Color gradients of the galaxies at $0.5 < z < 1$. II. Clustering properties
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Abstract We investigate the dependence of clustering on luminosity, stellar mass and color gradient for galaxies at $0.5 < z < 1$, using a sample of $\sim 6300$ galaxies from the final data release of the VIMOS Public Extragalactic Redshift Survey (VIPERS-PDR2). We estimate both the auto-correlation function for galaxy samples selected by $B$-band absolute magnitude and stellar mass, and the cross-correlation function of galaxy samples selected by color gradient with respect to the full galaxy sample. The auto-correlation function amplitudes at fixed scale are found to positively correlate with both galaxy luminosity and stellar mass, and the effect is hold for all the scales probed ($0.2 h^{-1}\text{Mpc} < r_p < 20 h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$), in good agreement with previous melasuresments based on an earlier data release of VIPERS. When the stellar mass is limited to a narrow range, we find the clustering power to be essentially independent of galaxy color gradient, and this conclusion is true for all the masses and all the scales considered here. In a parallel paper we find that the half-light radius is the only galaxy property other than stellar mass that is related to color gradient. Considering the previous finding that clustering depends weakly on galaxy structure at given mass, the no dependence of clustering on color gradient found here reinforces our conclusion that the color gradient and structural parameters of a galaxy are intrinsically related with each other.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Most of our knowledge on the large-scale structure of the Universe comes from the studies of large redshift surveys of nearby galaxies, such as the two-degree Field Galaxy Redshift Survey (2dFGRS Colless et al. 2001) and Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; York et al. 2000). Thanks to these surveys the clustering of galaxies as a function of their physical properties has been studies with unprecedented accuracy, as usually quantified by the two-point correlation function (2PCF) (Peebles 1980).

These studies have well established that galaxy clustering depends on a variety of galaxy properties. These include luminosity (White et al. 1988; Zehavi et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2007; Meneux et al. 2008, 2009; Zehavi et al. 2011; Marulli et al. 2013; Guo et al. 2013), stellar mass (Li et al. 2006a; Wang et al. 2008; Meneux et al. 2008, 2009; Marulli et al. 2013), color (Willmer et al. 1998; Zehavi et al. 2005, 2011; Li et al. 2006a; Wang et al. 2008; Guo et al. 2013), morphological or spectral type (Norberg...
et al. 2002; Madgwick et al. 2003; Wang et al. 2007), structural parameters such as concentration index and surface stellar mass density (Goto et al. 2003; Li et al. 2006a), the 4000Å break (Li et al. 2006a), star formation and nuclear activities (Li et al. 2008a,b). Generally, galaxies are more strongly clustered if they have higher luminosities and stellar masses, redder colors, early-type morphologies and older stellar populations.

In addition to the global properties, spatially resolved properties are also expected to provide interesting information about the evolution processes of galaxies, because the star formation history may vary across a galaxy with different star formation status at different radii. Radial color gradient is one of such properties, which is a combined result of the radial gradient in the stellar population properties including age, metallicity, surface mass density and dust attenuation. Color is just an indicator of the stellar population at a given radius, and it may be affected by dust extinction. However, color gradient is still useful in many cases, especially when spatially resolved spectroscopy is not available for large samples of galaxies.

In this work we attempt to study the clustering of galaxies at intermediate redshifts as a function of their color gradient, using a sample of 6,300 galaxies at $0.5 < z < 1$ with spectroscopy from the VIMOS Public Extragalactic Redshift Survey (VIPERS Guzzo et al. 2014; Scodeggio et al. 2018) and multi-band photometry from the VIPERS-Multi-Lambda Survey (VIPERS-MLS Moutard et al. 2016a,b). This redshift range is the cosmic epoch when the star formation activity in galaxies was still rapidly declining, thus an epoch important for the buildup of the quiescent galaxy population which has become a majority population of the local Universe. The star formation cessation process has been the driving factor in galaxy evolution over the past $\sim 8$ Gyr. The physical mechanisms behind this evolution process, however, remain unclear. Both internal processes and environmental effects external to galaxies are expected play varying roles in the star formation cessation process.

