGS**

Of the 194 LSBGs, there are 131 ( $68\% \pm 3\%$ ) that show emission lines. Among them, 101 ( $52\% \pm 3\%$ ) objects are classified as star-forming galaxies and 30 as AGNs ( $15\% \pm 2\%$ ; 6 Seyferts, 12 LINERs, and 12 composite galaxies). The fraction of broad line AGNs among LSBGs is  $2\% \pm 1\%$ . This result indicates that the fraction of AGNs is significantly lower than that (>40\%) found for the local bright galaxy sample of Ho et al. (1997a), which is predominantly HSBG. The fraction of AGNs is even lower if not all LINERs and composite objects are AGNs.

As discussed in the sample selection above, probably not all of the 194 LSBGs are low surface brightness in a strict sense. In fact, 79 (40%) of the 194 LSBGs have  $\mu_0^B$  from Impey et al. (1996) brighter than 22.0 mag arcsec<sup>-2</sup>, which are either LSBGs with (dominating) galactic bulges or simply HSBGs. Among them, there are 25 AGNs, which yield an AGN fraction of 31%. Considering possible contamination of HSBGs in this bright subsample, this fraction is likely overestimated and the actual fraction for genuine LSBGs is likely even lower. The remaining 115 galaxies with  $\mu_0^B > 22.0 \text{ mag arcsec}^{-2}$  should be mostly bona fide LSBGs (Impey01). Among them, there are only 5 AGNs, making the fraction as low as  $4\% \pm 2\%$ . This strengthens the above result that LSBGs have a much lower fraction of AGNs as compared to HSBGs.

The incidence of AGNs has been found to be dependent on the Hubble type of a galaxy. It is higher in early type (E–Sb) than in late type galaxies (Ho et al. 1997a). Since a LSBG sample has a very different Hubble type distribution from HSBGs (the former tends to consist of more late type than the latter), the above result may be a reflection of the incidence of AGNs in galaxy morphology. To investigate this possibility, we calculate the fraction of both AGNs and star-forming galaxies in each morphological type, using the Hubble type information provided in Impey et al. (1996). The distribution of the objects of each category and their detection rates over the morphological type are shown in Figure 6 (open histograms). Also plotted are the same distributions for the  $\mu_0^{\rm B} > 22.0$  mag arcsec<sup>-2</sup> subsample (hatched histograms). The numbers are also given in Table 4. It can be seen that the LSBGs have most morphological types later than Sb and peak at Sc, as expected. The detection rate of AGNs is no more than 20% in LSBGs of type Sa, Sb and Sc, and starts to drop to less than 10% in types later than Sc. This result indicates that the overall lower AGN fraction of LSBGs than that of HSBGs is real, rather than a consequence that LSBGs tend to have more of the later Hubble types, which have in general low AGN fractions, as known previously. Interestingly, it increases up to 50% in interacting galaxies. When



galaxies with  $\mu_0^{\rm B}$  > 22.0 mag arcsec<sup>-2</sup> are only considered, the detection rates are even lower, though the sample is small.

**Fig. 5** The same as Fig. 2. Demonstration spectra for the 3 types of nuclear activities. Top: Seyfert 2; middle: LINER; bottom: composite.

280



**Fig.6** Number statistics and detection rates of LSBGs as a function of morphological type of all emission-line nuclei, HII nuclei and AGNs. LSBGs with  $\mu_0^{\rm B} \ge 22.0$  mag arcsec<sup>-2</sup> are shown by hatched histogram.

