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#### Abstract

The Tsinghua-NAOC (National Astronomical Observatories of China) Telescope (hereafter, TNT) is an $80-\mathrm{cm}$ Cassegrain reflecting telescope located at the Xinglong Observatory of NAOC, with the main scientific goals of monitoring various transients in the universe such as supernovae, gamma-ray bursts, novae, variable stars, and active galactic nuclei. We present a systematic test and analysis of the photometric performance of this telescope. Based on the calibration observations taken on 12 photometric nights, spanning the period from 2004 to 2012, we derived an accurate transformation equation between the instrumental ubvri magnitudes and standard Johnson $U B V$ and Cousins $R I$ magnitudes. In particular, the color terms and the extinction coefficients of different passbands are well determined. With these data, we also obtained the limiting magnitudes and the photometric precision of TNT. It is worthwhile to point out that the sky background at the Xinglong Observatory became gradually worse over the period from 2005 to 2012 (e.g., $\sim 21.4$ mag vs. $\sim 20.1 \mathrm{mag}$ in the $V$ band).
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## 1 INTRODUCTION

The Tsinghua-National Astronomical Observatories of China (NAOC) Telescope (TNT), the first professional telescope owned by a university in China, is an $80-\mathrm{cm}$ Cassegrain telescope made by APM-Telescopes ${ }^{1}$ in Germany. This telescope is located at the Xinglong Observing Station of NAOC $\left(117^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 40^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}\right.$, at an elevation of $\left.\sim 830 \mathrm{~m}\right)$, jointly operated by Tsinghua University and NAO, Chinese Academy of Sciences, since 2004. The main science projects conducted using TNT in recent years have been multi-color, photometric studies of supernovae (SNe, Wang et al. 2008, 2009; Wang et al. 2012 in preparation; Zhang et al. 2010; Zhang et al. 2012), active

[^0]galactic nuclei (AGN, Liu et al. 2010; Zhai et al. 2011; Zhai \& Wei 2012), and gamma-ray bursts (GRBs, Xin et al. 2010, 2011). Other projects involving this telescope include photometric observations of binary stars (Li et al. 2009; Yang et al. 2010; Yan et al. 2012; Fang et al. 2012) and variable stars (Wu et al. 2005, 2006; Fu et al. 2009). However, an overall examination of the performance of the CCD photometric system on TNT is still absent.

Knowing the properties and performance of a telescope, such as its throughput, detection limit, and instrument response, will be of great assistance to the observers in preparing their observation proposals. These parameters allow a better estimate of the exposure time and prediction of photometric precision for individual objects. We started a program to investigate the characteristics of the CCD photometric system on TNT. Relevant evaluations of the photometric system of the BATC $60 / 90-\mathrm{cm}$ Schmidt telescope and the $85-\mathrm{cm}$ telescope at the Xinglong Observatory of the NAOC are available from Yan et al. (2000) and Zhou et al. (2009), which help users better understand the performance of these facilities and work out a reasonable observing plan.

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we briefly describe the TNT observation system. Then we present the CCD detector test results in Section 3. The photometric calibration results are given in Section 4. The systematic performance of TNT is addressed in Section 5, and we provide a summary in Section 6.

## 2 OBSERVATION SYSTEM

TNT is an $\mathrm{f} / 10$ 'classical' Cassegrain, equatorial reflector. It has a parabolic primary mirror with an effective diameter of 0.80 m , and a hyperbolic secondary mirror with an effective diameter of 0.26 m . The pointing of the TNT is relatively fast and accurate, with maximal slew speed being up to $4^{\circ}$ per second. At latitudes larger than $25^{\circ}$, the pointing accuracy is better than $30^{\prime \prime}$. The pointing drift without guide star tracking is less than $1^{\prime \prime}$ in 15 min . The main parameters of TNT are very similar to those of the Lulin One-meter Telescope (LOT; Kinoshita et al. 2005), except for differences in the aperture of the main mirror and the cooling mode of the CCD detector.

The CCD detector mounted on TNT was made by Princeton Instruments VersArray: 1300B ${ }^{2}$. This is a high-performance, full-frame digital camera system that utilizes a back-illuminated, scientific-grade CCD. With a $1340 \times 1300$ imaging array $\left(20 \times 20 \mu \mathrm{~m}\right.$ pixel $\left.^{-1}\right)$, this system provides a field-of-view $(\mathrm{FOV})$ of $11.5^{\prime} \times 11.2^{\prime}$ with a spatial resolution of $\sim 0.52^{\prime \prime}$ pixel $^{-1}$.

Table 1 Parameters of the VersArray: 1300B

| Features | Specifications |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pixel number | $1340 \times 1300$ |
| Pixel size | $20 \mu \mathrm{~m} \times 20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Imaging area | $26.8 \mathrm{~mm} \times 26 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Fill factor | $100 \%$ |
| A/D conversion | 16 bits |
| Scan rates | $100 \mathrm{kHz}, 1 \mathrm{MHz}$ |
| Full frame readout time | $18 \mathrm{~s} @ 100 \mathrm{kHz}, 1.8 \mathrm{~s} @ 1 \mathrm{MHz}$ |
| Readout noise | $2.8 \mathrm{e}^{-} @ 100 \mathrm{kHz}, 8 \mathrm{e}^{-} @ 1 \mathrm{MHz}$ |
| Software-selectable gains | $1 / 2 \times, 1 \times, 2 \times$ |
| Dark current | $0.5-1 \mathrm{e}^{-} \mathrm{pix}^{-1} \mathrm{hr}^{-1}$ |
| Nonlinearity | $\leq 2 \%$ |
| Cooling medium | Liquid nitrogen |
| Operating temperature | $-110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Thermostating precision | $\pm 0.05^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |

The main parameters of the VersArray: 1300B CCD are listed in Table 1. It has two readout modes, with the readout time being about 18 seconds in the slow mode ( 100 kHz ) and about 2

[^1]seconds in the fast mode ( 1 MHz ). There have been nominally three 1300B CCDs that have been used on TNT since the start of observations in 2004. The 1300B-1 CCD had been used before 2006, and later replaced by the 1300B-2 CCD during the period from 5 Jan. to 14 Jun. in 2006 for maintenance. After that, the 1300B-3 CCD was used until Sept. 2010, when it was broken, and the 1300B-1 was installed again on TNT as a replacement.