In a parallel paper (Liang & Li 2018, accepted, hereafter Paper I) we have estimated a variety of physical properties for the VIPERS galaxies using the VIPERS-PDR2 and VIPERS-MLS data including stellar mass, half-light radius, rest-frame luminosities in different bands and star formation rate. In addition, we selected galaxies with substantially good spatial resolution and estimate a two-zone color, defined by the difference in rest-frame $(u - r)$ color between the outer and inner region. We compared the global properties for the galaxies with negative color gradients (“red-cored” galaxies) and those with positive color gradients (“blue-cored” galaxies). We found that, when stellar mass is limited to a certain range, the only galaxy property that is related with color gradient is the half-light radius, implying that the color gradient and structural parameters of galaxies are intrinsically correlated. In this work, we will extend to study the clustering of galaxies with different color gradients, using the same sample as used in Paper I.

This paper is organized in the following manner. In Sect. 2 we describe the VIPERS galaxy sample and our clustering estimators. In Sect. 3 we present the clustering measurements for galaxies of different stellar mass and luminosity bins, as well as the clustering measurements for galaxies with red cores and blue cores. We summarize our work in the final section.

Throughout this paper, we assume a flat $\Lambda$CDM cosmology with $\Omega_m = 0.30, \Omega_\Lambda = 0.70, H_0 = 70\text{km s}^{-1}\text{Mpc}^{-1}$ and $h = H_0/100$. All magnitudes are given in the AB system (Oke & Gunn 1983) and corrected for Galactic extinction following (Schlegel et al. 1998).

2 DATA AND METHODOLOGY

2.1 The VIPERS galaxy sample and physical properties

The VIMOS Public Extragalactic Redshift Survey (VIPERS Guzzo et al. 2014; Scodeggio et al. 2018) is a large redshift survey carried out with the VIMOS spectrograph at the 8.2m Very Large Telescope. VIPERS obtained high-quality spectroscopy for more than 90,000 galaxies at $0.5 < z < 1.2$ with $i$-band AB magnitude down to $i = 22.5$, covering a total sky area of 23.5 deg$^2$. The VIPERS footprint consists
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Fig. 1  Distribution of our galaxy sample on the plane of $B$-band absolute magnitude versus redshift (left panel), and the plane of stellar mass versus redshift (right panel). A random subset of 15% galaxies from the whole sample are plotted in order to reduce plot file size.

of two separate fields, which are the W1 and W4 fields of the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope Legacy Survey (CFHTLS Cuillandre et al. 2012). Multi-band deep imaging is available for the VIPERS fields over a wide wavelength range from the VIPERS-Multi-lambda Survey (VIPERS-MLS Moutard et al. 2016a,b)$. These include the five optical bands $ugriz$ from CFHTLS, FUV and/or NUV from Galaxy Evolution Explorer (GALEX Martin et al. 2005), and $Ks$ band from WIRCAM (Thibault et al. 2003).

The VIPERS selected galaxy targets on color-color diagrams, yielding a high sampling rate of $\sim 50.1\%$ and a success rate of reliable redshift measurements of $\sim 84.3\%$. In this work we consider the redshift range of $0.5 < z < 1.0$ and require a galaxy to be reliably measured with a redshift in order to be included in our sample. This gives rise to a sample of 62,985 galaxies with $0.5 < z < 1$, distributed over an effective area of 16.3 deg$^2$ after photometric and spectroscopic masks are taken into account. About half of our galaxies have $FUV/NUV, u, g, r, i, z, Ks$ photometry, and the other half have $u, g, r, i, z, Ks$ only.