Name	Name	$\mu_0^{\rm B2}$	$\mu_{ m e}^3$	$B_{\rm total}^4$	$\log(M_{\rm HI})^5$	$R_{\rm e}^6$	$M_{\rm B}^7$	Hubble Type <sup>8</sup>
0012-0001	J001455.1+001508.3	20.8	21.5	15.5		5.7	-19.9	Sb
0013-0034	J001558.2-001812.6	20.3	23.3	14.5	10.18	18.4	-20.9	Sc
0048+0009	J005042.7+002558.3	21.3	22.3	15.8		6.2		Sb
0051-0121	J005342.7-010506.6	21.6	22.9	15.9		7.2		SBc
0052-0119	J005509.0-010247.3	19.9	23.4	14.9		11.9	-20.8	Sd
0112-0045	J011448.7-002946.1	21.5	22.0	14.9		8.9		Interacting
0223-0033	J022606.7-001954.9	22.1	23.3	14.5	9.83	18.3	-19.5	Sc
0243-0027	J024547.6-001427.1	22.6	24.2	17.0		8.4		Sc
0332-0102	J033507.2-005237.9	22.9	23.9	17.3		6.7		Sc
0350+0041	J035326.2+005030.5	21.9	23.5	16.1	9.60	9.7	-19.2	Sc
0913+0054	J091613.8+004202.3	20.4	22.6	15.5	9.68	8.2	-19.8	Sm
1002+0036	J100440.8+002211.6	20.4	23.0	15.8		6.5	-19.8	SBb
1034 + 0234	J103723.6+021845.5	20.2	22.0	15.4		6.5	-20.0	Interacting
1034+0220xc	J103725.7+020443.1	21.6	22.7	17.8		3.1	-19.0	Sbc
1034 + 0220	J103727.7+020521.9	21.0	23.8	16.1		8.8	-20.6	Sc
1042 + 0020	J104509.1+000433.4	21.4	23.4	16.2		9.6	-21.2	Irr
1113+0107	J111549.4+005137.5	21.4	24.5	16.2		11.4	-19.6	Interacting
1155-0153	J115801.1-021038.3	20.7	23.6	15.9		9.9	-21.1	Sc
1210-0226	J121248.3-024328.6	20.6	22.5	15.5		6.9	-19.8	Interacting
1223-0052	J122610.0-010923.1	22.5	22.8	17.3		4.4		Sa
1226+0105	J122912.9+004903.7	20.9	23.9	15.7	10.26	12.9	-21.3	Sc
1301+0259	J130338.9+024335.2	21.9	25.4	16.9		9.7	-19.7	Sc
1321+0137	J132340.7+012142.5	21.5	22.3	15.9	9.64	6.7	-20.3	Sm
1327-0020	J133032.0-003613.5	20.0	20.7	14.9		5.2	-21.2	Interacting
1330-0046	J133305.3-010208.9	18.2	19.0	11.7		8.9	-21.1	SBb
1436+0119	J143846.3+010657.7	21.2	23.1	16.2		7.5	-20.8	Sc
1442+0137	J144500.2+012430.8	22.0	22.9	16.5		6.3	-18.5	Sc
1446+0238	J144902.6+022611.2	21.8	23.4	16.0	9.73	9.5	-19.1	Sc
2312-0011	J231501.6+000420.1	20.7	21.5	15.6	9.98	5.5	-20.4	Sb
2315-0000	J231815.7+001540.2	20.8	23.0	15.0	9.71	12.3	-19.8	Sc

Table 3 Properties of the LSBGs with an AGN

2: Central surface brightness in B band (mag arcsec<sup>-2</sup>);

3: Surface brightness in B band at the effective radius (mag  $\operatorname{arcsec}^{-2}$ );

4: Total apparent magnitude in Johnson B band (mag);

5: Logarithm of the neutral hydrogen mass in solar masses  $(M_{\odot})$ ;

6: Effective radius in arcseconds, defined as the radius of a circular aperture that encloses one-half of the total intensity received from the galaxy (arcsec);

received from the galaxy (arcsec);

7: Absolute magnitude in B band (mag);

8: Morphological classification in the system of de Vaucouleurs et al. (1991).

Table 4 Statistics and the Fraction of Each Type of Active Nuclei for the LSBG Samples

Sample	Seyfert	LINER	Transition	AGN	Star-forming	Emission-line LSBGs
LSBGs (all)	7 (4%)	12 (6%)	11 (6%)	30 (16%)	101 (52%)	131 (68%)
LSBGs ( $\mu_0^{\rm B} \ge 22.0$ )	0 (0.3%)	1 (0.8%)	4 (3%)	5 (4%)	58 (5%)	63 (54%)
LSBGs ( $\mu_0^{\rm B} < 22.0$ )	7 (9%)	11 (14%)	7 (9%)	25 (32%)	43 (54%)	68 (86%)

#### 3.1 Comparison with HSBGs

Strictly speaking, an appropriate comparison of AGN detection rates between two samples requires that they should have the same redshift (distance) distributions, and have the same spectral data quality and analysis procedures. To make sure that our above results are not significantly affected by those biases introduced in sample selection and observation, we construct a comparison sample of HSBGs with SDSS spectra. The sample is drawn from the Third Reference Catalog of Bright Galaxies (RC3) (de Vaucouleurs et al. 1991)—a catalog of typical HSBGs—in such a way that it has the same redshift

Sample	Sa	Sb	Sc
LSBGs	1 (14%)	6 (16%)	14 (19%)
HSBGs	25 (51%)	21 (54%)	6 (33%)

**Table 5** Statistics and the fraction of AGNs in 3 morphological types of the LSBG sample (z < 0.04) and the HSBG comparison sample.

