Morphology and structure of extremely red objects at $z \sim 1$ in the CANDELS-COSMOS field *
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Abstract Using high-resolution HST/Wide Field Camera 3 F125W imaging from the CANDELS-COSMOS field, we report the structural and morphological properties of extremely red objects (EROs) at $z \sim 1$. Based on the $UVJ$ color criteria, we separate EROs into two types: old passive galaxies (OGs) and dusty star-forming galaxies (DGs). For a given stellar mass, we find that the mean size of OGs (DGs) is smaller by a factor of $\sim 2$ (1.5) than that of present-day early-type (late-type) galaxies at a rest-frame optical wavelength. We derive the average effective radii of OGs and DGs, corresponding to $2.09 \pm 1.13$ kpc and $3.27 \pm 1.14$ kpc, respectively. Generally, the DGs are heterogeneous, with mixed features including bulges, disks and irregular structures, with relatively high $M_{20}$, large size and low $G$. By contrast, OGs have elliptical-like compact morphologies with lower $M_{20}$, smaller size and higher $G$, indicating a more concentrated and symmetric spatial extent of the stellar population distribution in OGs than DGs. These findings imply that OGs and DGs have different evolutionary processes, and that the minor merger scenario is the most likely mechanism for the structural properties of OGs. However, the size evolution of DGs is possibly due to the secular evolution of galaxies.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Over the past decade, studies of the $z \sim 1 - 2$ universe have been revolutionized by the availability of deep near-infrared (NIR) imaging surveys. One of the primary early results was the discovery of a population of optically-faint, massive galaxies which were missed in optical (rest-frame ultraviolet (UV)) surveys (Kong et al. 2006). Extremely red objects (EROs with $(I - K)_{\text{Vega}} > 4$ or $(i - K)_{\text{AB}} > 2.45$) were first hinted at by NIR selected surveys (Elston et al. 1988, 1989; Hu & Ridgway 1988, 1989; Hu & Ridgway 2000).
1994; Graham & Dey 1996; Dey et al. 1999). They were classified as two different galaxy types using a variety of observational methods (Wilson et al. 2007; Fang et al. 2009; Kong et al. 2009): old passive galaxies (OGs) and dusty star-forming galaxies (DGs). As illustrated in the literature (Stockton et al. 2006; Stern et al. 2006; Conselice et al. 2008; Kong et al. 2009; Kim et al. 2014), EROs may be the descendants of high-redshift galaxies and progenitors of present-day massive early-type galaxies. Therefore, EROs are an important population for understanding the formation and evolution of galaxies.

Utilizing the instruments ACS, WFPC2 and NICMOS on the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) that provide optical and NIR imaging from different surveys, many groups studied the morphological properties of EROs at $z \sim 1$. They found that OGs in the ERO sample have elliptical-like structures, whereas the morphologies of DGs include disks and irregular systems (Moriondo et al. 2000; Cimatti et al. 2003; Yan & Thompson 2003; Giavalisco et al. 2004; Moustakas et al. 2004; Conselice et al. 2008; Fang et al. 2009; Kong et al. 2009). Based on a large sample of $\sim 5300$ EROs with $K_{AB} \leq 21.1$ and $(i - K)_{AB} \geq 2.45$ from the COSMOS field, Kong et al. (2009) found that OGs (48%) and DGs (52%) have similar fractions. Moreover, the brighter ($K$-band magnitude) EROs have stronger clustering amplitude than fainter sources. Similar findings are also confirmed in Kim et al. (2014).

The half-light radius ($r_e$) of galaxies is one of the primary parameters used to analyze the assembly history of a galaxy’s mass and the galaxy’s evolutionary paths. For a given stellar mass, many observations have reported that the size of high-redshift massive galaxies is on average smaller than that of their local counterparts (Daddi et al. 2005; Toft et al. 2005; Trujillo et al. 2006; Zirm et al. 2007; Cimatti et al. 2008; van Dokkum et al. 2008; Franx et al. 2008; Szomoru et al. 2012; Gobat et al. 2012; Fan et al. 2013; Patel et al. 2013; Fang et al. 2015; Morishita et al. 2014; van der Wel et al. 2014). It is difficult to study morphologies of high redshift galaxies based on their observed optical images, owing to the fact that their observed optical light probes the rest-frame UV emission for objects at $z \geq 1$. For instance, pictures of galaxies at $z \geq 1.0$ taken with HST WFPC2 and ACS are all imaged in the rest-frame UV, and their apparent morphologies can easily be changed by patchy dust extinction and star-forming regions. Therefore, it is essential to study $z \geq 1$ galaxies from observed NIR bands, which probe the rest-frame optical morphologies.

