Infrared Galaxies in the Nearby Universe *

Jian-Ling Wang

¹ National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100012, China; *wjianl@bao.ac.cn*

² Graduate School of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

Received 2007 April 24; accepted 2008 August 28

Abstract We used the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) Data Release 5 (DR5) to study the morphological properties of 1137 nearby infrared (IR) galaxies, most of which are brighter than 15.9 mag in *r*-band. This sample was drawn from a cross-correlation of the Infra-Red Astronomical Satellite (*IRAS*) point source catalog redshift survey with DR5 at $z \leq 0.08$. Based on this IR galaxy sample, we constructed five volume-limited sub-samples with IR luminosity ranging from $10^{9.5}L_{\odot}$ to $10^{12}L_{\odot}$. By deriving the IR luminosity functions (LF) for different morphological types, we found that normal spiral galaxies are the dominant population below $L_{\rm IR} \sim 8 \times 10^{10} L_{\odot}$; while the fraction of barred spiral galaxies increases with increasing IR luminosity decreases, the IR galaxies become more compact and have lower stellar masses. The analysis also shows that normal spiral galaxies give the dominant contribution to the total comoving IR energy density in the nearby universe, while, in contrast, the contribution from peculiar galaxies is only 39%.

Key words: galaxies: evolution — galaxies: interactions — galaxies: starburst — infrared: galaxies — galaxies: luminosity function

1 INTRODUCTION

Understanding how, when and where most stellar mass is produced is an important, unsolved problem. In the last decade, there have been numerous studies of star formation history as a function of redshift (e.g. Lilly et al. 1996; Madau et al. 1998). It has been confirmed by Spitzer observations that the star formation rate density has rapidly declined by a factor of ~ 10 since redshift ~ 1 (Le Floc'h et al. 2005). To understand the star formation process at high-redshift, it is a prerequisite to understand the properties of local star-forming galaxies.

The *IRAS* (Infra-Red Astronomical Satellite) database still plays an important role with data yet to be explored (Lonsdale et al. 2006; Wu et al. 1998a,b; Goto 2005; Pasquali et al. 2005; Cao et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2006). This all-sky survey conducted by *IRAS* produced two catalogs: the Faint Source Catalog (FSC, Moshir et al. 1992) and the brighter Point Source Catalog (PSC, Joint *IRAS* Science Working Group 1988). The Point Source Catalog Redshift survey (PSCz) obtained redshifts for all the sources in the PSC, which provides a unique catalog of IR galaxies in the nearby universe.

Based on *IRAS* redshift surveys, the IR luminosity function (LF) in the nearby universe has been well established (Soifer et al. 1987; Sanders & Mirabel 1996; Sanders et al. 2003). About 30% of the luminosity density is contributed from infrared emissions in 8–1000 μ m (Soifer & Neugebauer 1991). On the other hand, although the luminous infrared galaxies (LIRGs: $L_{\rm IR} > 10^{11} L_{\odot}$) and ultraluminous infrared galaxies (ULIRGs: $L_{\rm IR} > 10^{12} L_{\odot}$) are rare and only account for ~5% of the total IR energy density in

^{*} Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

nearby universe (Soifer & Neugebauer 1991; Kim & Sanders 1998; Le Floc'h et al. 2005), they are the dominant population responsible for the bulk of the comoving IR luminosity density at redshift beyond $z \sim 0.5$ (Le Floc'h et al. 2005; Pérez-González et al. 2005). While, at redshifts less than 0.5, a large fraction of the IR energy density is contributed by galaxies with $L_{\rm IR} < 10^{11} L_{\odot}$. Given that there is a linear correlation between star formation rate (SFR) and IR luminosity (Kennicutt 1998), investigating the properties of galaxies with lower infrared luminosities will help to understand the star formation process. However, until now, there are few works on detail analysis of the IR LFs from different populations of local galaxies, especially for IR galaxies with different morphologies.