(distance) distribution as that of the APM-SDSS LSBG sample below  $z = 0.04^1$ . This results in a comparison sample consisting of 142 HSBGs with SDSS spectra. For a comparison, the redshift distribution of the HSBG sample is plotted in Figure 7 (middle panel), which is indistinguishable from that of the LSBGs in the z = 0-0.04 range (the K-S test gives a chance probability of 0.1 that the two distributions are drawn from the same population). The HSBG sample is systematically brighter than the LSBG one in total magnitude, as expected. The SDSS spectra of the 142 HSBGs are analyzed using exactly the same procedure as for the LSBGs in Section 2, ensuring homogeneity in data analysis.

We compare the LSBG and HSBG samples in the range of z < 0.04, and only those with the morphological types of Sa, Sb and Sc are considered in order to have sufficient objects to ensure statistically meaningful results. The detection rates of AGNs and star-forming galaxies for both the LSBGs and HSBGs are shown in Figure 8. The results for HSBGs (right-hand side panels) are broadly consistent with those obtained by Ho et al. (1997a), suggesting that our results are reliable. Comparisons between the LSBGs (left-hand side panels) and HSBGs show clearly that LSBGs indeed have a lower AGN fraction (10%–20%) than HSBGs (40%–50%), regardless their morphological type. The numbers are also given in Table 5. Thus, our above results are confirmed.

Interestingly, the fraction of star-forming galaxies in the LSBGs seems to be comparable to, or even slightly higher than, that in the HSBGs. A surprising difference is found in the distribution of the fraction of star-forming galaxies: in HSBGs, this fraction drops significantly from Sc to earlier types and is the lowest for Sa. While in LSBGs, it keeps a high fraction toward early types and even possibly rises for the Sa type.

Summarizing, our results appearently do not support the high AGN fraction reported in Sprayberry et al. (1995) and Schombert (1998), but are qualitatively consistent with that of Impey01.

# **4 PROPERTIES OF AGNS IN LSBGS**

# 4.1 Power of AGN

Figure 9 shows the distributions of the H $\alpha$  and [OIII] emission line luminosities of the APM-SDSS LSBG sample. The majority of the AGNs have H $\alpha$  luminosities lower than  $10^{40}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup>, with a median of  $7.5 \times 10^{39}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup>. All of the AGNs in LSBGs have L[OIII]  $< 10^{41}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> and the distribution peaks between  $10^{38}$ – $10^{39}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup>. These values are 2 to 3 orders of magnitude smaller than those of luminous AGNs. It has been suggested that the luminosity of the [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007 line can be regarded as a tracer of AGN activity (Ka03). Therefore, the AGNs in LSBGs are mainly of low luminosity. Interestingly, as inferred from Figure 9, the AGN detection rate becomes higher with higher L[OIII], i.e. increasing gradually from ~7% at  $10^{37}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> up to ~78% at  $10^{41}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup>.

## 4.2 Properties of the Emission Line Region

#### 4.2.1 Narrow line width and stellar velocity dispersion

In AGNs of normal HSBGs, it has been suggested that kinematics of the narrow line region traces the galactic bulge potential well, via the establishment of a tight correlation between the width of the narrow emission lines and the stellar velocity dispersion  $\sigma_*$ . Here, we test this relationship for AGNs in LSBGs. The value of  $\sigma_*$  can be obtained in our modeling of the stellar spectra of the host galaxy starlight

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This redshift cutoff is introduced because there are few RC3 galaxies with z > 0.04.



**Fig.7** Distribution of redshifts for the APM-SDSS LSBGs (top panel), the comparison of the HSBG sample (middle panel) and the 131 emission-line LSBGs (bottom panel).



**Fig. 8** Fraction of galaxies containing star-forming and AGNs for the LSBGs with z < 0.04 (left panels) and the comparison of the HSBG sample (right panels), for the Sa, Sb and Sc types. The error of fraction for each morphological type is also estimated, which is shown as error bars.

(Section 2). For 34 LSBGs (19 AGNs and 15 star-forming galaxies) with both  $\sigma_*$  and the [NII] doublet detected at the > 5  $\sigma$  level, the relation between  $\sigma_*$  and the [NII] line width is plotted in Figure 10. Strong correlations are found (For AGNs,  $\sigma_* = 0.9 \times (\sigma[\text{NII}] - 150.0) + 155.3$ ; for the whole objects,  $\sigma_* = 0.97 \times (\sigma[\text{NII}] - 150.0) + 151.4$ . The Spearman correlation test gives a probability of  $8.6 \times 10^{-6}$  for AGNs only and  $1.5 \times 10^{-9}$  for AGNs and star-forming galaxies.) Thus, the width of the narrow line  $\sigma[\text{NII}]$  traces the stellar velocity dispersion in LSBGs well.