In this work, for the first time, we will adopt HST/Wide Field Camera 3 (WFC3) F125W images ($0.06''$ pixel$^{-1}$) to study the structural and morphological properties of $z \sim 1$ EROs at a rest-frame optical wavelength ($\lambda_{rest} \sim 6300$ Å). Throughout this paper, we use a standard cosmology with $\Omega_M = 0.3$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$ and a Hubble constant of $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$. At redshift $z \sim 1$, 1.0'' corresponds to 8.0 kpc. All magnitudes adopt the AB system unless otherwise specified.

2 OBSERVATION AND DATA

The Cosmic Evolution Survey (COSMOS) is a multi-band (from X-ray to radio) survey designed to probe the formation and evolution of galaxies as a function of redshift and the large scale structure environment, covering an area of $\sim 2$ deg$^2$ (Scoville et al. 2007). Further details of multi-band observation and data reduction in the COSMOS field can be found in McCracken et al. (2012) and Muzzin et al. (2013a). Photometric data (including redshift $z_p$ and stellar mass $M_*$) that we adopt in our study are from the $K$-selected catalog of the COSMOS/UltraVISTA field provided by Muzzin et al. (2013a). Meanwhile, we also use HST/WFC3 F125W high-resolution imaging ($0.06''$ pixel$^{-1}$) to analyze the structural features of EROs in our sample. HST/WFC3 F125W images cover a total of $\sim 210$ arcm$^2$ in the CANDELS$^1$-COSMOS field, and the 5σ point-source detection limit is 27.0 mag. Further details are in Grogin et al. (2011) for the survey and observational design, and Koekemoer et al. (2011) for the data products.

$^1$ Cosmic Assembly Near-IR Deep Extragalactic Legacy Survey (CANDELS; Grogin et al. 2011 and Koekemoer et al. 2011).
### 3 SELECTION AND CLASSIFICATION OF EROS

Following the photometric technique for EROs that we used in our previous works (Fang et al. 2009; Kong et al. 2009), we construct a sample of 5241 EROs with $i - K > 2.45$ and $K < 21.0$ in the COSMOS field (see Fig. 1). The NIR $K$-band and optical $i$-band data are from VISTA/VIRCAM and Subaru/Suprime-Cam, respectively. In Figure 2, we show redshift ($z_p$, panel (a)) and stellar mass ($M_*$, panel (b)) distribution of EROs in the COSMOS field. As we can see in Figure 2, the stellar masses of EROs are mainly distributed in the range $10^{10.3} < M_* < 10^{11.5}$, and most of them have redshifts of $0.8 < z_p < 1.6$. Moreover, EROs represent 87.6% of massive galaxies ($M_* > 10^{10.6} M_\odot$) with $0.9 < z_p < 1.3$ and $K < 21.0$, indicating that the ERO selection criterion is sensitive for selecting massive galaxies at intermediate redshift.

![Fig. 1](image1.jpg)