As described in Paper I, we have fitted the spectral energy distribution (SED) from the VIPERS-MLS photometry using the public code CIGALE (Noll et al. 2009), and estimated a variety of physical properties for each galaxy in our sample. These include stellar mass $M_*$, restframe colors such as $u-r$, $g-r$ and $r-Ks$, half-light radius $R_{50}$ (the radius enclosing half of the total light in $i$-band) and star formation rate (SFR). We have also estimated a two-zone color defined as the difference in $u-r$ between the outer region ($R_{50} < R < R_{80}$) and the inner region ($R < R_{20}$), where $R_{20}$ and $R_{80}$ are the radii enclosing 20% and 80% of the total light in $i$-band. According to $\Delta(u-r)$ we select galaxies with either a “red core” or a “blue core”, by requiring $\Delta(u-r)$ to be outside the 1$\sigma$ region of the median $\Delta(u-r)$ of the galaxies at given stellar mass. Those with negative $\Delta(u-r)$ are classified as “red-cored” galaxies because of their relatively red colors in the inner region, and those with positive $\Delta(u-r)$ are classified as “blue-cored” galaxies because of their relatively blue colors in the outer region. The reader is referred to Paper I for detailed description of our methodology for obtaining the galaxy properties and the classification.

Figure 1 displays the distribution of our galaxies on the plane of $B$-band absolute magnitude versus redshift (left panel) and the plane of stellar mass versus redshift (right panel). We have corrected the
average redshift evolution of the luminosity by $M_B(z) = M_B(0) - z$, following previous studies (Ilbert et al. 2005; Meneux et al. 2009; Marulli et al. 2013). The figure shows that the sample is a typical magnitude-limited sample. The redshift-dependent faint limit in $M_B$ corresponds to the $i$-band limiting magnitude of the survey, which is $i = 22.5$. Due to this “volume effect”, the sample is biased to brighter galaxies at higher redshifts, and one would have to exclude faint galaxies with low masses in order to have a volume-selected sample which is complete over the survey volume for a relatively bright luminosity or mass threshold. For instance, according to Figure 1, our sample is complete down to a stellar mass of $M_\ast \sim 10^{10}$, or a $B$-band absolute magnitude of $M_B \sim -20.5$.

2.2 Clustering estimator

We quantify the clustering of the VIPERS galaxy sample by measuring the two-point correlation function for a given subsample of galaxies, and the cross-correlation of the subsample with respect to the full sample. We will divide our galaxies into subsamples according to their magnitude-limited sample. The redshift-dependent faint limit in $M_B$ corresponds to the $i$-band limiting magnitude of the survey, which is $i = 22.5$. Due to this “volume effect”, the sample is biased to brighter galaxies at higher redshifts, and one would have to exclude faint galaxies with low masses in order to have a volume-selected sample which is complete over the survey volume for a relatively bright luminosity or mass threshold. For instance, according to Figure 1, our sample is complete down to a stellar mass of $M_\ast \sim 10^{10}$, or a $B$-band absolute magnitude of $M_B \sim -20.5$.

We adopt the $V$-band limiting magnitude of the survey, which is $i = 22.5$. Due to this “volume effect”, the sample is biased to brighter galaxies at higher redshifts, and one would have to exclude faint galaxies with low masses in order to have a volume-selected sample which is complete over the survey volume for a relatively bright luminosity or mass threshold. For instance, according to Figure 1, our sample is complete down to a stellar mass of $M_\ast \sim 10^{10}$, or a $B$-band absolute magnitude of $M_B \sim -20.5$.

For a given subsample of galaxies, we will further divide the galaxies into subsets of galaxies with “red-cored” or “blue-cored”, thus studying the dependence of clustering on luminosity and mass. For a given luminosity or mass subsample, we will divide our galaxies into subsamples according to their magnitude-limited sample. The redshift-dependent faint limit in $M_B$ corresponds to the $i$-band limiting magnitude of the survey, which is $i = 22.5$. Due to this “volume effect”, the sample is biased to brighter galaxies at higher redshifts, and one would have to exclude faint galaxies with low masses in order to have a volume-selected sample which is complete over the survey volume for a relatively bright luminosity or mass threshold. For instance, according to Figure 1, our sample is complete down to a stellar mass of $M_\ast \sim 10^{10}$, or a $B$-band absolute magnitude of $M_B \sim -20.5$.