# 4.2.2 Electron density in the narrow line region

Since in the course of our spectral analysis the [SII] $\lambda\lambda$ 6717, 6731 doublet is deblended, we can assess the electron density in the narrow line region (NLR) from the flux ratio of these two lines (e.g.,



**Fig.9** Distributions of luminosities of the narrow  $H\alpha$  line (in units of erg s<sup>-1</sup>) and [OIII]  $\lambda$ 5007 line of LSBGs. The hatched histogram denotes AGNs. It can be shown that the LSBG, which has higher [OIII]5007 luminosity, tends to have a higher chance of AGN activity.



**Fig. 10** Relationship between the width of the [NII] emission line and the galactic stellar velocity dispersion  $\sigma_*$  for 34 LSBGs which have both measurements of  $\sigma$ [NII] and  $\sigma_*$  with S/N > 5, including 19 AGNs (filled-circles) and 15 star-forming galaxies (open circles). Also plotted are linear relations for all the 34 LSBGs (solid line) and for AGNs only (dashed line) fitted by the regression analysis taking into account the errors in both the variables.

Osterbrock & Ferland 2006; Xu et al. 2007). We assume an electron temperature of  $T_e = 10^4$  K, a typical temperature of the ionized gas in the NLR of AGNs. The electron densities of AGN in both the LSBG and HSBG sample are estimated. Their distributions are shown in Figure 11. For those with [SII] $\lambda$ 6717/[SII]  $\lambda$ 6731 flux ratios greater than 1.42, an upper limit of the electron density is set to be 10 cm<sup>-3</sup>. A comparison shows that these two distributions are significantly different (with a chance probability of  $7 \times 10^{-5}$  given by the K-S test), in a way that AGNs in LSBGs have on average lower NLR electron density than AGNs in HSBGs.

# 4.2.3 Dust extinction

We examine optical extinction in the emission line nuclei of the LSBGs by assuming that the Balmer decrements in excess of the intrinsic value 2.85 for HII region and 3.1 for AGNs (Veilleux & Osterbrock 1987), are caused by dust extinction. The Balmer decrements for the narrow lines are calculated for star-



**Fig. 11** Distribution of the narrow-line region (NLR) electron densities of AGNs in LSBGs (upper panel) and in the comparison sample of HSBGs (lower panel). An upper limit of  $n_e = 10 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  is set for those with  $F_{[SII]\lambda6717} / F_{[SII]\lambda6713}$  greater than 1.42, which are shown as the hatched histogram. AGNs in LSBGs tend to have lower NLR electron densities than those of HSBGs (the probability that the two samples have the same distribution is  $P_{\rm ks} = 7.0 \times 10^{-5}$ ).

forming galaxies and AGNs for both the LSBGs and the HSBGs. For the LSBGs, the median Balmer decrement is 3.3 for star-forming nuclei and 4.0 for AGNs, which correspond to extinction color excesses of  $E_{B-V} = 0.16$  and 0.24, respectively. For the HSBG comparison sample, the median values are 4.2 for star-forming nuclei and 4.7 for AGNs, which correspond to  $E_{B-V} = 0.37$  and 0.40, respectively. This indicates that dust extinction in LSBGs is relatively weaker compared to that in normal galaxies, for both AGNs and star-forming nuclei. A comparison of the distributions of the Balmer decrement between the HSBGs and the LSBGs shows that they are statistically different, for both AGNs and star-forming nuclei (with a chance probability of  $2.7 \times 10^{-7}$  is given by the K-S test for AGNs and star-forming galaxies).

## 4.3 Radio and X-ray Detectability of AGNs in LSBGs

Observationally, AGNs emit their power over a wide range of frequencies, from radio and optical, to X-rays and even  $\gamma$ -rays. Among the 30 LSBG AGNs, only one, J231815.7+001540.2 (NGC 7589) was detected in X-rays with the XMM-Newton, which exhibits large amplitude variability (Yuan et al. 2004). For the other AGNs, the X-ray flux limits set by the ROSAT All-Sky Survey are comfortably consistent with the distribution of the optical-to-X-ray effective spectral indices  $\alpha_{ox}$  found for AGNs. Deeper X-ray observations are needed to detect more examples of AGNs in X-rays.