**Fig. 1** Selection of EROs in the COSMOS field. Red dots represent 5241 EROs with $i - K > 2.45$ (dot-dashed line) and $K < 21.0$.
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**Fig. 2** Redshift ($z_p$) and stellar mass ($M_*$) histogram of EROs in the COSMOS field. The left panel (a) is the distribution for $z_p$, and the right panel (b) is the distribution for $M_*$. 
In order to investigate the morphological and structural properties of two primary classes in our sample of EROs, it is necessary to separate the EROs into OGs and DGs. As shown in Figure 3, using the rest-frame $UVJ$ color criteria defined by Muzzin et al. (2013b), we classify the sample of EROs into 2606 OGs and 2635 DGs, and their fractions correspond to 49.7% and 50.3%, respectively. Our findings are consistent with the results of Mannucci et al. (2002), Giavalisco et al. (2004), Moustakas et al. (2004) and Kong et al. (2009). Moreover, we also find that the fraction of DGs in the COSMOS field increases toward fainter magnitudes: 50.3% at $K = 21.0$, 52.0% at $K = 21.5$, 54.5% at $K = 22.0$, 56.4% at $K = 22.5$ and 58.0% at $K = 23.0$. The results indicate that DGs become increasingly important at fainter magnitudes. Figure 4 shows the redshift distribution of OGs (panel (a)) and DGs (panel (b)) in our sample.
4 STRUCTURES AND MORPHOLOGIES OF EROS

The physical sizes of massive galaxies at intermediate redshift can help us to understand the formation and evolution of local massive galaxies. Within a matched radius of 0.5′′, we obtain the effective radii of 58 DGs and 55 OGs from the catalog\(^2\) (version 1.0) provided by van der Wel et al. (2012). The sizes of these EROS are measured using the HST/WFC3 F125W imaging, and all sources are brighter than \(J(F125W) \sim 22.5\). In Figure 5, the sizes of EROS in our sample are compared to those of \(z \sim 0.1\) galaxies from Shen et al. (2003). We find that EROS (including OGs and DGs) at \(z \sim 1\) follow a clear \(r_e - M_\star\) relation. However, most of them have smaller sizes, compared to local counterparts with a similar stellar mass. Moreover, the sizes of DGs are larger than OGs in general, even in massive systems, but some have very compact structures, with \(r_e < 1\) kpc.

To further analyze the evolution of sizes with redshift in our sample of EROS, we show the sizes for OGs (\(\langle r_e \rangle = 2.09 \pm 1.13\) kpc and \(\langle z_p \rangle = 1.31 \pm 0.23\)) and DGs (\(\langle r_e \rangle = 3.27 \pm 1.14\) kpc and \(\langle z_p \rangle = 1.08 \pm 0.24\)) in Figure 6. For comparison with our results, the data on quiescent galaxies (QGs) and star-forming galaxies (SFGs) from other works are plotted in this figure (Shen et al. 2003; Gobat et al. 2012; Szomoru et al. 2012; Fan et al. 2013; Patel et al. 2013; Fang et al. 2015; Morishita et al. 2014). From Figure 6, the mean size of local QGs is two times larger than that of the \(z \sim 1\) OGs at a fixed stellar mass. For DGs in the sample of EROS, the effective radius is on average smaller by a factor of \(\sim 1.5\) than that of \(z \sim 0.1\) SFGs with analogous stellar mass. The smaller sizes and higher masses of EROS in our sample indicate that EROS have larger stellar mass surface densities compared to present-day massive galaxies. By combining this with the results from the literature, we conclude that OGs and DGs have different evolutionary tracks, and the structural properties of OGs are consistent with predictions of the hierarchical merging models (e.g., dry minor merger), but the size of DGs supports predictions of the monolithic collapse scenario (e.g., the secular evolution of a galaxy).

In order to quantitatively investigate the morphological features of EROS at \(z \sim 1\), we measure the morphological parameters of a galaxy, such as the Gini coefficient \((G;\) the relative distribution of the galaxy pixel flux values) and the high moment \((M_{20};\) the second-order moment of the brightest 20% of the galaxy’s flux) using HST/WFC3 F125W images. According to the definition of Abraham et al. (1996) and Lotz et al. (2004),

\[
G = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{N} (2l - N - 1) |F_l|}{\overline{F} N (N - 1)},
\]

where \(N\) is the total number of pixels in a galaxy, and \(\overline{F}\) is the mean pixel flux of all \(F_l\) (each pixel flux).

\[
M_{20} = \log_{10} \left( \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{k} M_l}{M_{tot}} \right),
\]

where \(\sum_{l=1}^{k} F_l = 0.2 F_{tot}\) and \(M_{tot} = \sum_{l=1}^{N} M_l\). Moreover, we sort \(F_l\) in descending order with \(|F_1| \geq |F_2| \geq \cdots \geq |F_k| \geq \cdots \geq |F_N|\).

\[
M_l = F_l [(x_l - x_o)^2 + (y_l - y_o)^2],
\]

where \((x_o, y_o)\) and \((x_l, y_l)\) represent the galaxy’s center and each pixel position in Cartesian coordinates, respectively.