The filters used on TNT are manufactured by Custom Scientific, Inc. (USA) ${ }^{3}$. They are the standard Johnson $U B V$ and Cousins $R I$ system (Bessell 1990). This has been indicated by a small color-term correction needed to transform the photometric results from the instrumental system of TNT to the standard $U B V R I$ system (e.g., Wang et al. 2008, 2009).

## 3 CCD TESTS

### 3.1 Bias Level

A bias level is present in every CCD image, arising from an electronic offset which is added to the signal of the CCD before being converted to the digital values. Its stability has a non-negligible effect on high precision photometry. The bias level has been measured for all the three CCDs used on TNT in both the slow and fast readout modes. We performed a continuous 30 -hour test of the bias for the 1300B-1 CCD, an 8-hour test for the 1300B-2, and a 7 -hour test for the 1300B-3. These results are reported in Table 2.

Table 2 Bias, gain, and readout noise determined for the VersArray: 1300B CCD attached to TNT. Two readout rates at 100 kHz and 1 MHz are indicated in the brackets of Col. (2).

|  | (before 2006.1) (2006.1-6) (after 2006.6) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bias (ADU) | Slow Mode ( 100 kHz ) | $195 \pm 3$ | $110 \pm 2$ | $185 \pm 2$ |
|  | Fast Mode ( 1 MHz ) | $403 \pm 6$ | $182 \pm 4$ | $213 \pm 5$ |
| Readout noise ( $\mathrm{e}^{-}$) (findgain in IRAF) | Slow Mode ( 100 kHz ) | $2.75 \pm 0.03$ | $2.90 \pm 0.05$ | $2.50 \pm 0.21$ |
|  | Fast Mode ( 1 MHz ) | $9.31 \pm 0.34$ | $5.63 \pm 0.08$ | $5.94 \pm 0.33$ |
| Readout noise ( $\mathrm{e}^{-}$) <br> (fit Signal \& Noise) | Slow Mode ( 100 kHz ) | $2.72 \pm 0.18$ | $2.92 \pm 3.90$ | - |
|  | Fast Mode ( 1 MHz ) | $9.56 \pm 0.50$ | $6.16 \pm 3.79$ | - |
| Gain ( $\mathrm{e}^{-} / \mathrm{ADU}$ ) <br> (findgain in IRAF) | Slow Mode ( 100 kHz ) | $1.96 \pm 0.02$ | $1.90 \pm 0.03$ | $1.73 \pm 0.09$ |
|  | Fast Mode ( 1 MHz ) | $2.22 \pm 0.05$ | $1.99 \pm 0.03$ | $1.81 \pm 0.07$ |
| Gain ( $\mathrm{e}^{-} / \mathrm{ADU}$ ) (fit Signal \& Noise) | Slow Mode ( 100 kHz ) | $1.99 \pm 0.01$ | $1.86 \pm 0.02$ | - |
|  | Fast Mode ( 1 MHz ) | $2.23 \pm 0.01$ | $1.99 \pm 0.01$ | - |

One can see from Figure 1 that the mean bias level is related to the readout mode of the CCD. For the 1300B-1 CCD, this value is about 195 Analog-to-Digital Units (ADU) for the slow mode and about 400 ADU for the fast mode. We note that the bias measured in the fast readout mode shows some fluctuations, which might be affected by ambient factors such as the temperature. Further studies are needed to clarify this phenomenon. Owing to an instability of the bias level seen in the fast readout mode, observers are suggested to take frequent bias frames during observations to achieve high precision photometry when this mode is used.

### 3.2 Gain and Readout Noise

The gain $(G)$ of a CCD is the ratio between the number of electrons recorded by the CCD chip and the counts of ADU contained in the CCD image. It is useful to know this value in order to evaluate
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Fig. 1 The mean bias level of VersArray: 1300B-1 CCD derived in the slow and fast readout modes during a continuous 30 hour period. The solid curve represents the dome temperature during the measurements of the bias.
the performance of the CCD camera. Knowledge of the gain allows the calculation of the readout noise $(R)$ and other quantities of the CCD. One can measure the gain of a CCD by comparing the signal level to the amount of variation in the signal, e.g., in the flatfield images. This works because the relationship between counts and electrons is different for the signal and the variance. The gain of a CCD can be determined from the following equation (Howell 2000)

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\frac{(\overline{F 1}+\overline{F 2})-(\overline{B 1}+\overline{B 2})}{\sigma_{F 1-F 2}^{2}-\sigma_{B 1-B 2}^{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\overline{F 1}$ and $\overline{F 2}$ are the mean values of different flatfield images, and $\overline{B 1}$ and $\overline{B 2}$ represent those of the bias images. $\sigma_{F 1-F 2}^{2}$ and $\sigma_{B 1-B 2}^{2}$ are the standard deviations of the difference between two flatfield images and two bias images, respectively. Subtracting two flatfield images increases the noise by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$. Therefore, the correlation between the signal $S$ and the noise $N$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\sqrt{\frac{S}{G}+\left(\frac{R}{G}\right)^{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is the readout noise.
We took twilight flatfield images in the $B$ band, with the exposure time varying from 0.1 s to 400 s . At each time of exposure, we took four flatfield images in the slow readout mode and another four images in the fast readout mode. We then chose two better ones and performed the subtraction to determine the standard deviation $\sigma_{F}$. The noise level can be obtained by dividing the standard deviation by $\sqrt{2}$. To obtain a mean signal, we subtracted the combined bias frame from the flatfield images. The noise $N$ and signal $S$ measured from the flatfield images are used to determine the gain and readout noise through a best fit to the relation shown by Equation (2). We also calculated these two parameters using the task FINDGAIN in IRAF ${ }^{4}$. Table 2 also lists the resultant gain and the readout noise derived for 1300B-1, 2, and 3 CCDs used on TNT.
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Fig. 2 ADU counts of the pixels in the flatfield images as a function of the exposure time for the 1300B-1 (left panel) and 1300B-2 (right panel). The counts in the fast mode are shown as squares and those recorded in the slow mode are denoted by circles. The straight lines show linear relationships in both modes.