In this paper, we cross-correlate the PSCz catalog with the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) Data Release 5 (DR5), and study the morphological properties of these star forming galaxies in detail. Our main goal is to derive the morphologically dependent IR LF, hence to know which type of galaxies is the dominant contributor to the comoving IR energy density in nearby universe. The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we describe how we cross-correlate the SDSS DR5 data with the PSCz data, and how we construct five volume-limited samples. In Section 3, we present and discuss the statistical results. In the final section, we summarize our results. Throughout this paper we use a cosmology with a matter density of $\Omega_{m,0} = 0.3$, a cosmological constant $\Omega_{\Lambda,0} = 0.7$ and a Hubble constant of $H_0 = 70 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1} \, Mpc^{-1}}$.

2 THE SAMPLE

Our IR galaxy sample is drawn from a cross-correlation of the PSCz catalogue with the SDSS DR5 photometric catalogue. PSCz is one of the *IRAS* redshift survey catalogues with 60 micron flux above 0.6 Jy. The catalogue has 15411 *IRAS* galaxies, of which 14677 objects have redshift available. The sample covers 84% of the sky and completes to $b_J < 19.5$ (Saunders et al. 2000). The SDSS DR5 photometric survey covers 7875 square degree¹, 215 million individual objects up to 22.2 mag at g- and r- band (Stoughton et al. 2002; Adelman-McCarthy et al. 2007). According to the calibration formula suggested by the 2dFGRS team², $g = b_J - 0.150 - 0.130(g - r)$, the faintest object in our volume-limited samples is $r \simeq 18.4$ mag (see the following) after Galactic extinction correction (Schlegel et al. 1998). Therefore, the SDSS DR5 photometric survey is deep enough to detect the vast majority of the optical counterparts of PSCz sources. When we perform the cross-identifications, all SDSS sources within 5" around the optical positions of PSCz are taken as the IR galaxy counterparts. When there are more than one SDSS source within the searching area, we choose the nearest one as the counterpart.

In order to test the robustness of this 2-dimensional (2D) cross-identifications, we also perform crosscorrelation analysis between PSCz and the SDSS DR5 spectroscopic sample within 5" radius. Only 5% of our 2D matched sample galaxies have redshift differences larger than 3%. On the other hand, as our sample galaxies are nearby object, most our volume-limited sample galaxies (\sim 98%) have sizes (three times halflight Petrosian radius) larger than 5", with median size \sim 18", which is comparable to the typical position uncertainty of *IRAS* PSC. Therefore, our identification should be reliable. The cross-correlation yielded 3284 objects.

For each object, we estimate the total IR luminosity by the formula

$$L_{\rm IR}(8 - 1000\,\mu{\rm m}) \approx 2L_{60\mu{\rm m}},$$
 (1)

where the monochromatic luminosity, $L_{60\mu m} = \nu L_{60\mu m}$ (Lawrence et al. 1989; Bushouse et al. 2002; Arribas et al. 2004). This IR luminosity estimation is consistent with that from the more widely used formula (Hopkins et al. 2003) that uses both the 60 and 100 μm fluxes.

Figure 1 shows the IR luminosity vs. redshift for all 3284 identified IR galaxies. The lower envelope is due to the IR flux limit of 0.6 Jy at 60 μ m. For the later statistical analysis, we further constructed five volume-limited sub-samples from the main sample (3284 galaxies) with IR luminosity ranges at $10^{9.5} L_{\odot} < L_{\rm IR} < 10^{10} L_{\odot}, 10^{10} L_{\odot} < L_{\rm IR} < 10^{10.4} L_{\odot}, 10^{10.4} L_{\odot} < L_{\rm IR} < 10^{11} L_{\odot}, 10^{11} L_{\odot} < L_{\rm IR} < 10^{11.4} L_{\odot}$ and $10^{11.4} L_{\odot} < L_{\rm IR} < 10^{12} L_{\odot}$, respectively. These sub-samples are marked as boxes in Figure 1. The

¹ The SDSS DR5 covers about 8000 deg² of sky which includes a small amount of imaging outside of the ellipse that defines the main-survey boundary, while the precise number for the photometric survey area is 7875 unique deg² (Adelman-McCarthy et al. 2007).

² http://www.mso.anu.edu.au/2dFGRS/



Fig. 1 IR luminosity (estimated using Eq. (1)) vs. redshift. The five red boxes indicate, from top to bottom, our five IR volume-limited samples.

Table 1 Morphological classification of IR galaxies in five IR luminosity bins and the CIRED contributed by different morphological types.