As shown in Figure 7, OGs are very similar in appearance to local early-type galaxies and they have higher \(G\) and lower \(M_{20}\) compared to DGs. For the morphological properties of DGs, the majority of them show diffuse or irregular structures (low \(G\) and high \(M_{20}\)), which is similar to

\(^2\) http://www.mpia-hd.mpg.de/homes/vdwel/candels.html
Fig. 5 Relation between stellar mass ($M_*$) and size ($r_e$) for EROs at $z \sim 1.0$. The solid lines with 1σ standard error are provided by Shen et al. (2003) for local late-type and early-type galaxies.

Fig. 6 Evolution of size with redshift ($z_e$) in our sample of EROs. The sizes of QGs and SFGs from the literature are also plotted in this figure. The mean size of OGs is $2.09 \pm 1.13$ kpc (red solid circle), while DGs is $3.27 \pm 1.14$ kpc (blue solid circle). Left: Green and cyan lines correspond to $r_e \propto (1 + z)^{-1.16}$ (Patel et al. 2013) and $r_e \propto (1 + z)^{-1.06}$ (Morishita et al. 2014), respectively. Right: Green and cyan lines represent $r_e \propto (1 + z)^{-0.65}$ (Patel et al. 2013) and $r_e \propto (1 + z)^{-0.56}$ (Morishita et al. 2014), respectively.

Fig. 7 Distribution of EROs in $M_{20}$ vs. their Gini coefficient. Red and blue solid circles correspond to OGs and DGs, respectively.
late-type galaxies we see today. This indicates a less concentrated and symmetric spatial distribution for the stellar mass of DGs at $z \sim 2$, compared to OGs. The mean $(M_{20}, G)$ values for DGs are $(-1.65, 0.58)$ at $\lambda_{\text{rest}} \sim 6300$ Å, whereas those for OGs are $(-1.81, 0.68)$. From the morphological analysis of EROs in the CANDELS-COSMOS field, we conclude that OGs and DGs have different formation modes and the histories of mass assembly, and that the population of Hubble sequence galaxies roughly matches that of the peculiars sometime between $z = 1 - 2$.

5 SUMMARY

In this paper, we select a sample of 5241 EROs with $i - K > 2.45$ and $K < 21.0$ from the catalog of the COSMOS/UltraVISTA field. Based on the UVJ color criteria, we classify EROs into two main types: OGs and DGs. In our sample of EROs, the fractions of OGs and DGs correspond to 49.7% and 50.3%, respectively.

Using high-resolution (0.06″ pixel$^{-1}$) HST/WFC3 F125W imaging, for the first time we study the morphological and structural properties of OGs and DGs at $\lambda_{\text{rest}} \sim 6300$ Å. At a fixed stellar mass, we find that the mean size of OGs (DGs) is smaller by a factor of $\sim 2$ (1.5) than that of local QGs (SFGs) at a rest-frame optical wavelength. The average effective radii of OGs and DGs correspond to $2.09 \pm 1.13$ kpc ($\langle z_p \rangle = 1.31 \pm 0.23$) and $3.27 \pm 1.14$ kpc ($\langle z_p \rangle = 1.08 \pm 0.24$), respectively. Moreover, we also find that the structure of OGs (high $G$ and low $M_{20}$) shows more regular features than DGs (low $G$ and high $M_{20}$) at $\lambda_{\text{rest}} \sim 6300$ Å. The derived mean $(M_{20}, G)$ values of DGs and OGs correspond to $(-1.65, 0.58)$ and $(-1.81, 0.68)$, respectively. From the analysis of physical structures of EROs in our sample, we conclude that OGs and DGs have different evolutionary modes, and the structural features of OGs are consistent with predictions from hierarchical merging models (e.g., dry minor merger), but the mass assembly of DGs mainly depends upon the secular evolution of the galaxy.
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