### 3.3 Linearity of the CCD Response

One advantage of a modern CCD is its linear response over a large dynamic range. Some pixel values in the images may be unusable if they are saturated (due to the charge exceeding the full well capacity) or are within the nonlinear range. To check the linear response of the VersArray: 1300B CCD, we measured the ADU counts as a function of exposure time using the unfiltered flatfield images. For the 1300B-1 CCD, we use images taken on 2005 August 21 with exposure times of $3 \sim 80 \mathrm{~s}$, and for 1300B-2 images taken on 2006 April 6 with exposure times of $0.1 \sim 400 \mathrm{~s}$.

Figure 2 shows a relationship in both the fast and slow modes for the 1300B-1 and the 1300B-2 CCDs. One can see that the linear correlation holds for the pixel value up to $\sim 50000 \mathrm{ADU}$, with the correlation coefficients of the two relations of 0.9998 and 0.9997 respectively.

### 3.4 Dark Current

A routine step of processing CCD images involves a subtraction of dark current. Dark current of a CCD usually originates from the collection of electrons within the potential well of a pixel in the image, which can become part of the signal and are indistinguishable from the astronomical photons. It is usually specified as the number of thermal electrons generated per second per pixel or as the actual current generated per area of the device. The thermal dark current depends on the CCD operation temperature (see fig. 3.6 in Howell 2000 for a relation between the dark current and the temperature), which becomes nearly negligible for a properly cooled CCD.

Dark frames with different integration times (e.g., 600 s and 3600 s ) were obtained for the 1300B-1 CCD to estimate the dark current. We found that the mean rate of dark current generation for the $1300 \mathrm{~B}-1$ is about $0.00025 \mathrm{e}^{-} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ pixel $^{-1}$ for an operational temperature of $-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. It is not surprising that the dark current level of the CCD on TNT is much lower than that of the CCD on LOT (i.e., $0.064 \mathrm{e}^{-} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ pixel $^{-1}$ ) since the working temperature of the latter is much higher with $T=-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. With the above dark current generation rate, we estimate that an observation with an exposure time of 600 s will produce a dark current of $0.15 \mathrm{e}^{-}$pixel $^{-1}$. This is far less than the signal and noise. Hence, we neglect such a minor effect in our image reduction.

## 4 PHOTOMETRIC CALIBRATIONS

The magnitudes obtained by TNT are the instrumental magnitudes. To compare our photometric results with those obtained from other instruments, we need to convert our instrumental magnitudes into the magnitudes defined in the standard $U B V R I$ system. To perform this conversion, it is essential to know the transformation equations, which are usually expressed as:

$$
\begin{align*}
u & =U+Z_{U}+k_{U}^{\prime} X+C_{U}(U-B)  \tag{3}\\
b & =B+Z_{B}+k_{B}^{\prime} X+C_{B}(B-V)  \tag{4}\\
v & =V+Z_{V}+k_{V}^{\prime} X+C_{V}(B-V)  \tag{5}\\
r & =R+Z_{R}+k_{R}^{\prime} X+C_{R}(V-R)  \tag{6}\\
i & =I+Z_{I}+k_{I}^{\prime} X+C_{I}(V-I) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where ubvri are the instrumental magnitudes, $U B V R I$ are the standard magnitudes, $Z_{U}, Z_{B}, Z_{V}, Z_{R}$, and $Z_{I}$ are the zero point magnitudes, $k_{U}^{\prime}, k_{B}^{\prime}, k_{V}^{\prime}, k_{R}^{\prime}$, and $k_{I}^{\prime}$ are the first-order extinction coefficients, $C_{U}, C_{B}, C_{V}, C_{R}$, and $C_{I}$ are the color terms, and $X$ is the airmass. The above parameters can be simultaneously determined by observing a series of Landolt standard stars covering a certain range of airmass and color (Landolt 1992).

Observations of Landolt standard stars were conducted on 12 photometric nights, spanning the period from Oct. 2004 to Mar. 2012. Most of these photometric nights were moonless or crescent nights, with a steady, cloudless sky. For a better comparison of these observations obtained at different times, we divided the photometric nights and the corresponding results into three epochs: Epoch 1 (2004-2005), Epoch 2 (2006-2007), and Epoch 3 (2011-2012).

Table 3 lists part of Landolt standard stars that were observed during Epoch 3. The typical exposure time for these stars is 300 s in $U, 60 \mathrm{~s}$ in $B, 40 \mathrm{~s}$ in $V, 20 \mathrm{~s}$ in $R$, and 20 s in $I$. The photometric data of these Landolt standard stars were reduced using the "apphot" package of IRAF. The deduced coefficients in the transformation Equations (3)-(7) are shown in Table 4.

The photometric data obtained at different epochs seem to give a similar mean value of the relevant coefficients except for the magnitude zero points. The large difference in the magnitude zero point between Epoch 3 and the other two epochs is primarily related to the specific definition of the magnitude zero point, e.g., with an offset of 5.0 mag in all of the $U B V R I$ bands. The mean atmospheric extinction coefficients ${ }^{5}$ at Xinglong Observatory, obtained with the most recent data (e.g., Epoch 3), are $0.55 \pm 0.06$ in $U, 0.35 \pm 0.02$ in $B, 0.24 \pm 0.02$ in $V, 0.17 \pm 0.02$ in $R$, and $0.09 \pm 0.02$ in $I$. Recently, Zhou et al. (2009) also examined the atmospheric extinction at Xinglong based on the observations with the $85-\mathrm{cm}$ telescope. Their studies show that the first-order atmospheric extinction coefficients in the BVRI bands are $0.33 \pm 0.01,0.24 \pm 0.01,0.20 \pm 0.01$, and $0.07 \pm 0.01$, respectively, which are consistent with ours within the quoted errors. In Table 5, we also compared our results with two earlier estimates for the site given by Shi et al. (1998).