Of the 30 AGNs in LSBGs, 8 ( $26\%\pm8\%$ ) were detected by the FIRST (Faint Images of the Radio Sky at 20 cm) survey (Becker et al. 1995), including one with broad emission lines. Among them, 2 are resolved in their radio images. We calculate the radio loudness R, defined as the flux ratio between 1.4 GHz and the optical B-band. Six objects have R > 10 and can be classified as radio-loud. Most of the radio-loud objects of our LSBG AGNs are actually radio-intermediate (R < 100), and there are no very radio loud ( $R \ge 100$ ) AGNs. They are all weak radio sources, with 1.4 GHz fluxes less than 10 mJy. For the remaining 22 AGNs, 20 were in the FIRST survey field. Assuming an upper flux limit of 1 mJy for the FIRST survey, we found that at least 13 are "radio-quiet" ( $R \le 10$ ). This makes the fraction of radio-loud AGNs 20%–35%,

# **5 DISCUSSION**

## 5.1 AGN Fraction in LSBGs

We have shown that LSBGs have a lower AGN detection rate compared to HSBGs in the local Universe. The overall fraction of AGNs in the APM-SDSS LSBG sample is  $\sim 15\%$ . Considering that the APM LSBG sample has possible contamination by some HSBGs, the AGN fraction of genuine LSBGs can be even lower. This result holds true within each of the Hubble types from Sa to Sc. We argue that this result is not caused by any selection effects or detecting biases in observations, since it is confirmed by the comparisons with the carefully chosen HSBG sample. In fact, AGNs in LSBGs should be easier to detect spectroscopically compared to those in HSBGs, since the dilution of AGN spectral features by host galaxy starlight spectra is less severe in LSBGs than in HSBGs. To check whether we have missed a substantial amount of AGNs at larger distances, we examine how the AGN detection rate varies with increasing distance. We find that the AGN detection rate is nearly constant at all distances within the largest redshift of the sample (z < 0.1), and thus there is no significant number of faint AGNs missing at large distances.

In general, a low AGN occurrence rate may be possibly due to the inefficiency of fueling gas flowing into the central black holes of galaxies. However, the underlying physical processes responsible for the fueling efficiency are unknown, which is one of the fundamental questions in AGN research. One speculation is that gas in LSBGs may have relatively large angular momentum (Dalcanton et al. 1997), even in the inner disk. Alternatively, it may well be possible that this result is just a manifestation of more fundamental differences between HSBGs and LSBGs in some of the physical properties in the central region of galaxies (such as bulges), which are more directly linked to the onset of nuclear activity.

Motivated by this hypothesis, we search for other potential dependences of the AGN occurrence rate in the LSBG sample. One such dependence is on the physical size of galaxies. Figure 12 shows the distribution of the size of galaxies in the APM-SDSS LSBG sample and the dependence of the AGN fraction on the galaxy scale. The physical scales of the LSBGs are estimated using the angular sizes measured in the SDSS imaging survey by the SDSS pipeline. As can be seen, there exists a clear trend where larger galaxies tend to have a higher fraction of AGNs. This implies that the overall AGN fraction for a sample of LSBGs depends on the distribution of the physical scales of galaxies in the sample.

For the current APM-SDSS LSBG sample, the vast majority of the member galaxies are smaller than 50 kpc, which have very low fractions of AGNs. This finding also implies that, when AGN fractions are compared between two LSBG samples, the distributions of the physical scales have to be taken into account.

Schombert (1998) reported a high occurrence of AGNs ( $\sim 50\%$ ) in his LSBG sample, which are mostly late-type, HI-massive, and large-sized galaxies. In fact, using the data presented in Schombert (1998), we also find a similar strong dependence of the AGN fraction on galaxy size. We compare the distributions of galactic sizes between the Schombert (1998) sample and the APM-SDSS sample; however, no significant difference is found (the K-S test gives a probability of 68%). Thus, we anticipate that the higher AGN fraction found in Schombert (1998) than ours is perhaps due to the small sample size, as well as possibly to the relatively coarse spectral quality, and less rigorous spectral analysis and the classification method employed.

A similar trend of increasing AGN fraction with the increase of the size of a galaxy is also found for HSBGs in the comparison sample. Meanwhile, we also find that, relatively speaking, the HSBG sample has systematically larger galaxies in terms of size (with a median of 42.1 kpc) than the LSBG sample (with a median of 37.4 kpc for z < 0.04); the K-S test shows that the two distributions are significantly different (with a probability level of  $10^{-5}$ ). As such, the observed lower AGN fraction in LSBGs than that in HSBGs in our study is likely a manifestation of the postulation that LSBGs are systematically smaller in size compared to HSBGs, if the proposed AGN dependence on the physical scale of galaxies is the case. However, these postulations need further confirmation in future studies.