We will divide our galaxies into subsamples according to $M_B$ and $M_\ast$ in order for studying the dependence of clustering on luminosity and mass. For a given luminosity or mass subsample, we will further divide the galaxies into subsets of galaxies with “red-cored” or “blue-cored”, thus studying the clustering properties of galaxies of different color gradients. We estimate both the auto-correlation function for a given subsample of galaxies, and the cross-correlation of the subsample with respect to the full sample.

A random sample must be constructed for the estimator, and it must be randomly distributed with the same angular and radial selection function as the galaxy sample. We have constructed a random sample which has the same selection effects as our VIPERS galaxy sample. We have applied the photometric and spectroscopic masks of VIPERS-PDR2 to take into account the redshift-dependent selection effect (Kovač et al. 2010; de la Torre et al. 2013). We adopt the $V_{\text{max}}$ smoothed radial distribution to take into account the redshift-dependent selection effect (Kovač et al. 2010; de la Torre et al. 2013).

Given the random sample (Sample R) and a galaxy sample (Sample D), we first estimate the auto-correlation function in redshift space, $\xi(r_p, \pi)$, using the Landy & Szalay (1993) estimator,

$$\xi(r_p, \pi) = \frac{DD(r_p, \pi) - 2DR(r_p, \pi) + RR(r_p, \pi)}{RR(r_p, \pi)}. \quad (1)$$

Here, $r_p$ and $\pi$ are the projected separation and the line-of-sight separation, respectively. $DD(r_p, \pi)$ is the galaxy-galaxy pair count with separations $\log_{10}r_p \pm 0.5 \Delta \log_{10}r_p$ and $\pi \pm 0.5 \Delta \pi$. $DR(r_p, \pi)$ and $RR(r_p, \pi)$ are respectively the galaxy-random and random-random pair counts.

The projected auto-correlation function $w_p(r_p)$ is then estimated by integrating $\xi(r_p, \pi)$ along the line of sight, given by

$$w_p(r_p) = 2 \int_0^\infty \xi(r_p, \pi) d\pi = 2 \sum_i \xi(r_p, \pi_i) \Delta \pi_i. \quad (2)$$

The integration runs from $\pi = h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ to $\pi = 39.5h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$, with $\Delta \pi_i = 1 h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ following common practice.

For a given subsample of galaxies (Sample Q), we also estimate a projected cross-correlation function with respect to the full galaxy sample (Sample D). Again, we start by estimating the redshift-space correlation function, but using the following estimator.

$$\xi(r_p, \pi) = \frac{QD(r_p, \pi)}{QR(r_p, \pi)} - 1 \quad (3)$$

where $QD(r_p, \pi)$ and $QR(r_p, \pi)$ are the cross pair counts between Sample Q and Sample D, and between Sample Q and the random sample Sample R. The corresponding projected cross-correlation function, $w_p(r_p)$, is then obtained by Equation 2 as above.

---
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We have applied the slit corrections following (Marulli et al. 2013, hereafter M13), which are derived by calculating the ratio between the redshift-space 2PCF measured in mock catalogues with and without applying the slit mask target mask selection algorithm. When estimating the pair counts used in the clustering estimators, we add up the pair counts from W1 and W4 fields to have the total pair counts, weighting the counts of each field by its real sample size. Errors of the correlation functions are estimated using the bootstrap resampling technique (Barrow et al. 1984).