The color terms determined at the above three epochs are generally in accordance with each other, except in the $U$ band where the variation is likely related to the change of the CCD that directly determines the quantum efficiency and hence the profile of the instrumental response curve. The 1300B-1 CCD was used during the periods over Epoch 1 and Epoch 3, and the corresponding photometric system has a larger U-band color term; the 1300B-2 CCD photometric system has a smaller value.

Figure 3 shows the correlations between the Landolt colors (Landolt 1992) and the instrument colors of TNT transformed by Equations (3)-(7). The Landolt standard stars observed on 2011 Dec

[^4]Table 3 Landolt Standard Stars Used for the Photometric Calibration in 2011 and 2012

| Star | $\alpha(2000)$ | $\delta(2000)$ | $V$ | $B-V$ | $U-B$ | $V-R$ | $R-I$ | $V-I$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 92_263 | $00: 55: 40$ | $+00: 36: 23$ | 11.782 | 1.048 | 0.843 | 0.563 | 0.522 | 1.087 |
| 93_317 | $01: 54: 38$ | $+00: 43: 11$ | 11.546 | 0.488 | -0.055 | 0.293 | 0.298 | 0.592 |
| 94_251 | $02: 57: 46$ | $+00: 16: 18$ | 11.204 | 1.219 | 1.281 | 0.659 | 0.587 | 1.247 |
| 95_190 | $03: 53: 13$ | $+00: 16: 39$ | 12.627 | 0.287 | 0.236 | 0.195 | 0.220 | 0.415 |
| 96_83 | $04: 52: 59$ | $-00: 14: 22$ | 11.719 | 0.179 | 0.202 | 0.093 | 0.097 | 0.190 |
| 97_75 | $05: 57: 55$ | $-00: 09: 07$ | 11.483 | 1.872 | 2.100 | 1.047 | 0.952 | 1.999 |
| 98_666 | $06: 52: 10$ | $-00: 23: 12$ | 12.732 | 0.164 | -0.004 | 0.091 | 0.108 | 0.200 |
| 100_280 | $08: 53: 36$ | $-00: 36: 24$ | 11.799 | 0.494 | -0.002 | 0.295 | 0.291 | 0.588 |
| 101_413 | $09: 56: 15$ | $-00: 11: 44$ | 12.583 | 0.983 | 0.716 | 0.529 | 0.497 | 1.025 |
| 103_626 | $11: 56: 47$ | $-00: 21: 47$ | 11.836 | 0.413 | -0.057 | 0.262 | 0.274 | 0.535 |
| 104_598 | $12: 45: 17$ | $-00: 16: 41$ | 11.479 | 1.106 | 1.050 | 0.670 | 0.546 | 1.215 |
| 105_815 | $13: 40: 04$ | $-00: 02: 19$ | 11.453 | 0.385 | -0.237 | 0.267 | 0.291 | 0.560 |
| 106_1024 | $14: 40: 07$ | $+00: 01: 31$ | 11.599 | 0.332 | 0.085 | 0.196 | 0.195 | 0.390 |
| 107_484 | $15: 40: 17$ | $-00: 21: 31$ | 11.311 | 1.237 | 1.291 | 0.664 | 0.577 | 1.240 |
| 108_475 | $16: 37: 00$ | $-00: 35: 01$ | 11.309 | 1.380 | 1.462 | 0.744 | 0.665 | 1.409 |
| 109_381 | $17: 44: 12$ | $-00: 20: 55$ | 11.730 | 0.704 | 0.225 | 0.428 | 0.435 | 0.861 |
| 110_280 | $18: 43: 07$ | $-00: 04: 02$ | 12.996 | 2.151 | 2.133 | 1.235 | 1.148 | 2.384 |
| 111_1965 | $19: 37: 42$ | $+00: 26: 30$ | 11.419 | 1.710 | 1.865 | 0.951 | 0.877 | 1.830 |
| 112_250 | $20: 42: 27$ | $+00: 07: 25$ | 12.095 | 0.532 | -0.025 | 0.317 | 0.323 | 0.639 |
| 113_260 | $21: 41: 49$ | $+00: 23: 39$ | 12.406 | 0.514 | 0.069 | 0.308 | 0.298 | 0.606 |
| 114_750 | $22: 41: 45$ | $+01: 12: 30$ | 11.916 | -0.041 | -0.354 | 0.027 | -0.015 | 0.011 |
| RU_152E | $07: 27: 25$ | $-01: 58: 47$ | 12.362 | 0.042 | -0.086 | 0.030 | 0.034 | 0.065 |
| PG1047+003C | $10: 50: 18$ | $-00: 00: 21$ | 12.453 | 0.607 | -0.019 | 0.378 | 0.358 | 0.737 |
| PG2349+002 | $23: 51: 53$ | $+00: 28: 17$ | 13.277 | -0.191 | -0.921 | -0.103 | -0.116 | -0.219 |



Fig. 3 The relationship between the Landolt (1992) color indices and the colors deduced from the transformation Eqs. (3)-(7). The data taken on 2011 Dec 31 are used for the plot.