Range of	9.5~10		10~10.4		10.4~11		11~11.4		11.4~12		9.5~12
$\log L_{\rm IR}/L_{\odot}$	0.005	$\leq z < 0.0095$	50.00	$5 \le z < 0.0168$	0.00	$5 \le z < 0.0265$	0.00	$5 \le z < 0.0518$	0.005	$\leq z < 0.0805$	CIRED
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N %
Barred spiral	8	8	23	13	65	17	50	17	20	11	166 14
Nonbarred spiral	28	28	37	21	63	16	19	6	3	2	150 18
Highly-Inclined spiral	45	45	56	32	96	25	40	14	3	2	240 28
Peculiar	18	18	57	33	159	42	187	63	157	86	578 39
S 0	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 1
Total	101	100	174	100	383	100	296	100	183	100	1137 100

redshift intervals and number of objects for each subsample are listed in Table 1. Note that the lower redshift cut in each subsample is to exclude redshifts that significantly deviated from the Hubble flow. Finally, there are 183, 296, 383, 174 and 101 objects in each volume-limited sub-samples from high to low IR luminosity bins (see Table 1). These 1137 IR galaxies comprising the five sub-samples will be our working sample. Figure 2 shows the number distributions of IR luminosity, redshift, and *r*-band magnitude for the sample galaxies. We can see that most of the galaxies ($\sim 90\%$) are brighter than 15.9 mag in the SDSS *r* band, hence reliable morphological classifications can be made for most of the galaxies (Fukugita et al. 2004).

Note that there exists a well-known photometric problem in SDSS in that the big bright objects tend to be deblended into several smaller parts. So we visually checked all the color images against the position of identified source. There were 301 objects off the center of the galaxies or located in mistakenly deblended pieces (of big galaxies). In our analysis, we used the total luminosity of the big galaxies rather than each small, deblended part.

Figure 3 shows the SDSS survey sky coverage³ on an Aitoff projection in Galactic coordinates. Also shown are the PSCz masked region affected by heavy contamination, as well as our five volume-limited samples. Using the mask file of PSCz and the SDSS sky survey coverage tables, we can calculate the SDSS survey region masked by PSCz. There is about 350 deg^2 masked by PSCz. So the total region covered by SDSS and PSCz is 7525 deg^2 , corresponding to 18.2% of the whole sky. This number will be used to

³ The SDSS sky survey coverage can be found in website: *http://www.sdss.org/dr5/coverage/index.html*. For details of the sky coverage tables please refer to SDSS website.



Fig. 2 Number distributions of infrared luminosity, redshift and apparent magnitude for all five volumelimited samples.



Fig. 3 Aitoff projection in Galactic coordinates showing the SDSS DR5 survey coverage, the PSCz masked region, and our five volume-limited samples.

cross-check the normalization of our IR luminosity function. Using this sky coverage information, we can estimate how many PSCz sources are covered by the SDSS survey. It should be $15411 \times 7525/(41253 \times 0.84) \simeq 3347$. Disregarding the redshift completeness, the completeness for our cross-correlation is $3284/3347 \simeq 98\%$.

Note that there are 5% of PSCz galaxies without redshift data, which may affect the completeness of our samples. However, most of these PSCz galaxies are distributed around the Galactic plane with $|b| < 30^{\circ}$ (see fig. 4 of Saunders et al. 2000), while the SDSS survey covers the north pole region with a small fraction of the located in $|b| < 30^{\circ}$ (see Fig. 3). Therefore, such incompleteness is trivial, which will be confirmed by the normalization of our LF.

3 ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

3.1 Morphological Classification

We visually examined all the *r*-band images for the morphological classifications. Then we used the colorimages for the cross checks (see Wang et al. 2006). We classified all galaxies into three types: interacting/merging galaxies (or peculiars), elliptical/S0 galaxies and spirals (including barred, nonbarred and highly-inclined spirals). We first picked out the peculiars that show interacting/merging signatures or merged relics. Then, the elliptical/S0 galaxies were selected from the remainder that either have symmetric structures and no apparent disk features (ellipticals) or show a lens-shape without any conspicuous structure in the disk (S0). The rest are all spirals. We further divided the spirals into barred, nonbarred and highly-inclined spirals based on isophote analysis as well as visual examination of the images. Spirals with inclination angle *i* greater than 60° are defined as highly-inclined spirals (Abraham et al. 1999). The barred spirals are characterized by the rapid changes in the position angle and ellipticity with the radius (Jogee et al. 2004; Zheng et al. 2005; Menéndez-Delmestre et al. 2007). Note that for the highly-inclined spirals, it is difficult to see whether they are barred or not, and hence they are grouped into a separate category (highly-inclined spirals).