3 RESULTS

3.1 Luminosity and mass dependence of the auto-correlations

![Graph showing two-point auto-correlation function of the VIPERS galaxies in different intervals of B-band absolute magnitude (upper panels) and stellar mass intervals (bottom panels).](image)

Figure 2 shows the redshift-space two-point auto-correlation function, $\xi(s)$, for galaxies in different luminosity and mass bins. Here, the correlation function $\xi(s)$ is measured using the same estimator as $\xi(r_p, \pi)$ in Equation 1, but as a function of the three-dimensional separation in redshift-space, $s = \sqrt{r_p^2 + \pi^2}$. The VIPERS galaxy sample is split into different subsamples according to $B$-band absolute magnitude ($M_B$) or stellar mass, and we estimate $\xi(s)$ for each subsample. The upper panels in Figure 2 show the results for the subsamples selected by $M_B$, and the lower panels are for the $M_*$ subsamples. We consider two successive redshift ranges, $0.5 < z < 0.7$ and $0.7 < z < 0.9$, and show the results of the two ranges separately in the left and right panels.
In Figure 3 we show the projected auto-correlation functions, $w_p(r_p)$, measured for the same set of subsamples and redshift ranges as in the previous figure. For comparison, in both figures we also show the measurements from (Marulli et al. 2013, hereafter M13), as plotted in open circles connected by dotted lines, which are based on VIPERS-PDR1 and measured for the same $M_B$ and $M_*$ intervals as adopted here. As can be seen, our measurements agree very well with theirs, with the $\xi(s)$ and $w_p(r_p)$ overlapping with each other in most cases. There are some differences occurring at the largest scales probe ($s \gtrsim 20 h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ and $r_p \gtrsim 10 h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$). However, these differences are not significant given the large error bars at those large scales, so should not be overemphasized. The comparisons presented in the two figures show that we have fully understood the selection effects of the VIPERS sample and successfully reproduced the clustering measurements, at least for scales below $\sim 10 - 20 h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$. In what follows we will focus on these scales and ignore the clustering measurements above $20 h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$.

Figure 2 shows clear suppression of the clustering power on small scales, a known effect which is caused by the peculiar motions of galaxies. One would expect the 2PCF to be more linearly dependent of the galaxy-galaxy separation in real space, and this is indeed the case as can be seen from projected 2PCFs shown in Figure 3, where $w_p(r_p)$ decreases with $r_p$ almost linearly in log-log space. Following previous studies, we fit the $w_p(r_p)$ measurements with a power-law model, over the $r_p$ interval of $0.2 < r_p[h^{-1}\text{Mpc}] < 20$. We don’t consider the largest scales for the reason mentioned above. The data points at smallest scales ($r_p < 0.2 h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$) are also excluded due to their relatively large errors. The power-law fits are shown in the figure as solid lines. Generally, the model can well describe the measurements of $w_p(r_p)$. 

---

**Fig. 3** Projected 2PCF of VIPERS-PDR2 galaxies as a function of B-band absolute magnitude (top panels) and stellar mass (bottom panels). The open circles in corresponding color are results of VIPERS-PDR1 from M13, with $r_p$ slightly shifted for clarity. The solid lines show the power-law best-fits, obtained by fitting the projected 2PCF in the interval $0.2 < r_p[h^{-1}\text{Mpc}] < 20$. Results from (Marulli et al. 2013) are plotted in open circles for comparison.
In conclusion, the analysis in the current subsection aims to show that we have fully understood the sample selections and are able to reproduce the clustering measurements as a function of both luminosity and stellar mass, which are in good agreement with the measurements published in previous studies. The luminosity and mass dependence of the auto-correlation function are similar to what have been observed for lower redshift galaxies such as SDSS. It would be interesting to compare the measurements from the VIPERS sample and those from SDSS, which should be able to provide better understanding of the evolution of the galaxy clustering from $z = 1$ down to the present day. These measurements and comparisons should also be able to provide interesting constrains on galaxy formation and evolution models, if compared to theoretical models or numerical simulations of galaxy formation. In the current work, we're interested in comparing the clustering properties for galaxies of different color gradients, and would like to leave the comparisons with low-z measurements and models in future studies.