Table 4 Transformation coefficients of zero-point magnitudes, first-order atmospheric extinction coefficients, and color terms in the $U B V R I$ bands, derived from the calibration data of 12 photometric nights.

| Date (ymd) | $Z_{U}$ | $Z_{B}$ | $Z_{V}$ | $Z_{R}$ | $Z_{I}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20041026 | $1.162 \pm 0.145$ | $-1.317 \pm 0.046$ | $-1.711 \pm 0.036$ | $-1.671 \pm 0.039$ | $-0.872 \pm 0.032$ |
| 20041127 |  | $-1.356 \pm 0.028$ | $-1.687 \pm 0.021$ | $-1.604 \pm 0.020$ | $-0.875 \pm 0.017$ |
| 20050902 |  | $-1.023 \pm 0.017$ | $-1.502 \pm 0.011$ | $-1.552 \pm 0.016$ | $-0.910 \pm 0.024$ |
| Epoch1 mean | $1.162 \pm 0.048$ | $-1.232 \pm 0.019$ | $-1.633 \pm 0.014$ | $-1.609 \pm 0.016$ | $-0.886 \pm 0.014$ |
| 20061221 | $-0.186 \pm 0.046$ | $-1.854 \pm 0.024$ | $-2.040 \pm 0.021$ | $-2.155 \pm 0.020$ | $-1.692 \pm 0.029$ |
| 20070107 | $0.032 \pm 0.045$ | $-1.798 \pm 0.034$ | $-1.978 \pm 0.028$ | $-2.113 \pm 0.026$ | $-1.573 \pm 0.021$ |
| 20070111 | $-0.042 \pm 0.061$ | $-1.770 \pm 0.025$ | $-1.935 \pm 0.020$ | $-2.048 \pm 0.017$ | $-1.586 \pm 0.024$ |
| 20071212 | $-0.123 \pm 0.061$ | $-1.837 \pm 0.032$ | $-2.025 \pm 0.032$ | $-2.085 \pm 0.033$ | $-1.659 \pm 0.028$ |
| Epoch2 mean | $-0.080 \pm 0.027$ | $-1.815 \pm 0.014$ | $-1.995 \pm 0.013$ | $-2.100 \pm 0.012$ | $-1.628 \pm 0.012$ |
| 20111024 | $5.650 \pm 0.369$ | $3.535 \pm 0.082$ | $3.210 \pm 0.036$ | $3.231 \pm 0.019$ | $3.691 \pm 0.068$ |
| 20111223 | $5.524 \pm 0.105$ | $3.620 \pm 0.016$ | $3.259 \pm 0.010$ | $3.304 \pm 0.008$ | $3.729 \pm 0.036$ |
| 20111231 | $5.900 \pm 0.066$ | $3.646 \pm 0.049$ | $3.214 \pm 0.071$ | $3.350 \pm 0.040$ | $3.756 \pm 0.045$ |
| 20120306 |  | $3.900 \pm 0.126$ | $3.650 \pm 0.110$ | $3.650 \pm 0.119$ | $4.200 \pm 0.117$ |
| 20120327 |  | $3.982 \pm 0.035$ | $3.566 \pm 0.027$ | $3.595 \pm 0.029$ | $4.047 \pm 0.021$ |
| Epoch3 mean | $5.691 \pm 0.078$ | $3.737 \pm 0.033$ | $3.380 \pm 0.028$ | $3.426 \pm 0.026$ | $3.885 \pm 0.030$ |
| Date (ymd) | $k_{U}^{\prime}$ | $k_{B}^{\prime}$ | $k_{V}^{\prime}$ | $k_{R}^{\prime}$ | $k_{I}^{\prime}$ |
| 20041026 | $0.699 \pm 0.095$ | $0.311 \pm 0.030$ | $0.211 \pm 0.023$ | $0.153 \pm 0.025$ | $0.069 \pm 0.020$ |
| 20041127 |  | $0.306 \pm 0.020$ | $0.201 \pm 0.014$ | $0.121 \pm 0.015$ | $0.089 \pm 0.011$ |
| 20050902 |  | $0.272 \pm 0.011$ | $0.184 \pm 0.008$ | $0.149 \pm 0.011$ | $0.092 \pm 0.016$ |
| Epoch1 mean | $0.699 \pm 0.032$ | $0.296 \pm 0.012$ | $0.199 \pm 0.009$ | $0.141 \pm 0.010$ | $0.083 \pm 0.009$ |
| 20061221 | $0.648 \pm 0.027$ | $0.295 \pm 0.014$ | $0.201 \pm 0.012$ | $0.152 \pm 0.011$ | $0.099 \pm 0.016$ |
| 20070107 | $0.548 \pm 0.028$ | $0.295 \pm 0.020$ | $0.214 \pm 0.016$ | $0.175 \pm 0.015$ | $0.074 \pm 0.012$ |
| 20070111 | $0.644 \pm 0.040$ | $0.332 \pm 0.016$ | $0.220 \pm 0.013$ | $0.158 \pm 0.011$ | $0.093 \pm 0.016$ |
| 20071212 | $0.709 \pm 0.038$ | $0.307 \pm 0.020$ | $0.221 \pm 0.020$ | $0.158 \pm 0.021$ | $0.097 \pm 0.018$ |
| Epoch2 mean | $0.637 \pm 0.017$ | $0.307 \pm 0.009$ | $0.214 \pm 0.008$ | $0.161 \pm 0.008$ | $0.091 \pm 0.008$ |
| 20111024 | $0.548 \pm 0.242$ | $0.310 \pm 0.055$ | $0.180 \pm 0.021$ | $0.130 \pm 0.021$ | $0.104 \pm 0.053$ |
| 20111223 | $0.602 \pm 0.147$ | $0.309 \pm 0.009$ | $0.220 \pm 0.006$ | $0.161 \pm 0.009$ | $0.089 \pm 0.024$ |
| 20111231 | $0.510 \pm 0.053$ | $0.360 \pm 0.038$ | $0.316 \pm 0.026$ | $0.215 \pm 0.046$ | $0.120 \pm 0.030$ |
| 20120306 |  | $0.447 \pm 0.086$ | $0.242 \pm 0.074$ | $0.180 \pm 0.078$ | $0.031 \pm 0.079$ |
| 20120327 |  | $0.314 \pm 0.025$ | $0.222 \pm 0.020$ | $0.153 \pm 0.020$ | $0.083 \pm 0.016$ |
| Epoch3 mean | $0.553 \pm 0.058$ | $0.348 \pm 0.022$ | $0.236 \pm 0.017$ | $0.168 \pm 0.019$ | $0.085 \pm 0.021$ |
| Date(ymd) | $C_{U}$ | $C_{B}$ | $C_{V}$ | $C_{R}$ | $C_{I}$ |
| 20041026 | $-0.301 \pm 0.023$ | $-0.190 \pm 0.011$ | $0.077 \pm 0.009$ | $0.135 \pm 0.017$ | $-0.043 \pm 0.007$ |
| 20041127 |  | $-0.165 \pm 0.005$ | $0.083 \pm 0.004$ | $0.146 \pm 0.006$ | $-0.036 \pm 0.003$ |
| 20050902 |  | $-0.229 \pm 0.005$ | $0.067 \pm 0.004$ | $0.085 \pm 0.009$ | $-0.024 \pm 0.007$ |
| Epoch1 mean | $-0.301 \pm 0.008$ | $-0.195 \pm 0.004$ | $0.076 \pm 0.003$ | $0.122 \pm 0.007$ | $-0.034 \pm 0.003$ |
| 20061221 | $-0.132 \pm 0.016$ | $-0.132 \pm 0.008$ | $0.086 \pm 0.007$ | $0.110 \pm 0.011$ | $-0.037 \pm 0.009$ |
| 20070107 | $-0.107 \pm 0.013$ | $-0.128 \pm 0.011$ | $0.076 \pm 0.009$ | $0.106 \pm 0.016$ | $-0.035 \pm 0.007$ |
| 20070111 | $-0.136 \pm 0.011$ | $-0.134 \pm 0.006$ | $0.080 \pm 0.005$ | $0.105 \pm 0.007$ | $-0.040 \pm 0.005$ |
| 20071212 | $-0.124 \pm 0.016$ | $-0.133 \pm 0.007$ | $0.079 \pm 0.007$ | $0.101 \pm 0.013$ | $-0.038 \pm 0.006$ |
| Epoch2 mean | $-0.125 \pm 0.007$ | $-0.132 \pm 0.004$ | $0.080 \pm 0.004$ | $0.106 \pm 0.006$ | $-0.038 \pm 0.004$ |
| 20111024 | $-0.316 \pm 0.063$ | $-0.144 \pm 0.011$ | $0.068 \pm 0.007$ | $0.108 \pm 0.007$ | $-0.026 \pm 0.011$ |
| 20111223 | $-0.218 \pm 0.027$ | $-0.149 \pm 0.002$ | $0.064 \pm 0.004$ | $0.095 \pm 0.004$ | $-0.023 \pm 0.006$ |
| 20111231 | $-0.367 \pm 0.034$ | $-0.146 \pm 0.010$ | $0.064 \pm 0.009$ | $0.076 \pm 0.015$ | $-0.025 \pm 0.007$ |
| 20120306 |  | $-0.164 \pm 0.035$ | $0.071 \pm 0.029$ | $0.088 \pm 0.055$ | $-0.015 \pm 0.031$ |
| 20120327 |  | $-0.155 \pm 0.008$ | $0.062 \pm 0.007$ | $0.083 \pm 0.014$ | $-0.033 \pm 0.005$ |
| Epoch3 mean | $-0.300 \pm 0.015$ | $-0.152 \pm 0.008$ | $0.066 \pm 0.006$ | $0.090 \pm 0.012$ | $-0.024 \pm 0.007$ |