The number and fraction of each type of galaxies are listed in Table 1. Note that there are no ellipticals and only three S0 galaxies with low IR luminosities that show strong star forming activities, Balmer absorption features or an obvious nuclear dust lane. We assume these three S0 galaxies to be at a later stage of interaction/merger, and we will not do any special analysis on these.

3.2 Infrared Luminosity Function for Separate Morphological Types

The red and blue lines in Figure 4 show the infrared luminosity functions (IR LF), for the peculiars and spiral galaxies, respectively. The normalization of the IR LFs is obtained by equating the total IR energy over the range from $10^{9.5}L_{\odot}$ to $10^{12}L_{\odot}$ with that obtained by integrating the IR LF curve of Takeuchi et al. (2003). The sky coverage obtained from this method is 17.3%, which is more or less the same as the sky coverage of our sample (18.2%). We can see that the overall shape of IR LF for whole sample galaxies (yellow open circles) matches that of Takeuchi et al. (2003) (green line) very well.

It is clear from Figure 4 that, with increasing IR luminosity, the contribution to the IR LF by the normal spiral galaxies decreases, while that from the peculiars increases. Especially, the normal spirals are the dominant population below $L_{\rm IR} \sim 8 \times 10^{10} L_{\odot}$, while above $L_{\rm IR} \sim 8 \times 10^{10} L_{\odot}$ the peculiars are the dominant population.

Based on the IR LF, we estimated the comoving infrared energy density (CIRED) in the IR luminosity range from $10^{9.5}L_{\odot}$ to $10^{12}L_{\odot}$ contributed respectively by the normal spirals and the interacting/mergers. See Table 1. The peculiars contribute only 39% to CIRED in the nearby universe, thus, the infrared energy density in the local universe is mainly from normal spiral galaxies.

In order to assess the role of the bar in triggering star formation, we further investigated separately the IR LFs of barred and nonbarred spirals. Under the assumption that the fraction of barred and nonbarred spirals is independent of the inclination of the spiral, we partitioned all spirals irrespective of inclination barred and non-barred spirals and the separate IR LFs are shown in Figure 5. The nonbarred spirals are obviously the dominant population at IR luminosity below $\sim 5 \times 10^{10} L_{\odot}$, the barred spirals play increasingly greater role with increasing luminosity and become the dominate population when IR luminosity exceeds $\sim 5 \times 10^{10} L_{\odot}$.

The identification of the bar in this work is based on the optical images, which may suffer dust extinction, resulting in a lower rate of identification. To assess this effect, we used near-infrared images from Large Galaxy Atlas (LGA), assembled from 2MASS (Two Micron All-Sky Survey) with image size from 2 ' to 2° (Jarrett et al. 2003). The LGA includes over 500 large galaxies with individual and co-added J-, H-, and Ks- band images. The completed atlas provides the aggregate flux for each galaxy and a detailed view of the infrared morphology. We cross-correlated our sample IR galaxies with the LGA catalogue and found 30 objects in common, comprising 13 peculiars, 9 highly-inclined spirals and 8 low-inclination spirals. Six of the low-inclination spirals are bar-spirals from morphological classification based on the SDSS images. However, the Ks band images of the eight low-inclination spirals show clearly that seven of these objects (rather than just six) are obvious barred spirals. This seems to indicate that the fraction of barred objects based on optical images could be underestimated.

3.3 The Contribution of IR Galaxies to Star Formation Activity

In order to know the contributions to comoving infrared energy density (CIRED) by different species of IR galaxies in the nearby universe, we investigate the dependence of CIRED on such parameters of the galaxies as concentration index (R_{90}/R_{50}) , stellar mass, stellar surface mass density, half-light radius (R_{50}) and IR dust color.