We also test the possible dependence of the AGN fraction on galactic bulge properties. It has been found that stellar velocity dispersion  $\sigma_*$  is well correlated with the bulge mass and luminosity, and is



**Fig. 12** Distribution of the physical sizes of LSBGs (top panel, AGNs are plotted as the hatched histogram) and the fractions of AGNs as a function of the galactic size (bottom panel).

thus a tracer of the gravitational potential of the bulge. Given the spectral resolution of SDSS data, the minimum values of  $\sigma_*$  that can be measured are around  $70 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ . For those objects without measurable  $\sigma_*$ , we assume their  $\sigma_*$  to be less than  $70 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ . Figure 13 shows the distribution of  $\sigma_*$  for the APM-SDSS LSBG sample and for their AGNs, as well as the AGN fraction. It can be seen clearly that the AGN fraction increases in higher  $\sigma_*$  bins. A similar trend is also found in the HSBG comparison sample, which has a known property that AGNs tend to be found in early type galaxies, where bulges are dominant. Our result in Section 3 shows that even for the same Hubble type (Sa–Sc), LSBGs have a lower AGN fraction than HSBGs. In the context of the AGN fractional dependence on bulge properties, this may imply that within a given morphological type, LSBGs have either relatively smaller bulge masses or a lower fraction of galaxies with bulges, compared to HSBGs. Again, this postulation needs confirmation by future studies. The dependence of AGN fraction on both the size of galaxies and the galactic bulge property is not surprising, since galaxy sizes and  $\sigma_*$  are strongly coupled in the samples studied here. However, we consider that the latter relation is perhaps more fundamental, since it has become known that the growth of galactic bulges and the growth of central black holes are somehow related (Ferrarese & Merritt 2000; Gebhardt et al. 2000).

## 5.2 Black Hole Growth in LSBGs

The broad H $\alpha$  emission lines are well detected in 3 LSBGs. We estimate the masses of central black holes using the linewidth-luminosity mass scaling relation given in Greene & Ho (2007). The values are 2.8 × 10<sup>6</sup>  $M_{\odot}$  for SDSS J011448.7–002946.1, 2.0 × 10<sup>7</sup>  $M_{\odot}$  for SDSS



Fig. 13 Distributions of emission-line galaxies (top panel) and the AGN fraction (bottom panel) as a function of stellar velocity dispersion  $\sigma_*$  of the LSBG sample and its HSBG comparison sample. The hatched histogram denotes AGNs.



**Fig. 14** Relation between the stellar velocity dispersion and the black hole mass for 3 broad line AGNs in LSBGs. The black hole masses are uncertain by a factor of 4. The dashed line is the relation given by Tremaine et al. (2002) for local normal galaxies and AGNs.

J122912.9+004903.7 and  $3.4 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$  for SDSS J231815.7+001540.2, respectively. For the two AGNs, SDSS J011448.7-002946.1 and SDSS J122912.9+004903.7, broad components of H $\beta$  are also detected with reliable confidence. It is claimed by Vestergaard & Peterson (2006) that the absolute uncertainties in masses estimated by these mass scaling relationships are about a factor of 4. According to the scaling relationships calibrated by Vestergaard & Peterson (2006) based upon the broad H $\beta$  luminosity,

black hole masses are estimated to be  $3.6 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$  for SDSS J011448.7–002946.1 and  $4.4 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$  for SDSS J122912.9+004903.7, respectively.

For these 3 AGNs with central black hole mass estimates, the stellar velocity dispersion  $\sigma_*$  can also be measured in our spectral analysis. We are therefore able to, for the first time, test the relationship between black hole mass and the stellar velocity dispersion  $(M - \sigma_*)$  for LSBGs, though the number of objects is very small. The result is shown in Figure 14. It can be seen that, for LSBG, the observed data are broadly consistent within errors with the known  $M - \sigma_*$  established based on local normal galaxies and AGNs (Tremaine et al. 2002, dashed line). However, a statistically meaningful result has to await the availability of a larger sample with both black hole mass and  $\sigma_*$  measurements.

# **6** CONCLUSIONS

We have performed a detailed spectral analysis of 194 LSBGs from the Impey et al. (1996) APM LSBG sample which have been observed spectroscopically by the SDSS DR5. Our work improves upon previous spectroscopic studies of LSBGs with homogeneous high-quality SDSS spectra and an elaborate spectral analysis. It includes subtraction of the host galaxy starlight spectra, and the deblending of narrow and broad components of the Balmer lines and doublets. These improvements allow us to carry out, for the first time, reliable spectral classification of nuclear processes in LSBGs based on the BPT classification schemes in a rigorous way. We also identified three broad line AGNs in LSBGs, and clarified a few spurious ones claimed in previous work.

We found that, the majority (68%) of the LSBGs are emission line galaxies. The most abundant class is star-forming galaxies (52%) characteristic of HII emission line spectra. Within the APM-SDSS sample, about 15% of LSBGs show emission line spectra characteristic of AGNs, including Seyfert galaxies, LINERs, and galactic nuclei composed of an AGN and central star-forming region. Such a fraction of AGNs is significantly lower than that found in local normal galaxies. This result holds true even within each morphological type from Sa to Sc. Our results do not support the high AGN fractions in LSBGs suggested in some of the previous studies (Sprayberry et al. 1995; Schombert 1998), but is qualitatively consistent with that found by Impey01. We found that the fraction of AGNs depends strongly on both the stellar velocity dispersion of the bulges and on the physical size of the galaxies, the two of which are coupled with each other. We interpret the low AGN fraction in LSBGs in terms of the postulation that, compared to HSBGs, LSBGs possess relatively lower bulge masses, or a lower fraction of galaxies with bulges, even within the same morphological type. This hypothesis can be tested with further observations in the future.