### 3.2 Dependence of clustering on color gradients

In this section we examine the dependence of clustering of galaxies on their color gradients. In Paper I, we have estimated the color gradient of each galaxy in our sample, quantified by two parameters: a two-zone color in rest-frame $\Delta(u-r)$ defined as the difference in $(u-r)$ between the outer region ($R_{50} < R < R_{80}$) and inner region ($R < R_{20}$), and the radius-scaled color gradient $G_{ur}$ defined by the ratio of $\Delta(u-r)$ to $0.5(R_{50} + R_{80})$. We found that, although the galaxies in our sample show a nearly flat color gradient on average, the distribution of both $\Delta(u-r)$ and $G_{ur}$ at fixed mass actually span a wide range. This means that many galaxies present either a positive color gradient (bluer center and redder outskirts) or a negative color gradient (redder center and bluer outskirts). We have selected two subsets of galaxies with either a “red core” or a “blue core”, by requiring them to have significantly negative or positive $\Delta(u-r)$, falling beyond the 1σ region of the median relation between $\Delta(u-r)$ and stellar mass. Comparisons of a variety of galaxy properties between the “red-cored” and “blue-cored” galaxy subsamples revealed that, the only galaxy property other than stellar mass that is correlated with the color gradient is the half-light size ($R_{50}$), with massive red-cored galaxies being larger than massive blue-cored galaxies when stellar mass is limited to a narrow range.

In this paper we further compare the clustering properties of the “red-cored” and “blue-cored” galaxy samples. As mentioned in Paper I, we have constructed two galaxy samples from the VIPERS full sample. Sample S0.55 including galaxies with $R_{50}/PSF_{fwhm} > 0.55$, and Sample S1.0 including galaxies with $R_{50}/PSF_{fwhm} > 1$. Here $PSF_{fwhm}$ is the full-width half maximum (FWHM) of the point spread function (PSF) of the VIPERS imaging data in $i$-band. These limits ensures substantially good spatial resolution for measuring the two-zone color. On the other hand, however, these limits complicate the selection effects of the red-cored and blue-cored galaxy samples, which are hard to be accurately taken into account when we construct the random sample. Furthermore, these limits also significantly reduce the sample size. Therefore, we choose not to directly measure the auto-correlation function for a given red-cored or blue-cored subsample. Instead, for a given subsample, we measure the cross-correlation function with respect to a reference sample which is actually the full galaxy sample from VIPERS (see Equation 3). One of the advantages of cross-correlation functions is that one can obtain clustering measurements with high signal-to-noise ratios even for a small sample, thanks to the large size of the reference sample (e.g. Li et al. 2006b).

Figure 4 displays the $w_p(r_p)$ measurements for five stellar mass intervals, as indicated in each panel. Each panel shows the results for a given stellar mass interval, and in each panel the red and blue symbols/lines present the results for the “red-cored” and “blue-cored” galaxies falling in the corresponding mass range. For comparison, we also show the results for the galaxies that have a $\Delta(u-r)$ within the 1σ range of the median $\Delta(u-r)$ of their stellar mass, as plotted in green symbols/lines. In the lower panels, we show the ratio of the “red-cored” (red symbols/lines) and the “blue-cored” (blue symbols/lines) subsamples relative to the median subsample. In each stellar mass range, we have matched the red-cored and blue-cored subsamples so as to have the same stellar mass distribution. By doing so we make sure that the clustering differences (if any) would be a real signature of the color gradient dependence of clustering.
Fig. 4 Projected cross-correlation function $w_p(r_p)$, as a function of projected separation $r_p$, is measured for galaxies in different stellar mass intervals and with different color gradients. Panels from left to right are for different stellar mass ranges as indicated in each panel. In each panel, the red and blue symbols are for subsets of galaxies with red or blue cores, while the green symbols are for the galaxies with flat/weak gradients. The lower panels display the ratio of $w_p(r_p)$ measured for the red-blue-cored galaxy sample relative to that for the sample of median gradients. See the text for detailed description of the subsample selection.