Fig. 4 IR LF for separate morphological types. The red and blue lines are for interacting/merging galaxies and spiral galaxies, respectively. The yellow open circles for the whole sample, the green line is the analytic function of Takeuchi et al. (2003). The 1 σ error bars from Poisson statistics are marked.



Fig. 5 IR LF of spiral galaxies. The green line is for all spirals, red and blue lines are the IR LFs for nonbarred spirals and barred spirals, respectively. The 1 σ error-bars from Poisson statistics are shown.

The concentration index is defined as the ratio of $C = R_{90}/R_{50}$, where R_{90} and R_{50} are the radii enclosing 90% and 50% of the Petrosian *r*-band luminosity, respectively. It is well known that there is a good correlation between the concentration parameter and the Hubble type: the early type galaxies tend to be more concentrated than the later type galaxies (Shimasaku et al. 2001; Strateva et al. 2001). For ellipticals with classic de Vaucouleurs profiles, C is ~ 5.5, and for spirals with pure exponential profiles, C is ~ 2.3. Strateva et al. (2001) proposed a cut at C = 2.6 to separate early- and late-type galaxies. From top-left panel of Figure 6, we can see that median value of concentration index R_{90}/R_{50} is ~ 2.4 and about 63% of CIRED are contributed by galaxies with $R_{90}/R_{50} < 2.6$, indicating that the disk dominant spirals give the dominant contribution to the CIRED.



Fig. 6 Comoving infrared energy density (CIRED) as functions of, respectively, the concentration index (R_{90}/R_{50}) , stellar mass, surface mass density, Petrosian half-light radius (R_{50}) and IR color. The dashed-line in each panel marks the median value.

We estimate the old stellar mass for each object from the q-r color and the r-band luminosity, following Bell et al. (2003). The photometric k-correction was calculated using the method of Blanton et al. (2007). The stellar surface mass density μ_* is defined as $M_*/(2\pi R_{50}^2)$. From top-middle and top-right panel of Figure 6, the galaxies with stellar mass ranging from $10^{10} M_{\odot}$ to a few $\times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$ and with surface mass density from few time $10^8 M_{\odot} \text{ kpc}^{-2}$ to a few times $10^9 M_{\odot} \text{ kpc}^{-2}$ give the main contribution to the CIRED. The bottom left panel of Figure 6 shows that most of the CIRED ($\sim 63\%$) is contributed from galaxies with half-light radii smaller than 3 kpc. Brinchmann et al. (2004) have investigated optically selected star forming galaxy properties using the SDSS data. They showed that the peak size contributing to stellar mass and star formation rate density is about 3 kpc (see their fig. 20). This indicates that in the nearby universe, most CIRED is contributed by compact galaxies and IR selected SF galaxies, rather than optical selected galaxies. Furthermore, Takeuchi et al. (2003) used the median value $S_{100\mu m}/S_{60\mu m} = 2.1$ in their sample to separate the warm dust from cool dust, which is almost the same as the median value of Helou (1986), 2.1 this value coincides with the median value in the bottom right panel of Figure 6. For our sample IR galaxies, this indicates that the galaxies with warm dust contribute one-half of the CIRED. Given that LIRGs dominant contributions to the CIRED at redshift ($z \sim 0.7$) and that LIRGs tend to be objects with warm dust (Soifer et al. 1987), we can expect that most of the CIRED at intermediate or high redshifts will be contributed by warmer dust. A direct comparison of the typical dust temperature of the CIRED contributor will help specifying the evolution of the dust.

In the top panel of Figure 7, we plot the stellar mass versus IR luminosity for spiral galaxies. It is clear that the IR luminosity decreases with decreasing stellar mass. The median values of stellar mass are, respectively, $1.2 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$, $9.1 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$, $6.6 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$, $3.9 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$ and $1.6 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$, for the spirals in our five volume-limited samples. The bottom panel of Figure 7 shows R_{50} versus the IR luminosity for the spiral galaxies, here R_{50} is the Petrosian half-light radius. There is also a trend that as the size decreases, the IR luminosity decreases.