Compared to AGNs in HSBGs, AGNs in LSBGs tend to have relatively lower electron density and lower dust extinction in the NLR. As in HSBGs, the width of narrow emission lines in active nuclei of LSBGs is a good tracer of the stellar velocity dispersion in the galactic bulge. The black hole masses of the 3 broad line AGNs in LSBGs are broadly consistent within errors with the well known M- $\sigma_*$  relation found for nearby galaxies and AGNs.

Acknowledgements This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 10533050 and 10373004). This work has made use of the data products of the SDSS. We thank the anonymous referee for reviewing this paper. We also thank Jianguo Wang and Hongyan Zhou for their checking of the SDSS spectra and valuable suggestion. We gratefully thank Poon Helen for her careful English correction.

# Appendix A: SDSS STARLIGHT SPECTRAL MODELING

The spectra are first corrected for the Galactic extinction using the extinction map of Schlegel et al. (1998) and the reddening curve of Fitzpatrick (1999), and transformed into the rest frame using the redshift provided by the SDSS pipeline. Then, the host-galaxy starlight and the AGN continuum, as well as the optical FeII emission complex are modeled as

$$S(\lambda) = A_{\text{host}}(E_{B-V}^{\text{host}},\lambda) A(\lambda) + A_{\text{nucleus}}(E_{B-V}^{\text{nucleus}},\lambda) \left[ bB(\lambda) + c_{\text{b}}C_{\text{b}}(\lambda) + c_{\text{n}}C_{\text{n}}(\lambda) \right], \quad (A.1)$$

290

where  $S(\lambda)$  is the observed spectrum.  $A(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} a_i IC_i(\lambda, \sigma_*)$  represents the starlight component modeled by our 6 synthesized galaxy templates, which have been built up from the spectral template library of Simple Stellar Populations (SSPs) of Bruzual & Charlot (2003, hereafter BC03) using our new method based on the Ensemble Learning Independent Component Analysis (EL-ICA) algorithm. The details of the galaxy templates and their applications are presented in (Lu et al. 2006).  $A(\lambda)$  was broadened by convolving it with a Gaussian of width  $\sigma_*$  to match the stellar velocity dispersion of the host galaxy. The un-reddened nuclear continuum is assumed to be  $B(\lambda) = \lambda^{-1.7}$  as given in Francis (1996). We modeled the optical FeII emission, both broad and narrow, using the spectral data of the FeII multiplets for IZw I in the  $\lambda\lambda$  3535–7530Å range provided by Veron-Cetty et al. (2004) [table A1,A2] veron04. We assume that the broad FeII lines ( $C_{\rm b}$  in Eq. A.1) have the same profile as the broad H $\beta$ line, and the narrow FeII lines ( $C_n$ ), both permitted and forbidden, have the same profile as the that of the narrow H $\beta$  component, or of [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007 if H $\beta$  is weak.  $A_{\text{host}}(E_{B-V}^{\text{host}}, \lambda)$  and  $A_{\text{nucleus}}(E_{B-V}^{\text{nucleus}}, \lambda)$ are the color excesses due to possible extinction of the host galaxy and the nuclear region, respectively, assuming the extinction curve for the Small Magellanic Cloud of Pei (1992). The fitting is performed by minimizing the  $\chi^2$  with  $E_{B-V}^{\text{host}}$ ,  $E_{B-V}^{\text{nucleus}}$ ,  $a_i$ ,  $\sigma_*$ , b,  $c_b$  and  $c_n$  being free parameters. To account for possible error of the redshifts provided by the SDSS pipeline, in practice, we loop possible redshifts near the SDSS redshift, spaced every  $5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . We fit and subtracted the above model from the SDSS spectra.