It is clear from this figure that the projected cross-correlation function $w_p(r_p)$ is almost identical for the different subsamples at given mass, with no significant differences at all scales probed. This strongly indicates that the internal color gradient for galaxies of similar mass is not related to environmental effects occurring at different scales.

In Figure 5 we repeat the analysis as presented in the previous figure, but for different stellar mass thresholds instead of differential mass bins. For a given threshold, we include all the galaxies with stellar mass exceeding the threshold and estimate the projected cross-correlation function with the reference sample in the same way as described above. Figure 5 shows that, as expected, the errors of the $w_p(r_p)$ measurements are reduced when compared to the measurements in the previous figure, because of the larger sample size at given mass threshold. However, the subsamples of different color gradients still show the same clustering behaviors, at all the scales and at all mass thresholds.

Next, we examine the potential effect of the limit in galaxy size which we adopt to select our galaxies in Sample S0.55, i.e., the parent sample used for the analyses above. To the end, we use Sample S1.0 instead of Sample S0.55 and estimate the projected cross-correlations for the same sets of subsamples selected by mass thresholds. The measurements are presented in Figure 6 where the symbols/lines are exactly the same as in the previous figure. Although the measurements become more noisy due to the smaller sample size, our conclusion remains that there is no significant difference in clustering at all scales when comparing the galaxies with similar mass but different color gradients.

Previous studies have established that, in addition to stellar mass (and luminosity of given band), other properties such as global colors and structural parameters (e.g., concentration) may also be related to environment (e.g., Li et al. 2006a; Blanton & Moustakas 2009). In order to examine the potential effect on our results, for a given mass interval or threshold, we have further matched the subsamples of red-cores and blue-cores in global color and concentration, finding the clustering amplitudes to remain undistinguished.
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Fig. 5 Projected cross-correlation function $w_p(r_p)$, as a function of projected separation $r_p$, is measured for galaxies above different stellar mass thresholds (as indicated in each panel) and with different color gradients. Symbols/lines are the same as in Figure 4.

Fig. 6 Same as Figure 5, but for the galaxy sample Sample S1.0 instead of Sample S0.55.

Finally, in Figure 7 we compare the measurements of projected cross-correlation function for red-cored and blue-cored galaxies, for the same set of mass intervals and mass thresholds, but defining the color gradient using $(g - r)$ instead of $(u - r)$. The $(g - r)$ color index has been widely studied in previous studies of galaxy clustering (e.g. Li et al. 2006a). The upper panels of the figure show the results of different mass intervals, while the lower panels are for different mass thresholds, with the same symbols/lines as in Figure 4 and 5. Overall, we don’t see any significant differences between the
Fig. 7  Upper panels: Projected cross-correlation function \( w_p(r_p) \) for galaxies in different stellar mass intervals as indicated in each panel. Different colors/symbols are for subsets of galaxies with different color gradients as in previous figures, but the color gradients are measured with \((g − r)\) instead of \((u − r)\). Lower panels: same as upper panels but for mass thresholds instead of differential mass bins, as indicated in each panel.

Therefore, we conclude that galaxy clustering doesn’t depend on the color gradient of galaxies when stellar mass is limited to a narrow range, and this is true for all masses and at all scales from \( \sim 0.2 \, h^{-1}\text{Mpc} \) up to \( \sim 20 \, h^{-1}\text{Mpc} \).
4 SUMMARY

In this paper we have investigated the clustering properties for galaxies of different color gradients, selected from a parent sample of 62,985 galaxies at $0.5 < z < 1$ from the final data release of the VIPERS survey (VIPERS-PDR2). We have classified our galaxies as either “red-cored” or “blue-cored” by requiring the two-zone color $\Delta(u - r)$ to be significantly negative or positive. We then estimate the projected cross-correlation function $w_p(r_p)$ of subsamples of red-cored and blue-cored galaxies, both limited to narrow ranges of stellar mass, with respect to the full galaxy sample. We have also estimated the redshift-space and projected auto-correlation functions for samples selected by $B$-band absolute magnitude and stellar mass, and compared the results with previous studies, finding good agreement.