Therefore, it is clear that the massive and larger galaxies could have stronger infrared emissions: this is consistent with the statement of Heckman (2005) that SFR is correlated with the velocity dispersion of the galaxies, and the smaller systems are less able to host violent star formation activities like the LIRGs or ULIRGs.



Fig. 7 Stellar mass and R_{50} as functions of IR luminosity. The histograms on the right show the distributions of stellar mass and R_{50} for the five volume-limited samples.

4 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we studied properties of 1137 IR galaxies based on their SDSS photometric data drawn from a cross-correlation of the *IRAS* point source catalog redshift survey with the SDSS DR5 at $z \leq 0.08$. Especially, we constructed five volume-limited samples with IR luminosity ranging from $10^{9.5} L_{\odot}$ to $10^{12}L_{\odot}$ to derive the infrared luminosity function for different morphological types in nearby universe ⁴.

Our main conclusions are as follows:

- With increasing IR luminosity, the contribution of interacting/merging galaxies to the IR LF increases, while the contribution by normal spiral galaxies decreases. The normal spiral galaxies are the dominant population below $L_{\rm IR} \sim 8 \times 10^{10} L_{\odot}$, but above $L_{\rm IR} \sim 8 \times 10^{10} L_{\odot}$ the dominance passes to the interacting/merging galaxies. This means that interaction/merger is the most effective mechanism to trigger violent star forming activities in the nearby universe.
- Nonbarred spirals are obviously the dominant population at IR luminosities below $\sim 5 \times 10^{10} L_{\odot}$, while barred spirals play the more important role for the IR LF at higher luminosities, and become the dom-

 $^{^4}$ Note that our study complements that of Goto (2005) who studied the optical properties of 4248 *IRAS* galaxies selected from the faint source catalogue of *IRAS*. Although Goto (2005) did not consider the morphological types of the galaxies, he obtained the conclusion that as the IR luminosity decreases, the fraction of mergers decreases, while that of normal spiral galaxies increases. This is consistent with our results.

inate population when the IR luminosity exceeds $\sim 5 \times 10^{10} L_{\odot}$. This implies that bar instability is an important mechanism to transport the gas and to trigger star forming activities.

- The normal spiral galaxies provide the dominant contribution to the total comoving IR energy density in the nearby universe, while the contribution from interacting/merging galaxies is only 39%.
- With decreasing IR luminosity, the stellar mass and the size of the galaxy also decrease. Low IR luminosity galaxies have on average small stellar masses and more compact sizes, at least in the IR luminosity range between $10^{9.5}L_{\odot}$ to $10^{12}L_{\odot}$, while high IR luminosity galaxies tend to be larger systems with higher stellar masses and larger sizes.

Our study of nearby IR galaxies is relevant to their high redshift counterparts, which are the dominate contributor of cosmic SFR density and cosmic IR background (Le Floc'h et al. 2005). The current results provide a good analog for the investigations of high redshift star forming galaxies.

Acknowledgements We thank X. Y. Xia, S. Mao, Hong Wu, Z. G. Deng for advice, and comments on the draft. We acknowledge C. Cao and Y. C. Liang for helpful discussions. I thank an anonymous referee for his/her valuable suggestions and comments which made the draft improve significantly. This project is supported by NSFC grant Nos. 10333060 and 10778622. This research has made use of the NASA/ IPAC Infrared Science Archive, which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Funding for the SDSS and SDSS-II has been provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the Participating Institutions, the National Science Foundation, the U.S. Department of Energy, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the Japanese Monbukagakusho, the Max Planck Society, and the Higher Education Funding Council for England. The SDSS Web Site is http://www.sdss.org/. The SDSS is managed by the Astrophysical Research Consortium for the Participating Institutions. The Participating Institutions are the American Museum of Natural History, Astrophysical Institute Potsdam, University of Basel, University of Cambridge, Case Western Reserve University, University of Chicago, Drexel University, Fermilab, the Institute for Advanced Study, the Japan Participation Group, Johns Hopkins University, the Joint Institute for Nuclear Astrophysics, the Kavli Institute for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology, the Korean Scientist Group, the Chinese Academy of Sciences (LAMOST), Los Alamos National Laboratory, the Max-Planck-Institute for Astronomy (MPIA), the Max-Planck-Institute for Astrophysics (MPA), New Mexico State University, Ohio State University, University of Pittsburgh, University of Portsmouth, Princeton University, the United States Naval Observatory, and the University of Washington.