Table 5 Atmospheric Extinction Coefficients at the Xinglong Observatory

| Year | $k_{B}^{\prime}$ | $k_{V}^{\prime}$ | $k_{R}^{\prime}$ | $k_{I}^{\prime}$ | Reference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2011-2012$ | $0.348 \pm 0.022$ | $0.236 \pm 0.017$ | $0.168 \pm 0.019$ | $0.085 \pm 0.021$ | $[1]$ |
| 2008 | $0.330 \pm 0.007$ | $0.242 \pm 0.005$ | $0.195 \pm 0.004$ | $0.066 \pm 0.003$ | $[2]$ |
| $2006-2007$ | $0.307 \pm 0.009$ | $0.214 \pm 0.008$ | $0.161 \pm 0.008$ | $0.091 \pm 0.008$ | $[1]$ |
| $2004-2005$ | $0.296 \pm 0.012$ | $0.199 \pm 0.009$ | $0.141 \pm 0.010$ | $0.083 \pm 0.009$ | $[1]$ |
| 1995 | 0.35 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.16 | $[3]$ |
| 1989 | 0.31 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.10 | $[3]$ |

References: [1] this paper; [2] Zhou et al. (2009); [3] Shi et al. (1998).
31 are used for the plot. Fitting those data points in a linear fashion yields a slope that is very close to 1.0 , with an $\mathrm{rms}<0.1 \mathrm{mag}$ in different filters ${ }^{6}$. This means that the transformation from the photometric system of TNT to the Johnson-Cousins standard photometric system can be well established.

Note that the above color coefficients are obtained from normal stars with the $B-V$ color ranging from -0.3 mag to +2.2 mag , and may not account for all the photometric differences between the instrumental magnitudes and the standard Johnson-Cousins magnitudes for some variable sources such as SNe and GRBs because of their peculiar spectral shapes and features. Besides the color term correction, additional corrections such as the S-correction (Stritzinger et al. 2002) are usually required for precise photometry of these objects.

## 5 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE

### 5.1 System Efficiency

Using the photometric observations of Landolt standard stars, we could also estimate the total throughput of the overall observation system. This involves the filter response, the atmospheric transmission, the telescope optics and the detector quantum efficiency. Following the descriptions by Kinoshita et al. (2005) (see their eqs. (15)-(18)), we computed the throughput efficiency of the 1300B-1 CCD for the TNT observations. The results in different bands are summarized in Table 6, with higher throughput efficiency in the $V$ and $R$ bands. This is in accordance with the light curves describing the CCD quantum efficiency provided by the manufacturers.