#### References

Baldwin, A. J., Phillips, M. M., & Terlevich, R. 1981, PASP, 93, 5

Becker, R. H., White, R. L., & Helfand, D. J. 1995, ApJ, 450, 559

Beijersbergen, M., de Blok, W. J. G., van der Hulst, J. M. 1999, A&A, 351, 903

Bell, E. F., Barnaby, David., Bower, Richard G., et al. 2000, MNRAS, 312, 470

Bruzual, G., & Charlot, S. 2003, MNRAS, 344, 1000 (BC03)

Dalcanton, J. J., Spergel, D. N., & Summers, F. J. 1997, ApJ, 482, 659

de Vaucouleurs, G., de Vaucouleurs, A., Corwin, H. G., et al. 1991, Third Reference Catalogue of Bright Galaxies-

book (http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/ 1991trcb.book.....D)

de Blok, W. J. G., McGaugh, S. S., & van der Hulst, J. M. 1996, MNRAS, 283, 18

de Blok, W. J. G., & McGaugh, S. S. 1996, ApJ, 469, L89

Dong, X.-B., Zhou, H.-Y., Wang, T.-G., Wang, J.-X., Li, C., & Zhou, Y.-Y. 2005, ApJ, 620, 629

Ferrarese, Laura., & Merritt, David. 2000, ApJ, 539, L9

Filippenko, A. V., & Sargent, W. L. W. 1988, ApJ, 324,134

Fitzpatrick, E. L. 1999, PASP, 111, 63

Francis, P. J. 1996, Publications of the Astronomical Society of Australia, 13, 212

Freeman, K. 1970, ApJ, 169, 811

Galaz, G., Villalobos, A., Infante, L., & Donzelli, C. 2006, AJ, 131, 2035

Gebhardt, K., et al. 2000, ApJ, 539, L13

Green, J. E., & Ho, L. C. 2007, ApJ, 670, 92

Green, J. E., & Ho, L. C. 2007, ApJ, 667, 131

Hao L., et al. 2005, AJ, 129, 1783

Heckman, T. M. 1980, A&A, 87, 152

Hinz, J. L., Rieke, M. J., Rieke, G. H., et al. 2007, ApJ, 663, 895

Ho, L. C., et al. 1997, ApJ, 487, 568

Ho, L. C., et al. 1997, ApJs, 112, 315

Impey, C., & Bothun, G. 1989, ApJ, 341, 89

Impey, C., et al. 1996, ApJS, 105, 209

Impey, C., & Bothun, G. 1997, ARA&A, 35, 267

Impey, C., Burkholder, V., & Sprayberry, D. 2001, AJ, 122, 2341

Kaspi, S., Smith, P. S., Netzer, H., Maoz, D., Jannuzi, B. T., & Giveon, U. 2000, ApJ, 533, 631

Kauffmann, G., Heckman, T., Tremonti, C., & Brinchmann, J. 2003, MNRAS, 346, 1055

Kennicutt, R. C., Jr. 1989, ApJ, 344, 685

Kewley, L. J., Dopita, M. A., Sutherland, R. S., Heisler, C. A., & Trevena, J. 2001, ApJ, 556, 121

Kewley, L. J., Groves, B., Kauffmann, G., & Heckman, T. 2006, MNRAS, 372, 961

Lu, H., Zhou, H., Wang, J., Wang, T., Dong, X., Zhuang, Z., & Li, C. 2006, AJ, 131, 790

McGaugh, S., Bothun, G., & Schombert, J. 1995, AJ, 110, 573

Monnier Ragaigne, D., et al. 2003, A&A, 405, 99

Morshidi-Esslinger, Z., et al. 1999, MNRAS, 304, 297

O'Neil, K., Bothun, G. D., & Schombert, J. 1998, AJ, 116, 2776

Osterbrock, D. E. & Ferland, G. J., 2006, Astrophysics of Gaseous Nebulae and Active Galactic Nuclei (2nd ed.)

Pei, Y. C. 1992, ApJ, 395,130

Schaye, J. 2004, ApJ, 609, 667

Schlegel, D. J., Finkbeiner, D. P., & Davis, M. 1998, ApJ, 500, 525

Schombert, J. M., Bothun, G. D., Impey, C. D., & Mundy, L. G. 1990, AJ, 100, 1523

Schombert, J. 1998, AJ, 116, 1650

Sprayberry, D., et al. 1995, AJ, 109, 558

Stoughton, Chris., et al. 2002, AJ, 123, 485

Strauss, M., et al. 2002, AJ, 124, 1810

Tremaine, S., et al. 2002, ApJ, 574, 740

van der Hulst, J., et al. 1993, AJ, 106, 548

Veilleux, S., & Osterbrock, D. E. 1987, ApJS, 63, 295

Veron-Cetty, M.-P., Joly, M., & Veron, P. 2004, A&A, 417, 515

Vestergaard, M., & Peterson, B. M. 2006, ApJ, 641, 689

Xu, D. W., et al. 2007, ApJ, 670, 60

Yuan, W., et al. 2004, MNRAS, 353, L29

Zhou, H., Wang, T., Yuan, W., Lu, H., Dong, X., Wang, J., & Lu, Y. 2006, ApJS, 166, 128