References

Adelman-McCarthy J. K., Agüeros M. A., Allam S. S. et al., 2007, ApJS, 172, 634 Arribas S., Bushouse H., Lucas R. A. et al., 2004, AJ, 127, 2522 Bell E. F., McIntosh D. H., Katz N., Weinberg M. D., 2003, ApJS, 149, 289 Blanton M. R., Roweis S., 2007, AJ, 133, 734 Brinchmann J., Charlot S., White S. D. M. et al., 2004, MNRAS, 351, 1151 Bushouse H. A., Borne K. D., Colina L. et al., 2002, ApJS, 138, 1 Cao C., Wu H., Wang J. L. et al., 2006, Chin. J. Astron. Astrophys. (ChJAA), 6, 197 Fukugita M., Nakamura O., Turner E. L. et al., 2004, ApJ, 601, L127 Goto T., 2005, MNRAS, 360, 322 Heckman T. M., 2005, astro-ph/0502022 Helou G., 1986, ApJ, 311, L33 Jarrett T. H., Chester T., Cutri R. et al., 2003, AJ, 125, 52 Jogee S., Barazza F. D., Rix H. W. et al., 2004, ApJ, 615, L105 Joint IRAS Science Working Group. 1988. IRAS Catalogs and Atlases: The Point Source Catalog, Version 2.0 (NASA RP-1190) (Washington, DC: GPO) (PSC) Kennicutt R. C., 1998, ARA&A, 36, 189 Kim D.-C., Sanders D. B., 1998, ApJS, 119, 41 Lawrence A., Rowan-Robinson M., Leech K. J. et al., 1989, MNRAS, 240, 329 Le Floc'h E., Papovich C., Dole H. et al., 2005, ApJ, 632, 169 Lonsdale C. J., Farrah D., Smith H. E., 2006, Astrophysics Update 2, 285

- Lilly S. J., Le Fevre O., Hammer F. et al., 1996, ApJ, 460, L1
- Madau P., Pozzetti L., Dickinson M., 1998, ApJ, 498, 106
- Menéndez-Delmestre K., Sheth K., Schinnerer E. et al., 2007, ApJ, 657, 790
- Moshir M., Kopan G., Conrow J. et al., 1992. Explanatory Supplement to the *IRAS* Faint Source Survey, Version 2, JPL D-10015 8/92, Pasadena: JPL
- Hopkins A. M., Miller C. J., Nichol R. C. et al., 2003, ApJ, 599, 971
- Pasquali A., Kauffmann G., Heckman T., 2005, MNRAS, 361, 1121
- Pérez-González P. G., Rieke G. H., Egami E. et al., 2005, ApJ, 630, 82
- Sanders D. B., Mazzarella J. M., Kim D.-C. et al., 2003, AJ, 126, 1607
- Sanders D. B., Mirabel I. F., 1996, ARA&A, 34, 749
- Saunders W., Sutherland W. J., Maddox S. J. et al., 2000, MNRAS, 317, 55
- Soifer B. T., Neugebauer G., 1991, AJ, 101, 354
- Soifer B. T., Sanders D. B., Madore B. F. et al., 1987, ApJ, 320, 238
- Schlegel D. J., Finkbeiner D. P., Davis M., 1998, ApJ, 500, 525
- Shimasaku K., Fukugita M., Doi M. et al., 2001, AJ, 122, 1238
- Stoughton C., Lupton R. H., Bernardi M. et al., 2002, AJ, 123, 485
- Strateva I., Željko I., Knapp G. R. et al., 2001, AJ, 122, 1861
- Takeuchi T., Yoshikawa K., Ishii T. T., 2003, ApJ, 587, L89
- Wang J. L., Xia X. Y., Mao S. et al., ApJ, 649, 722
- Wu H., Zou Z. L., Xia X. Y. et al., 1998a, A&AS, 127, 521
- Wu H., Zou Z. L., Xia X. Y. et al., 1998b, A&AS, 132, 181
- Zheng X. Z., Hammer F., Flores H. et al., 2005, A&A, 435, 507