Table 6 The total throughput of the TNT photometric system for the $U B V R I$ bands, including telescope optics, filter transmittance, and detector quantum efficiency.

| Band | $U$ | $B$ | $V$ | $R$ | $I$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Throughput | $9.5 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ | $24.7 \%$ | $36.4 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ |

### 5.2 Sky Background Brightness

As a byproduct of our photometric calibrations, we could also estimate the brightness of the night sky based on the flux of the sky background. The instrumental magnitudes were converted into the standard system with the transformation Equations (3)-(7) and the coefficients shown in Table 3. We did not consider the effects caused by the difference in the airmass and the direction of the sky area $^{7}$. As the sky background emission is affected significantly by the moon phase, we divided the

[^5]Table 7 The background brightness of the night sky at the Xinglong Observing Station. The brightness is expressed in the unit of mag $\operatorname{arcsec}^{-2}$.

| Date (ymd) | $U$ | $B$ | $V$ | $R$ | $I$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20041026 | $19.831 \pm 0.261$ | $19.434 \pm 0.079$ | $19.211 \pm 0.060$ | $18.961 \pm 0.441$ | $18.321 \pm 0.180$ |
| 20041127 |  | $19.377 \pm 0.044$ | $19.467 \pm 0.032$ | $19.226 \pm 0.186$ | $18.672 \pm 0.081$ |
| 20070107 | $19.388 \pm 0.186$ | $19.725 \pm 0.050$ | $19.678 \pm 0.042$ | $19.471 \pm 0.393$ | $18.650 \pm 0.155$ |
| 20120306 |  | $17.025 \pm 0.015$ | $16.857 \pm 0.015$ | $16.525 \pm 0.019$ | $16.212 \pm 0.018$ |
| moonlit above |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20050902 |  | $21.724 \pm 0.024$ | $21.451 \pm 0.016$ | $20.914 \pm 0.243$ | $19.411 \pm 0.180$ |
| 20061221 | $22.312 \pm 0.287$ | $22.195 \pm 0.035$ | $21.416 \pm 0.031$ | $20.474 \pm 0.309$ | $18.908 \pm 0.225$ |
| 20070111 | $21.709 \pm 0.148$ | $21.606 \pm 0.039$ | $21.083 \pm 0.031$ | $20.358 \pm 0.197$ | $19.079 \pm 0.143$ |
| 20071212 | $21.405 \pm 0.269$ | $21.279 \pm 0.049$ | $20.764 \pm 0.049$ | $20.142 \pm 0.363$ | $18.896 \pm 0.165$ |
| 20111024 | $22.352 \pm 0.465$ | $21.129 \pm 0.147$ | $19.847 \pm 0.086$ | $19.279 \pm 0.101$ | $18.117 \pm 0.062$ |
| 20111223 | $21.571 \pm 0.147$ | $21.270 \pm 0.091$ | $20.286 \pm 0.063$ | $19.486 \pm 0.061$ | $17.970 \pm 0.035$ |
| 20111231 | $20.655 \pm 0.077$ | $20.490 \pm 0.063$ | $20.110 \pm 0.042$ | $19.382 \pm 0.045$ | $18.100 \pm 0.026$ |
| 20120327 |  | $20.995 \pm 0.061$ | $20.106 \pm 0.043$ | $19.371 \pm 0.044$ | $18.109 \pm 0.028$ |
| moonless above |  |  |  |  |  |
| 198910 |  | 22.15 | 21.04 |  |  |
| 199510 |  | 21.81 | 20.60 | 20.25 | 18.77 |

data from the 12 nights into two groups: moonlit and moonless nights. During the moonless nights (2004~2007), the sky brightness was estimated to $\sim 21.8 \mathrm{mag}$ in $U, \sim 21.7 \mathrm{mag}$ in $B, \sim 21.2 \mathrm{mag}$ in $V, \sim 20.5 \mathrm{mag}$ in $R$, and $\sim 19.1 \mathrm{mag}$ in $I$. These values are generally consistent with the estimates obtained in 1989 and 1995 (Shi et al. 1998, see also Table 7). The value of $V$ is also consistent with the mean value of the moonlight-corrected sky brightness derived from the BATC data (Liu et al. 2003). In 2011, however, the sky brightness was found to be $\sim 21.3 \mathrm{mag}$ in $U, \sim 20.9 \mathrm{mag}$ in $B$, $\sim 20.0 \mathrm{mag}$ in $V, \sim 19.3 \mathrm{mag}$ in $R$, and $\sim 18.1 \mathrm{mag}$ in $I$. These values are apparently brighter than those obtained a couple of years ago, indicating that the sky background at Xinglong Observatory has become worse in recent years. This is perhaps related to the contamination of the city lights of Beijing, Tianjin, and Xinglong.

### 5.3 Limiting Magnitude and Photometric Precision

We estimated the limiting magnitudes of the TNT photometric system as well. We used the equation below (Howell 2000) to perform our calculation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{S}{N}=\frac{N_{\text {star }}}{\sqrt{N_{\text {star }}+n_{\text {pix }}\left(N_{\text {sky }}+N_{\text {dark }}+N_{\text {readout }}^{2}\right)}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N_{\text {star }}$ is the total number of photons collected from the targets. $N_{\text {sky }}$ is the total number of photons per pixel from the sky background. $N_{\text {dark }}$ is the dark current per pixel from thermal electrons. $N_{\text {readout }}$ is the readout noise estimated in Section 2.2. $n_{\text {pix }}$ is the number of pixels under consideration for the calculation. The dark current electrons are neglected here.

The limiting magnitudes derived on the moonless nights with an aperture size of $6^{\prime \prime}$ in a slow readout mode are listed in Table 8, with mean values of $U \sim 19.2 \mathrm{mag}, B \sim 19.0 \mathrm{mag}, V \sim$ $18.8 \mathrm{mag}, R \sim 18.7 \mathrm{mag}$, and $I \sim 18.2 \mathrm{mag}$, for a signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of 100 and an integration time of 300 s . Compared with the detection limit for the $85-\mathrm{cm}$ telescope obtained by Zhou et al. (2009, see their table D.2), TNT seems to go slightly deeper, e.g. 18.8 mag vs. 18.2 mag in $V$ for a 300-s exposure, given a similar exposure time and SNR.

We further estimated the photometric precision of the TNT system. The errors of 1684 data points for the observations of 73 Landolt standard stars are shown in Figure 4. It is clearly seen that the photometric precision is $\leq 0.01 \mathrm{mag}$ for sources brighter than 15.0 mag , with an exposure time


Fig. 4 Photometric errors of 73 Landolt standard stars obtained by the TNT system. Symbols in the left panel show the distribution of the observed values in the $U B V R I$ filters while the curves in the right panel represent the best fit to the corresponding data points.