Our conclusions regarding the clustering properties of galaxies of different color gradients can be summarized in one sentence, that is, we find no dependence of galaxy clustering on galaxy color gradient when the samples of different color gradients are matched in stellar mass. This conclusion is hold for all the stellar masses and for all scales probed, ranging from $\sim 0.2 \, h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ up to $\sim 20 \, h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$. We have repeated the same analysis for color gradients defined with $g - r$ instead of $u - r$, and for galaxies with photometry of better spatial resolution. The same results and conclusions remain unchanged in any case.

It is worth comparing our results with many studies that have examined environmental dependence of color gradients. Most studies have focused on early-type galaxies (ETGs) at $z < 0.2$ based on photometric data (e.g. Ko & Im 2005; La Barbera et al. 2005, 2011; Tortora & Napolitano 2012). These studies have revealed that, statistically, ETGs associated with dense environment (e.g., groups or clusters of galaxies with high richness) present weak color gradients compared to ETGs in low-density regions, while this effect is believed to be driven by metallicity (Saglia et al. 2000; Ferreras et al. 2009; Spolaor et al. 2010). On the other hand, however, photometric studies of ETGs revealed no environmental dependence of color gradient (e.g. Tamura & Ohta 2000). Recent observations of integral field spectroscopy have provided radial profiles of both age and metallicity for large samples of nearby galaxies, including both early-type and late-type galaxies. These observations have allowed the correlation of age and metallicity gradients with environment to be studied with high accuracy. For instance, using the IFS data from the Mapping Nearby Galaxies at Apache Point Observatory (MaNGA Bundy et al. 2015), Goddard et al. (2017) examined the dependence of age/metallicity gradients with local density, while Zheng et al. (2017) further examined the correlation with large-scale structure type and central/satellite classification. Both studies found no/weak correlations. Our results obtained from $0.5 < z < 1$ are apparently consistent well with these previous studies of low-z galaxies.

Perhaps it is not surprising to find none dependence of clustering on color gradient. In Paper I, we find that color gradient is mainly dependent on stellar mass, with negative color gradients in massive galaxies. In addition, at fixed stellar mass, the only galaxy property that shows correlations with color gradient is the half-light radius $R_{50}$. As pointed out in Paper I, this result suggests that color gradient is related to the surface stellar mass density. On the other hand, previous studies of galaxy clustering and environment have well established that stellar mass and color are the galaxy properties most related to environment (e.g. Kauffmann et al. 2004; Blanton & Moustakas 2009) and clustering (e.g. Li et al. 2006a), and that structural parameters such as concentration and surface mass density are less related.

This is all in very good agreement with our findings with the current work. We see a strong dependence of clustering on the $B$-band absolute magnitude and stellar mass, as well as no residue dependence on color gradient when stellar mass is fixed. Therefore, the clustering measurements presented in the current paper reinforce our conclusion from Paper I that the color gradient of a galaxy is related to the structural parameters (e.g. surface mass density), an effect which is independent from the correlation of both properties with stellar mass. The no dependence of clustering on color gradient is very likely a natural result of the intrinsic relationship between color gradient and galaxy structure. More works are needed in order to better understand this relation, both observationally and theoretically.

Finally, we would like to note that, some recent studies (e.g. Liu et al. 2016, 2017) reveal the importance of dust attenuation on color gradients at intermediate to high redshifts. The effect of dust
attenuation should be carefully taken into account when determining color gradient for galaxies at those redshifts. We will come back to this point in future studies.
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