Table 8 Limiting magnitudes (for an SNR of 100) derived in the slow mode using the data taken on moonlit and moonless nights with an exposure time of 300 s and a photometric aperture of $6^{\prime \prime}$.

| Date (ymd) | $U$ | $B$ | $V$ | $R$ | $I$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20041026 | $18.280 \pm 0.122$ | $18.091 \pm 0.038$ | $17.984 \pm 0.029$ | $17.863 \pm 0.215$ | $17.551 \pm 0.089$ |
| 20041127 |  | $18.064 \pm 0.021$ | $18.107 \pm 0.015$ | $17.992 \pm 0.090$ | $17.723 \pm 0.039$ |
| 20070107 | $18.069 \pm 0.089$ | $18.230 \pm 0.024$ | $18.207 \pm 0.020$ | $18.109 \pm 0.189$ | $17.712 \pm 0.076$ |
| 20111006 |  | $17.795 \pm 0.021$ | $17.666 \pm 0.021$ | $17.889 \pm 0.020$ | $17.936 \pm 0.021$ |
| 20120306 |  | $17.577 \pm 0.007$ | $17.527 \pm 0.007$ | $17.744 \pm 0.009$ | $17.785 \pm 0.009$ |
| moonlit mean | $18.175 \pm 0.030$ | $17.951 \pm 0.011$ | $17.898 \pm 0.009$ | $17.919 \pm 0.060$ | $17.741 \pm 0.025$ |
| 20050902 |  | $19.108 \pm 0.009$ | $19.000 \pm 0.007$ | $18.773 \pm 0.107$ | $18.080 \pm 0.087$ |
| 20061221 | $19.321 \pm 0.092$ | $19.280 \pm 0.012$ | $18.985 \pm 0.013$ | $18.577 \pm 0.142$ | $17.838 \pm 0.109$ |
| 20070111 | $19.102 \pm 0.056$ | $19.061 \pm 0.015$ | $18.846 \pm 0.013$ | $18.525 \pm 0.091$ | $17.920 \pm 0.069$ |
| 20071212 | $18.981 \pm 0.107$ | $18.929 \pm 0.021$ | $18.707 \pm 0.022$ | $18.425 \pm 0.170$ | $17.832 \pm 0.080$ |
| 20111024 | $19.353 \pm 0.017$ | $19.001 \pm 0.015$ | $18.697 \pm 0.016$ | $18.761 \pm 0.016$ | $18.452 \pm 0.016$ |
| 20111223 | $19.257 \pm 0.008$ | $19.078 \pm 0.008$ | $18.865 \pm 0.009$ | $18.855 \pm 0.009$ | $18.410 \pm 0.010$ |
| 20111231 | $19.116 \pm 0.006$ | $18.902 \pm 0.008$ | $18.772 \pm 0.007$ | $18.815 \pm 0.007$ | $18.474 \pm 0.007$ |
| 20120327 |  | $19.104 \pm 0.029$ | $18.902 \pm 0.021$ | $18.919 \pm 0.021$ | $18.582 \pm 0.013$ |
| moonless mean | $19.215 \pm 0.019$ | $19.058 \pm 0.006$ | $18.847 \pm 0.005$ | $18.706 \pm 0.033$ | $18.199 \pm 0.022$ |

of $300-600 \mathrm{~s}$ in $U, 60-120 \mathrm{~s}$ in $B, 40-90 \mathrm{~s}$ in $V, 20-60 \mathrm{~s}$ in $R$, and $20-40 \mathrm{~s}$ in $I$. This is similar to the precision reached by the $85-\mathrm{cm}$ telescope for a similar exposure time and SNR (Zhou et al. 2009).

## 6 SUMMARY

In this article, we evaluate the performance of the VersArray: 1300B CCD photometric system mounted on the Tsinghua-NAOC $0.8-\mathrm{m}$ telescope at the Xinglong Observing Station of NAOC. Results of the evaluation are summarized as follows:
(1) Typical CCD parameters such as bias, gain, readout noise, and dark current are derived for VersArray:1300B. These parameters, especially the bias and the readout noise, are related to the readout modes. Compared with the fast readout mode, the slow mode produces an apparently lower bias level and readout noise. Because of a very low working temperature, the dark current of the CCD detector is very low and can be ignored in the image reduction.
(2) Based on the observations of Landolt standard stars over a dozen photometric nights, we derived the transformation coefficients between the instrumental ubvri magnitudes and the standard $U B V R I$ magnitudes: (i) the color terms used to normalize the photometry are relatively small for the ubvri filters mounted on TNT, suggesting that the response curves are similar to those of the standard Johnson/Cousins (Bessel) system; (ii) the atmospheric extinction coefficients in $U B V R I$ bands are robustly determined for the Xinglong site with our extensive calibration data taken on the photometric nights.
(3) The limiting magnitudes are also obtained for TNT. With an exposure time of 300 s , it can detect a point source with $B \sim 19.0 \mathrm{mag}$ and $V \sim 18.8 \mathrm{mag}$ for an SNR $\sim 100$.
(4) The emission of the sky background at the Xinglong Observatory was also examined with our extensive calibration data, which shows an apparent increase after 2005, e.g. from a level of $V \sim 21.4 \mathrm{mag}$ in 2005 to $V \sim 20.2 \mathrm{mag}$ in 2011. This change definitely brings a negative effect on the astronomical observations and research programs at the Xinglong Observing Station.
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[^4]:    5 The extinction coefficients are in units of magnitude per airmass.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ The scatter in $U$ is slightly larger $(\sim 0.58 \mathrm{mag})$ due to relatively lower quality data.
    7 Xinglong Observatory is located 115 km northeast of Beijing, 200 km northeast of Tianjin, and 7 km east of Xinglong county. The city lights from Beijing, Tianjin, and Xinglong can contribute significantly to the western sky background around the Xinglong Observatory.

